वीर	सेवा	म न्दिर
	दिल्ल	fì
	^	
क्रम सन्या		
मान न०		
g 0 = -		

L RESEARCH INSTITUTE

T FOR 1945-1948

pident

, C. I E T D Governor of Bombay

Presidents

ihi B.A. C. B.E., Rajasaheb pf Aundh amanrao alias Appasaheb Patwardhan

K CS L, Rajasabeb of Sangi so alias Nanasabeb Naik Nimbalkar Raja Babadur of Phaltan

karrao alias Babasaheb Pandit Pant Sachiv Rajasaheb of Bhor

Sir C D Madgaonkar LCS (Retd)
Dr Sir R P Paranpye M A D Sc
N C Kelkar Esc H A. LL B

REGULATING COUNCIL for 1945-1948

Chairman .

or mant Balasaheb Pant Pratinidhi B A O B E Pajasaheb of Aundh Vice-Chairman *

Rao Babadur K N Diksh t M A FRASB

D Litt

Prof K V Abhyankar M A Dr A S Altokar M A LLB D L tt Dr V M Apte M A Ph D Dr P V Bapat M A Ph D Dr V G Bhat M A Ph D Prof N G Damle M A Prip S V Dandekar M A Dr. S K De M A D Litt Prin D R Gadgil M A M Litt Mm Prof P V Kane MA ILM Prof R D Karmarkar M A Dr Mrs Irawati Karve M A Ph D Mr. N C Kelkar B A LL B Prof B D Laddu M A Hon ble Mr. Justice N. S. Lokur BA. LL B Mm Prin V V Mirashi M A LL B Dr V G Paranjpe M A LLB

Mm Prof D V Potdar B A Prof R D Vadekar M A Prof H D Velankar M A Joint Trustees
Sardar G N Mujumdar CIF
Diwan Bahadur K M Jhaver
M A LI B

FXCOLTIVE BOARD for 194 48
Prin J R Giarpure B A LL B
(Chairman)
Dr R N Dandekar M A Ph D

(Secretary)
Prof B G Bhadkamkar M A
(Treasurer)

Rao Bahadur Dr S K Belvaikar M A., Ph D Rao Bahadur K N Dikshit M A

†Prof A B Gajendragadkar M A M B E †Dr S M Katte M A Ph D †Dr M B. Rehman M A., Ph D Dr P L Vaidya M A D Litt

† Nominated by Government

"To be elected annually

CONTENTS

VOLUME XXVI, PARTS ILL-13

(24-6-1946)

ARTICLES	
Ancient Historic Sites of Bengal by Dr H. C. Law M.A., B.L., Ph.D., D.Litt.	177-191
An Unnoticed Aspect of Gaudapāda's Māndūkys Kārikās by Dr P T Raju, M.A., Ph D	
Notes on a Few Words by Bimalacharan Deb, MA, BL	201-215
Studies in the History of Hindu Festival—some notes on the History of Divali Festival—(between	n
	216-262
Juridical Studies in Ancient Indian Law by Prof Dr. Ludwik Sternbach (Poland)	263-282
III. Mahābhārata Notes by V S. Agrawala, M A	283-297
MISCELLANEA	
Bhālusālīka or Bhāndasālika? by Lokesh	298
A Note on the A. Chester Beatty Collection by	
G H Khare	299-300
Vaisyas and Social Order by S. N Tadpatrikar, M.A	301-306
An Opening Verse of the Mahabharata by B. N.	
Krishnamurti Sarma, M A,	307-312
Authenticity of the Krspacarita by Jagan Nath, M.A.	. 313-318
A New Interpretation of the expression जिलाधनमध्यस्थ-	
प्रदीपसहस्रकथन, in Samkara's commentary on Br.	•
Sū I, ii. 28 by Shrinivas Dixit, M.A	319-321
Books Received	399-393

Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute

Vol. XXVI 7

JULY-OCTOBER 1945

[PARTS III-IV

ANCIENT HISTORIC SITES OF BENGAL

ΒY

B. C. LAW

Benyal contains many ancient historic sites and it is not possible to give a detailed account of them in the following pages. I shall therefore deal with some of them as briefly as possible. Visnupura: Visnupura is in the Bankura District in west Bengal. It is a centre of music culture. For many centuries it had been the capital of the Malla rajas who gave the name of Mallabhumi or the land of wrestlers to the country ruled by them. The Mallabhumi comprised the whole of the modern district of Bankura and parts of the adjoining districts of Burdwan, Midnapore, Manbhum and Singbhum. Adi Malla was the first king who was noted for his great skill in wrestling and archery. Raghunatha who was the founder of the Malla dynasty of Visnupura was born while his parents were on their way to the sacred temple of Jagannatha at Puri. He defeated the neighbouring chiefs of Pradyumnapura (in the Joypore Police Station) which he made as his seat of government. The royal ensign of the rulers of Mallabhumi bore the device of a serpent's hood because Raghunatha is said, according to tradition, to have been shaded by two huge cobras with their hoods spread over his head. The cobra's hood carved in stone is even now worshipped in that place under the name of Dandesvari. The Hindu rajas of Visnupura were the rulers of a great portion of western Bengal long before the Mahommedan conquest by Bukhtiar Khilii. Jagat Malls, a ruler of Visnupura, removed the capital from Pradyumanpura to Visnupura. The Rājās of Visnupura were Šiva'-worshippers. The temple dedicated to Mallesvara Mahādeva which is considered to be the oldest ahrine, is still found there. The rājās afterwards became the ardent worshippers of Mrṛmayl (an aspect of fakts') whose temple still stands there. The worship of Dharma's which Ramāi Papdit' introduced, became very popular at Visnupura The celebrated Bengali Mathematician Subhamkara Rāya lived under the Malla kings who were great patrons of learning.

The city of Visupura is named after the god Visuu Vişuu was the deity of the royal house at the time of Bir Hāmir in the 16th century A. D. who was a great supporter of Vasanavism. The large stone-gateway of Visupura fort and the great cannon call Dalmardam may be attributed to him. Many Vaisnava manuscripts were received by him and in quest of them, Srinivässadrya came to Visupura.

The magnificent temple of Rāsmañca was built by Bir Hāmir. Among the later shrines, mention may be made of the following:

Temples of Śyāma Rāi, Kālācānd, Murali Mohan, Madan Gopāl, Madan Mohan, Rādhā Śyām, Lāljeu and Jodbānglā

The temples of Visnupura are mostly square buildings with a curved roof having a small tower in the centre Some of them have towers in four corners of the roof. The temple is called Pañcaratna, i. e., five towered or Nava ratna or nine towered. The Syama Rai temple is one of the oldest temples of the Pañcaratna type in Bengal. Some of the temples at Visnupura contain scenes from the Rāmājuma and the Mahāhānata on their walls.

¹ Siva is the third god of the Hindu Triad, the other two being Brahmā the Creator and Vijau, the preserve, the destroying and reproducing delty (orestor, destroyer and regenerator).

Active power of a deity.

Dharmadeva, God of Justice.

Author of the Susya Puröna and Susya pujöpaddhati. He was an exponent of Dharma out in Bangal. Some hold that doggerel verses were composed soon after the Mahammedan conquest. He was a contemporary of Dharmapala II who respued in Gauda at the beginning of the 11th century A. D.

Susumic hill: Another site of historic importance in the district of Bankura is the village of Pokhrana or Puskarana on the Damodar river, about 25 miles east of the Susunia hill, which was the sest of administration of a ruler named Candravarman as far as can be gathered from an inscription on the hill.

Kendult: It is a village also called Kendva Billa or Jayadeva Kenduli in the Bolpur than of the Suri sub-division in the
Birbhum district. It is situated on the north bank of the river Ajay,
a few miles west of llambazar and about 22 miles south of Suri.
It is famous as the birth place of the great Sanskrit poet Jayadeva who flourished in the 12th century A. D. He composed the
well-known Gila Gocinda, a Sanskrit lyrical poem, in praise of
Rādhā & Krsna. The body of Jayadeva was buried and not
burnt after his death and his bumb still stands at Kenduli. In the
middle of January, a fair is held every year in his honour.

Tāmralipti (Tamluk): Tāmralipti (Tamluk) is situated in the district of Midnapore Tamralipti or Damalipti is called a city of Suhma according to the Dasakumaracarda (Chan V). The Epics. Purapas and Buddhist works mention this town. It was a great maritime port and an emporium of commerce from the 4th century B. C to the 12th century A. D. The temple of Barga-Bhima mentioned in the Brahmapurana which was an ancient vihāra (monastery) now exists in the town. The temple of Rinduvasini was situated at Tamralipti which was visited by the Chinese pilgrims Fa-Hien in the 5th century A. D. and Hiuen Tsang in the 7th century A. D. This temple has been mentioned by Dandi in his Dasakumāracarsta, who flourished in the 6th century A D. Hiuen Tsang saw a stupa (dagoba) of Asoka near this town I-tsing, another Chinese pilgrim, resided here in the Baraba monastery The present temple of Hari is said to have been built some 500 years after the destruction of the ancient temple of Binduvasini by the action of a river (Rupanārāvana).

Nanadsipa: The present railway station of Navadvipagha; is 8 miles from the town of Kṛṣṇanagar in district of Nadia. To the west of this place, on the other side of the Ganges, stands the town of Navadvipa, which is a sacred place of

the Vaisnavas. It is so called because it is a combination of nine islands. It is the birth place of Caitanya who was born here in 1485. He preached the doctrine of universal love (love to all beings). Buddha also preached it. So did Mahaviralove, love towards the suffering and distressed world, love towards the happy and love towards the criminals. At the age of 24, Caitanyadeva, the great founder of new Vaisnavism in Bengal, left Navadvina and lived the life of a hermit. Ballalasens is said to have built a palace here and the ruins of this palace. known as Ballāladhipi (400 ft long and about 30 ft high) are found on the eastern coast of the Ganges, half a mile to the north of the present Mayapura, as some portions of this Dhipi on the west side have been washed away by the Ganges. A court of justice was established there by Aśokasena, grandson of Laksmanasena and great-grandson of Ballalasena. At one time it was a great centre of Sanskrit learning and the home of many learned men, e. g., Bāsudeva Sārvabhauma, (a well-known logician). Raghunātha Śiromani (an exponent of new logic in Bengal). Raghunandan Bhattacarva (the founder of the Davabhaga School of Hindu Law), and Krsmanda Agamabagish (a tantric scholar). Four learned men e g , Halayudha, Pasupati. Sūlapāni and Udavanācārva (philosopher) flourished during the time of Laksmanasena It is still a sacred place to the Hindus.

Santpura: In the district of Nadia stands Santpura on the Ganges. It is the abode of the celebrated Vaisnava reformer Adveitactarya, a contemporary and admirer of Srt Caitanyadeva, an incarnation of Siva and Visnu. It contains the temples of Madanagopäla. Madanamohana, Kalacand, Syamacand, etc, Here the celebrated teacher Adveits used to practise penances.

About four miles from Santipura stands the present village of Phulya which is nine miles from Rānāghāt and fifty-four miles from Calcutta It is the birth place of the celebrated Bengali post Krttivāsa, the author of the Bengali Rāmāyama. The well-

I A sect in Bengal founded by Caityana who was regarded by his followers as an incarnation of Kṛṣṇa, His chief doctrine is the duty of δħakti or love.

known Muslim follower of Caitanyadeva, Yavana Haridāsa, spent his days here in religious practices. To quote Kṛṭṭiyāsa—

" Grāmaratna Phuliyā jagate bākhān:

Daksine paścime vahe Gangdlarangini "

It was prosperous at the time of Krttiväsa when the Ganges flowed on its south and west. It reminds one of the famous lines written on the memorial pillar raised at the site.

Hethā dvijotlanu!

ādı Kavi Büngālar bhāsā Rumūyunakā:

Kritivāsa labhilā janam i

Surabhila sukavitve Phulyūr punyatirths
He patluk, sambhrams pranama u

The sum and substance of these lines is this "Oh traveller! respectfully bow down before this sacred place of Phulipā where was born the composer of the Bengalı Rāmāyana, who was the bat of the Brahmans and the foremost of the poets.

Plassey. The Palasi Rallway Station in the district of Nadia 1-93 miles from Calcutta. The famous battle-field of Plassey alout 2 miles to the west of the Rallway Station. The name of this place is derived from the Palása trees (Butea Frondosa) which were plenty there. The British under Lord Clive defeated the army of Straj-ud-daula, the last independent Muslim ruler of Bengal in the meango-grove of the historic battle-field on the 23rd June 1757 A. D. This battle has been ably described in verses in the Bengali language in the famous book of Nabin Chandra Sen, Palásir Yuddha. About 4 or 5 miles from Palási, we find the tomb of Mir Madan, the General of Siraj-ud-daule.

Adv-Saplagrāma: The remains of ancient Saplagrāma are found near the present railway station called Adv-Saplagrāma, about 27 miles from Calcutta. Saplagrāma was an important city and a port. It is so called because the seven sons of king Priyavrata became sages after practising penances there The place is

The post describes his death thus .

chutila ekți göld raktıma varan vișam lügila püye sei sümghätik yhäys bhütale haila Mis Madan patan i

frequently mentioned in the mediaeval Bengali texts, e. g. Candimanaala of Makundarama, Manasamanaala of Bipradasa, Candi of Madhavacarya It is also mentioned in the Pavanadūtam written by Dhöyl, the court poet of Laksmanasena. It lost its importance as a port owing to the silting of the river-bed of the Sarasyati. In the 9th century A. D. Santagrama was ruled by a powerful Buddhist king named Paramabhattaraka Śri Śri Rūpnārāvana Sinha The Egyptian traveller. Ibn Batuta, came here in the 13th century A. D. Saptagrama, the metropolis of Radha or western Bengal was later conquered by Jafar Khan whose tomb is still found at Trivent. Many coins of Muslim rulers. e. g. Sher Shah and Husen Shab have been found here. During the rule of Alauddin Husen Shah of Gauda, it was called Husenabad and was the seat of an imperial mint. In the 16th century A. D. a Hindu chief named Railvalocana conquered it from Sulaiman, the Sultan of Gauda It is the birth-place of the author of the Candi. ' We get a glimpse of its prosperity from Bankımcandra's Kapālakundulā and H. P. Shastri's Bener Meus It is a sacred place of the Vaisnavas being the home of Uddhārand Datta, a follower of Cartanyadeva Nityananda, the right-handman of Caltanya, spent many years in this locality. A mosque and a few tombs are still found here

Vansagali: It is in the district of Hooghly where there are three temples of Visnu, Käli (Svayambhava) & Hamsesvari (an aspect of Durgā) The temple of Visnu is the oldest. The temple of Hamsesvari was built in 1814 Close to Vamsavāti there is a sacred abode of Uddhārana Datta, a celebrated Vaisnava disciple of Caitanyadeva It is very much frequented by the Vaisnavas specially on the anniversary day of this religious reformer.

Trueni. It is five miles from the present Bandel Junction Station. It is a sacred place of the Hindus, situated at the confluence of the Sarasvati and the Bhágtrathi. The site is ancient as it is found mentioned in Dhōyi's Pavanadūta, a work of the 18th century A D. The Muslim historians call it Tirpāvi or Firozābād as Firoz Shah, Sultan of Bengal, lived here for sometime. During the Muslim period it was an important city and a

It describes the greatness of Durga. (Devimahatmya).

port. The mediaeval Bengali poet Mukundarana mentions it as a sared place, much frequented by the pilgrims. It was once a centre of Sasakrit learning. Here we find the tomb of Jafar Khan, the conqueror of Saptagrama, and close by there is a mosque with the maxims of the Holy Quoran written on it The tomb of Jafar Khan was built over a Hindu shrine containing some inscribed scenes from the Rāmāyapa and the Mahābhārāta

Mahnad: It is in the district of Hooghly and it can be reached by Magra-Tarkeswar Light Railway. It was once the capital of Western Bengal. Mahānād contains the ruins of ancient palaces, old Hindu temples and old tanks. According to tradition it was the sest of king Candraketu, the ruins of whose gad (moat) are still found there. The temple of Dvāravāsnin, old ponds, e.g., Jiyatkunda, Pāpaharaṇakunda, and Sāt Satiner Dighi are found here. Near the old Saiva temple of Jateśvaranātha we find some tombs. The Jāmāl-Jāṇal Road, the Vasisthagaṇgā, and the Jiyatkunda are noteworthy.

Pänduyā It is situated at a distance of 38 miles from Calcutta It is commonly known as Pedo. It is in the Hooghly District and is quite distinct from Pänduyā of the Malda District. In the 15th century A. D. Samsuddin Isuf Shah, king of Gauda, conquered this Hindu kingdom of Panduyā. It contained many Hindu temples An ancient Hindu temple dedicated to Sun-God was converted into a mosque Besides this place contains damaged mosques and a minar which is 127 ft high. There are two tanks here by the name of Jodapukur and Pirpukur. Every year in the months of January and April fairs are held and many people baths in the Pirpukur, the water of which is considered to be scared.

Katwa (Katadvipa): It is in the district of Burdwan, and a sacred place of the Vaisnavas because here Caitanyadeva at the age of twenty-four became a hermit and shaved his hair.

Jhāma!pura: Four miles to the north of Katwa there is a village called Jhāmatpura. It was the dwelling place of Krsna-

Vämdske Hälssahar dakşıne Triveni i yätrider kolühale kıchus nü suns ii

dass Kavirai, the celebrated author of the Ari-Cuitanua Caritamrta. 1

 $K\bar{a}ln\bar{a}:$ It is in the district of Burdwan and is considered to be a very sacred place to the Hindus because it was the shode of the famous Vaisnava saints, Sūryadāsa, Gauridāsa, Jagannāthadāsa and Bhagavāndāsa. It is also famous as Ambikā-Kālnā.

Murshidabad :- It is situated at a distance of 122 miles from Calcutta, on the bank of the River Bhagirathi. It was known to the accients as Mukshudābād or Mukshusābād. It was the capital of the last independent ruler of Bengal. This city was well-built by Nawah Murshidkuli Khan who was then the vicerov (subedar) of Beng l. At one time this city was adorned with many magnificent buildings and palaces. It was an extensive city, populous and prosperous. The following are the noteworthy things there:

- (1) Imāmbūrā, which was built by Nawab-Nazim Mansur Ali, it is 680 ft. long;
- (2) Moti Jhd, which contains a beautiful garden, it is now in mine .
- (3) Hājārduyāri, which was the old palace of the Nawab, a massive structure :
 - (4) Katra Musid.
- (5) Tomb of Nawab Sharfaraz Khan who became the Nawab of Murshidabad for one year after the death of Suja Khan ;
 - (6) Tripolia Gate.
 - (7) Jahankosha Cannon
- (8) Topkhana, which was built by Murshidkuli Khan close to the Katra Musud; and
- (9) Nizamat-Adalat and Sadar Dewani Adalat; no trace of them is now found, on the ruins of these a beautiful palace with a delightful garden has been built.

On the other side of the Ganges flowing through the town of Berhampore stands the tomb of Nawab Shiraz-ud-daula.

Rānoāmātī: It is situated in the District of Murshidabad as distinct from Rangamati of the Chittagong Hill tracts The

¹ It is a famous book of the Valsnavas of India. It is a monument of Hindu genius as a work on philosophy and literature. Much has been written in this book on Vaisnava philosophy,

site of Rangkmäti in Murahidabād lies on the western coast of the Ganges, a mile and a half to the south-east of Chirati, a Raliway Station, 94 miles from Bandel. The soil of this place is red and hard and offers clue to the name of this place. According to some the name is derived from Rakidamith or Rakidahith (lo-to-wes-chs) the name of an old Buddhist monastery which the Chinese traveller, Huen Tsang, found in Karnasuvarna in the 7th century A.D. Rangamāti is thus believed to have been the site of Karnasuvarna. Many coins of the Kusāpa and Gupta ages, a few mounds of bricks and elsy called Thakurvādi Dangā, Rajvādi Dāngā, Samyāsi Dāngā and a few tanks like Yamunā Puskarapi, Pir Pukur, etc. are found there. A Hindu deity made up of stone with eight hands called Mahisamardin'i has been discovered here.

Pāhadpura: The ruius of Pāhādpur are situated āt a distance of three miles to the west of the Jāmālganj Railway Station (B. &. A. Ry.) in the District of Rayshahi. The huge mound of bricks, 80 ft. in height, that stands at Pāhādpur probably gave rise to the name of this place as it looked like a rock. Somapura was its ancient name Situated at a distance of about 30 miles to the northwest of Mahāsthāna or ancient Pundravardhana and southeast of Bāngad or ancient Kotivarss, there stood an old Buddhist monastery near the Pāhādpur monastery resembles such great monasteries as Barabudar and Prāmbānam monasteries in Java and Angkorvat monastery in Cambodia. In the Buddhist vihāra at Pāhādpura we find a square sancitary with many chambers, each having a courtyard in front and a small portico. A high altar is found probably meant for religious worship.

To the east of this sanctuary we find a little stipe (shrine containing a relic, dagods) called Satyspire bittā where we find a temple of Tara. The Pahadpura monastery was built in the 8th century A. D. under the Pala kings of Bengal. The terracotts

- ! Durgs, the killer of the demon Mahisa.
- Vide The Life of the Buddha on the Stupa of Barabudur by Dr. Krom.
- 8 Vide Indian Architecture (Buddhıst and Hindu) by Peroy Brown.
- Personification of Prajfiāpāramitā or perfection of wisdom and consort of Avalokitešvara, a Mahāyāna Bodhisativa.
 - 2 [Annals, B. O. R. I.]

plaques on the walls of the monastery contain the tales of the Paiacatantra' and the Hitopadeia. The stone images of Radha and Krsna, some lovely figures telling the stories of the life of Krsna, slaying of Dhenukāsura, holding of Mt. Govardhana by Srikrsna are found here. The Epic and Pauranic scenes like the fight of Bāli and Sugriva, the death of Bāli, the abduction of Subhadrā, etc., are also found. In the 5th century A. D. there was a Jain temple at Pahādņurs — The famous Tibetan Buddhist scholar, Dīpankara Śrīḥāna, ² is said to have spent many years under his tacher. Ratnākara Śsīnti, in the Somepura mahāvihāra.

Khetuq: A village in the district of Rajshahi It was visited by Caitanya in the 16th century A. D. A temple has been built here to commemorate his visit.

Mahāsthūnagad The present ruins of Mahāsthāna or Mahāsthanagad lie 7 miles north of the modern town of Bogra. Cunningham identifies this site with the ancient city of Pundravardhana, the name of which occurs in a Brahmanic inscription of the Maurya age. During the 4th, 5th and the 6th centuries A. D. when India was ruled by the Imperial Guptas. Pundravardhanabhūkti was a Gupta province under a vicercy who had the title of Ungrika. The river Karatovā which still washes the base of the mounds of Mahasthana separated it from the more easternly kingdom of Pragjyotisa or Kamarupa in Assam Pundravardhana was visited by Hiuen Tsang in the 7th century A. D. According to the Chinese pilgrim this country was more than 4000 li in circuit and its capital more than 30 li 2 (5 miles). To the west of the capital there was a magnificent Buddhist establishment and near it stood an Asoka tope The city lost its importance from the third quarter of the 12th century A. D. for the later Sena kings of Bengal shifted their capital first to Deopara in the Raushahi district and later to Gauda in the Maldah district. Towards the end of the 13th or the beginning of the 14th century A. D Pundravardhana was occupied by the Mahommedans.

¹ A collection of moral tales written in Sanskrit from which the Hitopadeks is partly taken.

Went to Tibet. He belonged to East Bengal. Author of Caryāgāti and Dipankara Śrijāāna-gitikā, etc.

bli-a chinese mile, equal to more than 1rd of an English mile.

There was a village called Vāsu Bihāra, 4 miles to the west of Mahāshān, which, according to Cunningham, was the site of the well-known monastery called *Po-slu-po*, by the Chinese pilerim.

The following are the important things found at Mahāsthāna: a battered Jain, statue, ruins of Hindu and Buddhist shrines; and later tombs and mosques.

Bangad. The ruins of Bangad or Bannagara are found on the eastern bank of the river Punarbhava, one and a half mile to the north of Gangarampur which is 18 miles south of Dinamur The region round modern Gangarampur was called Damdama during the muslim period and it may be identical with Kotikapura or ancient Devkot, the capital of Kotivarsa in northern Bengal. Bangad, according to tradition, was the site of the fortified town of the demon king, Bana, whose wife Kalarani is said to have a tank dug called Kaladighi at Gangārāmpura Besides there are other tanks such as Taldighi and Dhaladighi. Of the ancient buildings and monuments we have no trace at present. A Kamboja king of Gauda built a temple of Siva. According to the copper plate inscription of king Mahlpāla I discovered at Bāngad, Mahipala regained his lost paternal kingdom. Some of the old relics of Bangad are now kept in the Dinapur palace. Here we find a richly carved stone pillar made of touch-stone, a Siva temple and a Buddhist caitya (shrine or temple) of about the 11th century A. D.

Tarpanghūt: An important village in the district of Dinapur. Hiere Valmiki, the celebrated author of the Rāmāyana practised penances. Near by there is a brick bult sūpa known as Slīskot which is said to have been the abode of Slīs, the banished wife of Ramanudra.

Gauda: Gauda was the capital of Bengal during the Hindu and Muslim periods. According to some the name is derived from guéd, i. e., molesses as Gauda was formerly a trading centre of molesses. The ruins of Gauda lie at a distance of ten miles to the south west of the modern town of Malda. It was an ancient town as its name occurs in the Epics and the Puranss. It was the capital of Devapala, Mahendrapila, Adisure, Ballatasens and the Mahomedan rulers up to about the end of the

16th century A. D. It formed a part of the kingdom of the Imperial Guptas during the 4th, 5th and 6th centuries A. D. There is no trace at present of Ramavati, the capital of ancient Gauda under Pala rulers. It lay several miles to the north of the present site of the ruins of Gauda near the river Kalindi. Laksmanavati or Laknauti which was built by king Laksmanasens was the later capital of Gauda under Sens and Muslim rulers. King Ballalasena built a castle at Gauda which goes by the name of Ballalabadi or Ballalabhita. The ruins of this fort are found at Shahdullapur. One of the biggest tanks in Bengal known as Sagardighi is attributed to him Near the present site of Gauda stands the ancient village of Ramakeli which was visited by Caitanyadeva. The abodes of Rupa and Sanatana, 1 the Rupasagara tank, the Kadamba tree, some wells known as Rādhākunda, Śvāmakunda, Lalitākunda and Višākhākunda and the ancient temple of Madanamohana are now found there. There is another village called Khalimpur near the site of Gauda. A. copper plate inscription of king Dharmapala of the Pala dynasty of Bengal has been discovered here. The following relies of the Muslim age are noteworthy '-

- 1 $J \bar{a} \mathbf{n} J \bar{a} \mathbf{n}$ meah mosque built by Sultan Giyasuddin Mahmud Shah.
- 2 The $D\bar{a}hhd$ Durw $\bar{a}j\bar{a}$ or the gate of the ancient muslim fort of Gauda.
- 3 The well-known Sonā mosque ($Bardu\bar{a}n$) a square building built of stone
 - 4 Ruins of Haveli Khas (or the ancient capital)
 - 5 Tomb of Sultan Husain Shah built of coloured bricks.
 - 6 Feroze minor (high and massive structure).
- 7 Kadam Rasul mosque built by Sultan Nasiruddin Nasrat Shah in the 16th century A D containing 4 minarets of black stone and footprints of the Prophet
 - 8 Chikā wosque
- 9 The famous Loton mosque built of various coloured bricks (white, green, blue and yellow).

¹ They were the disciples of Caitanya who were sent by him to preach the cult of loving faith to Brindaban to discover the holy places where Sri Kṛṣṇa engaged himself in lilā or sports.

Besides these there are other noteworthy objects, e. g., the temples of Gaudesvarl. Jaharāvāsini, Śiva-the manaskāmanā deity, Ramābhitā and Pātālacandi.

Pänduyā: The rains of Pānduyā lie to the east of the river Mahānandā in the district of Malda. A clear trace of Hindu relics is found at Pānduyā in a dilapidated oulvert with images of Hinou delities beneath it Many remains of the Muslim age are found at this site, e.g., Selānm-Dargā, Āsānsāha Dargā, Blakkhi and Sonā mosques, and the Ādinā mosque which is the most famous

Vikrampura: It lies in the Munshigani sub-division of Dacca A portion of it is included in the Faridpur District. The name Vikrampura is generally applied to the tract of country bounded by the Dhalosvari on the north, the Idilpur pargans on the south. the Mechna on the east and the Padma on the west. The name of this place is derived from a king named Vikrama who ruled it for sometime. Ramapala, the ancient capital of Vikramapura. lay 3 miles west of Munshigani. The name Sri Vikramapura occurs in the Sitahati Copper Plate Inscription of Ballalasena. A copper plate inscription of the Buddhist king Srf Candradeva of the Candra dynasty has been discovered here. Rāmapāla, the birth place of Silabhadra, the principal of the famous Buddhist University of Nalanda, was the eastern headquarters of the Hindu kings of Bengal for sometime. The ruins of a palace called Ballālabādi, many ancient ponds called Rāmapāladīghi. Ballāla dighi, etc and many Hindu and Buddhist deities of the Pala period have been found at Vikramapura. To the north of Ramapala in a village a mosque of Adam Sahid is found. The village of Valrayogini lying on the south west corner of Ramapala was the birth place of the Buddhist savant. Dipankara Śrijāna, who was born in the 10th century A. D.

Manamata and Lalman ranges Mainamati is about 6 miles west of the present town of Comilla. The Lalman and Mainamati rocks are situated in the district of Tippera in East Bangal. The name Mainamati is probably associated with Mayanamati, the queen of Maink Candra, a king of the Candras who ruled Bengal.

The deity who fulfils human desires.

in the 10th and 11th centuries A. D. This queen and her son Gopicandra figure largely in Bengali folk-songs. Queen Mayanamati seems to have been a disciple of Goraknatha, a great Saiva vogi while her son was a disciple of a low caste siddha (perfected one). A copper plate inscription of the 13th century A. D. found at Mainamati records gift of a piece of land by the king Ranavankamalla Harikaladeva to a Buddhist monastery at Pattikera. An officer of the royal groom is mentioned as embracing Sahajayana Buddhism 1 at Pattikeraka A village of the Tippera district which extends up to the Mainamati hills even now retains the name Patikara or Paitkara The existence of the kingdom of Pattikera may be traced as far back as the 8th century A. D. It was situated in ancient Samatata Coins similar to those of the Candra dynasty and terracotta plaques, with figures of Arakanese and Burmese men and women, have been found at Mainamati In these coins the name of Patikera occurs. It appears that there was an intimate relation between Burma and the kingdom of Pattikera. Ranavankamalla Harikāladeva was a chieftain of this place while the Devas were then the independent rulers. The Pattikeraka vihāra of the Pāla period was an important monastery A mound at Mainamati known as the rums of Ananda Raja's palace seems to be a monastery. Some rulers of the Candra dynasty, e g , Sri Candra, Gobinda Candra, Suvarna Candra, Purna Candra, etc., mentioned in the inscriptions ruled eastern and southern Bengal between A D. 900 and 1050 with Robitagiri as their capital. Robitagiri probably included the present Lalmai hills, 5 miles to the west of Comilla

The naked-stone image of a Jaina Tirthankara (head of a sect) found at Maināmāti, shows the influence of Jainism in this region. The discovery of such deities as Ganesa, Hara-Gauri,

¹ The Sahayayana better Sahayandah was the latest phase of Buddhim uneastorn India: It arose as a protest against unnecessary rituals, dhimm uneastorn India: It arose as a protest against unnecessary rituals, excessive academic seal, the funtrus, munitrus, munitrus, mandalas and other parapharcalia of the two scient forms of Mahiyana, nanasily the Budhisatticut rows of Mahiyana, nanasily the Budhisatticut napu (the classical) and the Agranagu (the advanced). It advocated napu (the classical) and the Agranagu (the advanced), it advocated habitatic and the surest way of awakening in us the Budhictic which is the means of attaining Bodhs or enlightenment, Bengali congor of the Sahayiya School, Bundaha Offa o Doffa by H. P. Shistri.

Vasudeva, shows the influence of Hinduism there. Of some mounds situated at Mainamati Anandaraja's palace, Bhojaraja's palace, candimura, Rapabamura, Sabhantaja's palace are noteworthy. In one of these mounds we find temples of Siva and Candt. A square monastery like that at Phihdpura existed there The central temple contains on its walls projecting mouldings, lotus petals, etc. Many carved terracotta plagues which con tain the figures of Yakkhasi, Kimpurusas, Gandharus, Yukmaras, Budaha, Padamajan, warriors, animals, lotus flowers, etc. have been discovered. The potteries found there are mostly in ruins. Some small bronze images of the Buddha have also been found.

Candramālha. In the vicinity of Sitākunda there are the famous temples of Candranātha and Sambhunātha, in the district of Chititagong, which are much frequented by pilgrims from all parts of Bengal. The peak of Candranātha is regarded as a place beloved of Siva. The shrine on the top of the hill contains a lingum or symbolical representation of Siva and the ascent to it is said to redeem the pilgrim from the miseries of future births. The largest gathering takes place at the Siva Caurdati festival.

Sundarban: The forest region of Sundarban was formerly included in the kingdom of Samatata or Bāgdi (Vyāghratati). The Chinese pilgrim, Hiuen Tsang, saw many Hindu, Buddhist and Jain temples at Samatata in the 7th century A. D but no trace of them has yet been found. Some decorated bricks, fragments of stone sculptures, coins of Skandagupta and Huviska, an image of Sürya and a Navagraha slab, etc., have been discovered here.

They are supernatural beings, deities of varying ranks. They are benevolant and are closely connected with vegetation, human fertility and wealth. They are essentially tree-spirits and they possess magic power. The cult of Yakhis may be described as an early form of devotional Hinddism, pethaps going back to a period in statory contemporary with the Vedas. The individual Yakhas are for the most part local and tutelary detires.

Wild men of the woods same as Kinnaras.

² A class of Demigods, heavenly Musicians.

Demigods who are magicians.

AN UNNOTICED ASPECT OF GAUDAPADA'S MANDUKYA KARIKAS

BY P T. Raju

There have already been many articles on Gaudapada and his $K\bar{a}rik\bar{a}s$: but all of them have been occupied either with proving that he was a Buddhist, or that he was a vedantin who was greatly influenced by Buddhist ideas, which he incorporated into the Vedanta, or that neither was he a Buddhist nor was he influenced by Buddhist ideas. Pandit Vidhusekhar Sastri practically maintained the extreme position that Gaudapada wrote the last chapter of his Karıkas to develop the Upanisadio theory into the Buddhist Vinānavāda, thereby showing the superiority of the latter to the former. 1. Of course, it may easily be shown that Gaudapada was not a Buddhist, that the Kūrikūs, or even the fourth chapter was not meant to show the superiority of the Vijāānavāda to the Vedānta. Even the fourth chapter praises Vipras 5 or Brahmans and speaks of brahmanyam radam * It is true that Buddha very often spoke of the Brahmans with respect and that Asanga, the author of Mahauanasulralamkura, spoke of the realisation of the Brahman as the highest achievement. But this only proves the influence of the Vedanta on Buddlism, that some of the Upanisadic ideas were securing a place in Buddhist thought. If Gaudanada had really been a Buddhist and wanted to show that the Vinanavada was truer than the Vedanta, he would not have used Vedantic terms. Besides, the word Aga, which Gaudapada so glorifies, 1s, though it means anulpanna, particularly a Vedantic term. Just as it is said that Samkara explains away the term buddler, it may be said that the Buddhist interpretation of the Karikas will have to explain away Aja. Further, it is not reasonable to take the

Proceedings of the Oriental Conference, 1922

Stanza 86. Stanza 85.

fourth chapter apart from the other three chapters. And if Gaudapäda defends the Vedänta in the latter, he must be doing the same in the former. And there is no evidence to show that he changed his mind later after writing the first three chapters or that he meant the first three chapters to be only stupping stones for proving the final validity of the Vijanavaida.

But we cannot so easily controvert the opinion that Gaudapada was greatly influenced by the Vijnanavada. It may be shown that the word windna, even in the sense of the highest reality, is common to both the Upanisads and Buddhism, though, in the former, miliana is more often used with reference to managakośa and buddh. But there are many other words which are distinctly technical (parbhasika) terms of Buddhist philosophy Dharma in the sense of a phenomenal thing," bhawa in the sense of a perishable thing, a dhatu in the sense of vastu, buddha in the sense of awakened, cannot easily be explained away as non-Buddhistic also. Particularly the words buddha and dharms in the peculiarly Buddhistic sense occur too often. There are other words also like samurti satua. samahāta, 6 alātaśūnu, which is the heading of the fourth chapter. asparšayoga, 7 and laksanātūnyam, 8 which are common to the Advaits also. And it may be admitted that the sentence. nastadbuddhena bhastam, a can be interpreted both according to the Advaita and the Vijāānavāda. But on the whole, the influence of the Vanānapāda is very obvious. Also, it is likely that Gaudapada was converted to Buddhism first or might have been a born Buddhist before he accepted Vedantism and gave it his own interpretation There is also another possibility, which we shall have to accept in the absence of any definite evidence in favour of other possibilities, namely, there must have been a ferment of ideas both in the Buddhist and Vedantic folds, due to mutual criticism and discussion, and what appeared to be the

Möndükya Köriküs, IV, 45. 48. 50. etc., Brhaddranyaka Upenişad III, 9, 28 vijifanomänandam brahma. Also op. III, 4, 2 vijikätervijikätäram but not merely vijikätuvenjätäram.

² IV, 6, 8, 10, 21, 45, 53, etc., etc. ² II, 1, 13, 16, 19, ⁴ IV. SI. ⁴ II, 1, 4; IV, 33, 57, 73, 74, ⁶ III, 3, 10, ⁷ III, 39; IV, 3,

⁶ IV, 67. 8 IV, 99.

^{\$ [}Annals, B. O. R. I.]

most cogent ideas might have been incorporated, with slight differences, by both schools. But in the development of metaphysical systems, so far as available literature goes, Buddhism seems to have taken the lead. The Prainaparametas. out of which both the Madhyamika and the Vijnanavada schools sprung up, are earlier than Nagarjuna (2nd century A D.) But the Pranagaranutas themselves must have developed out of ideas borrowed from the Upanisads The Buddhists must have entered into controversies with the Vedentins during and before the time of the Pranaparamtas, after which the former must have brought together the results of the controversies in a somewhat systematic form And as shown by Badaravana's references to earlier Vedantins, the Upanisadic ideas also must have been grouped together in some systematic form by Kasakrtsns and others But these are lost for us, and were lost probably even for Gaudapada, who makes no reference to them The Brahmasutrus themselves required interpretation and systematisation And Gaudapada, therefore, must have approached the Upanisads themselves from the side of the Vinanarada. which might have appeared as the best metaphysical system of the time, nearest to the Upanisadio teachings. The Mandulyu contains the central teaching of the I panisads and constitute the inner approach to the philosophical problem for all orthodox systems. Gaudapada therefore must have attempted to interpret the Mandukya itself from the side of the Virhanavadu, which was incorporated into the Vedanta.

TT

What has so far been discussed has been noticed by a number of scholars, and the discussion is briefly given here only so far as it concerns the purpose of the piesent paper. Now, there is another aspect of the Küriküs, which seems to have escaped notice so far. The ferment of philosophic ideas of the age must have contained not only the Upanisatic and Buddhist ideas but also the Saivite. It is difficult to say that the Saivite ideas are not Upanisadic; but they have a peculiar quality or colour of their own. They have their own terminology. That the world is the spand of Siva or Siva's Sakti is a theory peculiar to Saivism.

Gaudanada uses the word spandu in as many as six stanzas.1 At one place he speaks of the world as the spanda of manas," at another as the spanda of citta, and in three places as winanaspanda,4 and compares all these to alātaspanda.5 Manas and citta may be taken to mean the same for the Karikas Then the world has to be understood as the spanda of unfaina or citta, so far as the teaching of the Karikas is concerned. Gaudapada uses the word Maua more than once . 6 but he does not speak of the spanda of Māyā. And for him, Māyā is not existent. Anvway, he must have meant that cittaspands and viñānaspanda are the same, though not directly at least indirectly. He asserts also that the spanda and its effects cannot outer mmana, which is acula 8 (We may indeed raise the question how mindna, which is acala, can have any spunda to which we cannot find a direct area er, or the only answer possible, consistent with the auditivada. is that even the spanda of minana is Maua, which is not sat (na vuluate) Vidyāranya probably would have said that this spanda is the salds of capains, and that spands has no existence means that it is not a separate entity from vintana " It is not necessary now to go farther into this ultimate logical question; we are interested only in showing that Gaudapada uses the word spanda in a very significant sense Just as we see straight lines, curved lines, circles etc. so long as there is alataspanda, we see the world so long as there is wyninaspanda. And just as, when the spenda of the alata ceases, these figures do not enter the alata; when the spanda of manana ceases, the world of forms due to that spanda does not enter viñana. That is, w māna as such is pure . it is mnänamätra. 10

III

Such a significant usage of the concept of spanda makes us think that Gaudapāda was influenced not only by the ideas of the $Vt/\hbar \bar{m} n a v \bar{u} d a$ but also by the spanda doctrine. The question may now be raised whether this spanda doctrine was incorporated

¹ III, 29, IV, 47, 48, 49, 51, 72. 2 III, 29 . IV, 72.

^{*} IV, 47, 48, 51 * IV, 49 * II, 19, IV, 58 * IV, 58.

^{*} IV, 51, 52. * See Pancada 1, II, 47

¹⁰ Cf Samkara's Commentary on Karika, IV, 52. Vijaanamatre jätyidibuddhempeaisa.

196

from Saivism or from some other independent system, for it is not to be found in the principal Upanisads. Even the Svetāšvatara, which is Śaivite, does not speak of spanda, though it speaks of Maya And we have no evidence to prove the existence of a non-Saivite spanda system. If we therefore take it as belonging to Saivism, we may further ask whether it is the same as the Kasmir spanda system expounded by Vasugupta and his followers. We know that Gaudapada is earlier than Vasugupta, who belonged to about the first half of the 9th century A. D. Even Samkara, the grand disciple of Gaudapada, belonged to the 8th century A. D. Gaudapada therefore cannot be much later than the 7th Sir S. Radhakrishnan, in his Indian Philosophy. writes: "He must be much earlier, since Walleser states that the Karıka is quoted in the Tibetan translation of Bhavaviveks's Tarkajvālā. The latter author is earlier than Yuan Chwang, and Gaudapada must be therefore about A D. 550 1" If this is true. then Gaudapada must have lived about one and half centuries after Asanga, the famous vijiānavadin and the author of Mahāyonasütrülamküra. In any case, he is undoubtedly earlier than Vasugupta, the first well-known exponent of the spanda doctrine

We have therefore to think that the spindid doctrine must have been current, in some form or other, during that time of Gaudapada. And this spindid doctrine has very close resemblance to that expounded by Yasugupta. The ultimate lattim for Gaudapada is nyiñana, and for Vasugupta, is Siva whose nature is jidina. Even the original Upanisad speaks of the fourth state as Siva, which of course need not be identified with the Siva of Saivism, and Gaudapada also speaks of the ātman as Siva, Prabhu, Jāna, Jiwara and so forth Just as the Mānļalyakārikās are based on the interpretation of the three states of wakefulness, dream and deep sleep, the Spindikārikās are based on their interpretation, the only difference being that the former maintain that the forth state (turiyānalhā) is identical with Siva, while the latter contend that there is a fourth state beyond the three, which is still mohātmiki, and that only in the fifth state can we be identical

Vol II, p. 452 footnote
 I, 29.
 I, 2, 14, II, 1, 2 etc.
 See the Vivrti of Rāmakautha, II, 9.

with Siva. Both are thus based on the explanation of the three states Even the Mandukyakarskas speak of bijamdra or sleep which the matrix of the world 1 It is well known that, according to Saivism. Mānāšaktı, out of which the world issues forth, is the Nidrā of Šiva. The Spandakārikās speak of Šiva as anāvria," which is the same as asamirta. The Mandukyakarikas also speak of samerts.3 The idea of samerts atya is innately connected with these ideas. One feels that the avaranatakh is the same as this samarana or samera and the viksepasaka the same as spanda Or these ideas might have some common root ideas. Verylikely. there were some common ideas belonging to the philosophical atmosphere of the time, and they gradually developed and took some definite shapes in the different systems. However, Gaudapāda speaks of icchāmūtram prabhossrsti* as not a verv tenable view, and is perhaps refuting the theory that the world is due to the acchaiakti of Siva And we can understand him, because he is interested in proving that Maya is not sat, whereas those that maintain that the world is a parinama of Siva's Sakti, hold that it is sat. But we cannot clinch this point, because Abhinavagupta. who belongs to the same Kasmir school of Saivism. Writes

Samsīrosts na tativulustanubhrīm bandhusya vāriavu kā bandho yasyu na Jāliu lasya vidalhā muklasya nukitkenyā muthyāmohakrdesa rajjubhujugacehāyāpubicabhramo mu kumatyaja mā grhāna vidasa sossiko vaihāmsāhidah

and practicially supports Gaudapāda every way. For this stanza Involves ajūtīvāda, the view that the world is not existent, and that it is būrama (māṇā). The Spandairkās describe the fourth stance as a great ūkūšu (mahūvyoman), which though devoid of the duality of subpect and object, is yet mokūmūkā and āvrīā, because of the absence of tivan ušaktūpūtu. But as there is no such fourth state in the Māṇātāya, the highest itself is compared to pure whāta Such comparison is very common in both the Upanusads and Buddhist works, and is not pacular to any. But an important point to note in this connection is that the fourth state of

I, 13 ⁵ I, 2. ⁵ II, 1, 4, IV, 33, 57, 73, 74, ⁷ I, 8.

^{*} Anuttaraştıka, 6 See Ramakantha's Comm. on II, 9.

the Siandakiriklis is generally equated by the Kasmir Saivrtes to the Sianu of the Madhyamikas, whose highest truth is thereby shown to be lower than that of the spanda system. This shows that Kasmir Saivism tried, in a way slightly different from that of Gaudapāda, to incorporate some of the important ideas of Buddism Or perhaps while Gaudapāda tried to absorb vyāānaaāda, the spanda system of Kasmir tried to absorb both vyjāānaaāda and šimyarāda

The point of our present interest is that Gaudapada incorporated the spanda doctrine into his philosophy, and this spanda doctrine significantly resembles the anando doctrine of Kasmir, which was much later. It is believed by some that the Kasmir Saivadvaita sprung up after Samkara's visit to Kasmir in the 8th century? But the Mandukyakarskas show that the spanda ideas must have been current in India at least a century before Samkaia. Hence it cannot be true that the 'panda ideas took shape only after Samkara. But the earlier Salva teachers of Kasmir, influenced by the Advaita of Samkara might have imported spanda ideas from outside. But the greatest possiblity is that they entered Kasmir, if they entered from outside at all, along with Samkara. who must have inherited the teachings of the Mandukuakarikas from his paramaguru, Gaudapada. If such is the truth, then the spanda system, though it developed in Kasmir, might have originated outside Kasmir And the ideas must have been current in the country to which Gaudapada belonged

It is said that the family cult of Sankora was Saktisin and that he was worshipping Siva'S both Saivism and Saktisin must be extsting throughout India by that time and also by the time of Gandapada. We read that Lakuli, the founder of Pasipata, belonged to the 1st century A. D., and that all the sects of Saivism originated from his teachings. And Gandapada's teaching shows that the spinda doctrine must have been existent in

¹ Abbinavagupta's Pratyahhijänsihariani, Vol. II, p. 234. Interestingly concepts, K. M. Sen points out that hijfny attands for the highest truth in a number of Surva and Sakts tartiers. See his "Conception and Davelopment of the Stunya Doctrine in Medieval India", Proceedings of the Oriental Conference 1989.

^{*} K. C Pandey . Abhinavaquipta, p 91

Sir S. Radhakrishnan . Indian Philosophy, Vol. II. p. 418,

the 7th century, if not in the 6th or even earlier. The period from the 1st century A. D right up to about the 7th must have been an age of intense philosophical activity in India. when there must have been a great ferment of ideas and attempts at system making The Prainaparametas, which are earlier than Nagarjuna, must be assigned at least the 1st century A D. The Sütras of Vyasa, Kanada and Gautama must have belonged to about the 2nd and the 3rd centuries. From about the 2nd onward. Mahāvāna systems were developing, though the Mahāvana itself might have been formed earlier. The Mahayana avatems must have been giving a great impatus to the development of metaphysical systems in the orthodox fold. Through mutual criticism and borrowing, the current schools were developing their systems, and making them more more adequate to a common fund of innate spiritual experiences. Saivism also must have developed its spanda doctrines to an appreciable extent even by the time of Gaudapāda, The fact that Gaudapāda, though later than Bādarāyana, makes no reference to his Sūtras, indicates that he thought out his system independently and constructed it with elements from the Upanisads, the Vijaanavada and the spanda doctrine. And perceiving that the origin of most of these ideas could be traced to the Upanusads and the rest developed out of them, he professed to be a smarta. But later, his disciples and their disciples might have noticed the kinship of his ideas to earlier advaits and brought his ideas into relationship with the Brahmasūtras That Gaudapāda developed his theories independently of the Brahmasūtras and in consonance with the Upanisads may be one of the reasons why Samkara's Bhasya on the Sutras appears to be more in agreement with the Upanisads than with the Sūtras. Gaudapāda himself must have known these famous Sutras, but somehow he ignored them.

J There are some who say that all these are much earlier. In any case, the period must have been one of mutual influence and ferment of ideas, particularly due to the growth of Buddhism, which was heterodox and yet was developing good logic and metaphysics.

The conclusion of this discussion is obvious: Gaudapada was a smarta Vedantin and not a Buddhist. He utilised not only the ideas of the Vijhanavada but also of spanda, which must have been current by his time The spandu doctrine could not have originated in Kaimir as late as the 8th or the 9th century, but must be earlier and must have belonged at least to the country where Gaudapada was living. (Or was Gaudapada himself the originator of that doctrine?) The Buddhist metaphysics was overwhelmed not only by the Vedanta but also by Saivism, both of which incorporated the Mahāyāna ideas And each of the three was borrowing not only metaphysical ideas but also spiritual experiences from the other two. There might also have been a fund of spiritual experience common to all, which none was able to deny in controversies.

NOTES ON A FEW WORDS

BY

BIMALACHARAN DEB

1

The student of Sanskrit is set an interesting problem when he finds two or more words, which he had been told were synonymous, used in one single passage. It is only natural that he thinks they are not really synonymous as he had been told, and that there must be some distinction between them so as to useful with a put in the problem. And this state him on an enquiry.

The enquiry thus started is often a baffling one. He finds that, either there is no commentary available, or, if there is one, it reminds him of the well-known gibe and under a commentator (or lexicographer) is away in point of time from the book in relation to which information is sought, the more likely he is to be uncertain or mistaken as to the meanings of words, and sometimes the wrong explanation is due to obsession of class interests or to ignorance of the particular branch of knowledge regarding which he is giving information. I shall have occasion to illustrate these points by citing actual cases as I go on. The kożas, and modern Dictionarkes and commentaries (which, more often than not, rely very much on the kożas), are, accordingly, sometimes disapponinting and sometimes positively confusing.

Another difficulty which confronts the student is लिप्हरमसार.
And the position is rendered extremely difficult indeed whon an inadvertent (or incompetent) editor perpetuates it in print, and the error, elmost as a matter of course, finds its way into a Dictionary. I shall here give one of the instances which have come to my notice.

Mahabhārata 4. 4. 26 (C. P.) reads in the text नाइमस्य प्रियोऽस्मीति सन्या सेवत पढितः; and in the Nilakantha tika चढितः सिल्हेंक कवी इति विष्यः; Medini (Calcutta: 1869) also reads पढितः सिल्हेंक कवी.

4 [Annals, B. C R, I]

202

That made me think (apart from its being incongruous in the context), even supposing पंदित may be synonymous with स्तर, how does स्तिरह (which is a balsam or gum) come in ? Looking up पंदित in Apte, I find one of the meanings given there is "incense." Monier Williams also gives the same meaning, "incense, I.—"L" "meaning, "leticographer", i. e, giving as his authority some lexicographer, whom, however, he does not name, (a very unsatisfactory practice with M. W.), but it seems practically certain that he is referring to Vivia or Medint or both Thereafter I look up Sabdakalpadruma and find, s v. त्रिस्त, that explains tt—fram सिंदर्स क्यों has become पंदित सिंदर्स क्यों But this error has gone into Apte and Monier Williams. One would hesitate to object if Apte and Monier Williams are cited as authorities on the noint

So much on the negative side

On the positive side, I have learnt from all this the deep truth of the sayings नैकरदानि निवंपात (Niiukta 2, 3 4) and अंथों हि अथंतरस्य दीका and of that sloke in Susruta Sambitā. 1 4 6 —

एकं शास्त्रमधीयानो न विवाच्छास्रनिश्वयम् । तस्मादु बहुश्रुतः शास्त्रं विजानीयाश्विकिन्सकः॥

That is to say, Remember the context always,—and, Cast your net wide if you are really anxious to know the correct meaning of a word.

With these words, I would set down what I have found about certain words —

I वसा सेवस.

We find बसा and मंदम mentioned together in बसामेंबीबहा कुल्या नामानां संववतिता Mb 1 53 12 (CP)=1, 48, 12 (B, O, R I.) बसामेंद्रोबहा कुम्यासन्त्र पीरना च बाउक । जगाम परमां तृष्ठिं दृष्ठीयासास चाऽक्षेत्रम् ॥ Mb 1. 231, 6 (CP)=1 225 6 (B O, R I.).

Bhima's गदा is described as वसामदोपदिग्धांगीम Mb 9, 11, 52 (CP).

What is the distinction between बसा, and भेदम ' Nilakantha does not say anything at any of these places

Let us see, first, what lexicographers say :-

Amarakoża. 2 6 64 says दुकायमांस ह्वयं ह-सेवस्त् वया वसा This passage has been read in two different ways.— (1) बुकायमांस हृय्यं हुन, सेवस्त्र वया वसा This: the reading of Malesvara in his tika to Amarakoża. (2) बुकायमांस हृय्यं, हम्मेदस्तु वया वसा This is the reading evidently adopted by Sabdakalpadruma. because, in explaining वया, is says सा वह द्वयवच्यात् (see s. या) Gangódhara Kavirāja, a renowned vaidya of Bengal, in his tika to Caraka Samhits (published Samvat 1925–1937), calied 'Jalpakalpataru', definitely adopts this reading gradat avu awi

Leaving uside the first portion as not material for our present purpose, my view is that both the readings of the latter portion (विश्वस वया बरा and इन्केट्स वया बरा) are wrong. This is not surprising. Amora Simba, a Jain, cannot be expected to know much about मदम or वया or वया. (It may seem presumptions on my part to say that Amarakośa is wrong here, but I shail go further and say that this is not the only place where I have found it to be wrong I shall show at the proper time and place where it is wrong and why. As regards a famous commentator also, I think he is wrong on a certain point and for a similar reason. This also I shall state at the proper time and place.

Apte says, s. v. Aqq, n.—"1. Fat, marrow (one of the seven dhatus of the body and supposed to lie in the abdomen) M. S. 3, 182, Y. 1. 44; 2. Corpulence, fat of the body"

That Apte is wrong in saying "marrow" is beyond question; marrow is सज्जा His. "fat of the body" is much wider than the fat "supposed to lie in the abdomen", and this latter is also vague.

Monier Williams gives as one of the meanings of लेदम् n., "Fat, marrow, lymph (as one of the 7 dhātus, q v.; its proper seat is said to be the abdomen)".

Monier Williams is similarly wrong in saying "marrow"; and "lymph" seems unjustified. He also limits it to the abdomen.

Now, let us see how the matter stands so far as अयोग is concerned, i. e., how the words in question are used in literature It is good to remember the maxim अयोगशास्त्रा वैपाकरणा: Manu, 3. 182, mentions भेष्य, but unfortunately Medhatithi does not explain it nor does Kullüka, Bühler (S. B. E.), however, translates it as "adipose secretions"

Yajūavalkya Smrti, 1. 44, mentions सेदम्, but Mitāksarā does not explain it. Yaj Sm., 3, 94, mentions व्यावसायहननम् Here Mitāksarā says वपा परिवा ससा मासनेत . We keep aside वपा for the present According to Mitāksarā, then, वसा is the fat in the flesh, 1 e, the adipose tissue

Devanus Binata, in his Smrttcan'riks (ed. Gharpure), Part III, p. 135, I 18, quote, वसानदंभण दांचव and explaine— वसा पाकादिना विभक्तां सोमखेंद्र: मेदों हृदयकसङाच्छाद्यक्तादिमीसिशेष: पाकादिना विभक्तः । नदीपकेंद्रांत्र मेदास्थेन उर्थये । Thus according to Smr Ca., both बच्च and मेदस् are lound in the body, and both are procured by cooking. There is, however, this distinction between the two,—the former is 'the fat in the flesh', i. e, adipose tissue (मांचनेंद्द्र), and the latter is 'the fat in the covering of the heat' (pricardium).

Devanna Bhatta's explanation of बसा seems to gain support from Sayana in his Commentary to Taitt. Sam 1 3 10 1, where he says मांसपाकभांडे स्थितः केहात्मको दवविशेषो बसा.

Now let us look to medical works, which ought to be more definite on the point in question than the class of books just referred to.

We find Caraka Samhitā, 4 6 12, saying नस्मान्मांसमाध्याच्यते मांसन...मदो भद्रसा, तसा नसया and further saying at 4.7.17, when describing the components of a body and their quantities, अयो नसाया, ही निदस, एकी मज्जा.

So, according to Ca. Sam., बसा and भेदम are different from each other.

This latter passage seems to disturb the above statements in the same book (4, 6, 12, 4, 7, 17) which clearly treat भना and सदम as two different things. Any way, we are not told by Ca Sam, exactly what भना and भेजून are or is.

Ca Sam is a 'Physician's Haudbook'. Let us see Susruta Samhitā, which is a "Surgeon's Haudbook' and ought to fell more about it.

Suéruta Samhitā, 3, 4, 11-13, svya मेदों ति सर्वभूनानाम् उदरस्थम् अण्यस्थिषु च महत्तु मज्जा भवति । भवति चाऽन-स्थ्रुकसस्यिषु विशेषण मज्जा न्वभ्येतराश्रिताः । अथेतरेषु सर्वेषु सरकतं मेद उच्यते। ह्युद्धसांसस्य यः क्षेद्धः सा बसा परिकातिता ॥

So .- #737 (marrow) is the " fat " in the big bones.

मन्स् is the "fat" in the abdomen (i.e., inside the abdominal cavity, meaning the orientum and the abdominal viscera in general) and in the smaller bones.

दसा is the "fat," in the flesh, i. e., adipose tissue (excluding, of course, the abdominal viscers).

Accordingly, - नेदम् and वसा are both " fat ", but of different kinds altouether.

In these ofroumstances, Amarakoša must be wrong in so far as it makes चरा synonymous with मृद्य, Similarly, Sim Camust be wrong in so far as it says that मृद्य is the fat in the perioardium. The reading of Amarakoša adopted by Śabdakaipadruma and by Gangdahara (इन्मृद्ध च्या चरा) must also be wrong.

H. बग

As regards the lexicographers, we have already referred to Amarakosa, 2, 6, 64, and shown that Amarakosa is wrong in making सल synonymous with नेदस् Its mistake is worse as regards वरा

Medini says वया विवसंग्रसो , i e, वया means 'a hollow or cavity', and also त्रवस् So far as त्रेवस् is concerned, it is the same as (if not actually based on) Amarakosa and, is, like it, wholly wrong.

Coming next to Apte, we find him saying, s v वर्षा "1. Fat, marrow. Y. 3. 94; 2. A hole, cavity, 4. The skin of the intestines".

Apte seems wholly wrong. वर्षा is neither "fat" nor "marrow"

(which is 東西町) nor "the skin of the intestines" His '2. A hole, cavity "is obviously based on Medini

Monier Williams gives no less than five meanings of $\overline{\alpha}$ or, $N_{CS} \ge 10$ being 's cavity, a hollow, hole, the skin or membrane investing the intestines or parts of the viscora, the caul or omentum, V. S. &c., &c., (the horse has no omentum according to SBr.), the nucous or glutinous secretion of the hones or flesh, nurrow, fat (= medas.) L."

Monior Williams also seems to be wholly wrong He does not, as usual, mention the name or names of his "L", but it seems to be no other than Amarakoša and Medini.

Coming to अयोग now, we find the word used in Yaj. Sm., as referred to by Apte But there Mitaksarā is disappointing. It satisfies itself by saying बपा प्रसिद्धा

Caraka Sambită, 4, 7, 12, enumerates the कांद्राग's, i.e., the internal organs contained in the hollow of the entire torso (and not merely the abdominal cavity), and there, in company with gqv, वक्षत and आँवा is mentioned व्यावस्त्र Thus shows that it is different from gqv, so that the idea that g=ंत्रद : a qr must be wrong. The reason for the suffix, वस्त, I have not heen able to find. But, from what I have found, as I shall presently show, it seems to mean "sustaining or supporting the ave."

In Kausika Sütra, 3.7, we find কৰেশবৃত্তিনা ব্যবহৈ যজন. Sayana to A V 7.40.1 says র্বাশব্দনা ইর্ম যজন But we do not get here what exactly ব্যা is.

The first indication of what it is like I got from Introduction to Sayana to A V 18. 2 51—अग्रेजेस [पर] इत्याच्या नात्रिकृत्रया गो-[
च्या] वेस्तुस्त्र आच्छारयेष्ट्र This refors to the अनुस्तरण गो त्या all shows that this वया was a part of its body and must have been very much like a sheet in appearance, and in which seven holes were made, (obviously, one for each of the स्त्र द्वार्यपा प्राप्ता). That this sheet-like thing was large enough to cover the dead person's face is clear, so that it could not be such a small thing as the pericardium, nor such small, flimsy bits like the "skin of the intestines" or "caul" or "omentum" (i.e., peritoneal membrane, which is not like a sheet). To suggest.

with the above passage before one's eyes, that it is " the mucous or glutinous secretion of the bones or flesh, marrow, fat", would, on the face of it, be absurd.

Upto this, however, we get that it is something like a sheet, but nothing more.

In Taitt. Sam. 2 1. 1. 4, we get स (i. e. मजायति) आत्मनी वयामु द-क्लिबन्त Skyana makes the thing perfectly clear here by saying स्वश्रीरात् सप्यवर्तिमी परसदशी वयामुदक्तित्व उल्लियोजूतवान्. So, we get that it vilke a sheet capable of being torn out.

The fact that it is not only पदसद्धी, but also उदरमध्यातिनी, makes me think that it is the disphraym and that it has nothing to do with the heart

That it is different from the heart is shown by Hiranyaketi Grhys Sütre (ed. Kirste) 2 15.5— संज्ञानाय तुष्णीसाद्ध: प्राणानाच्याच्य नृश्मी वर्ष हृदयं मतन्त्रे उद्धरति. In view of this, 'वया हृदयस्थयानृत्रिशेषः' can hardly be maintained

Finally, as regards the position of the क्या in the cavity of the torso, we have in Aévalayana Grhya Sütra (ed. Gampati Sastr) 1.9 10— संबन्ध पुरा नामेस्हणमंतपीय वपास उन्त्विय etc. Haradatta, in his Anāvilā tika to this passave, says— पुरा नामेरित वपास्वानिर्देश: नामेस्बामिल्यर्थ: That is the position of the displiragm in the body.

Considering all the above factors, there can be no doubt that with in the disphragm Otherwise it will not be possible to make seven holes in it, or to cover a man's face with it, or make possible the use of वराध्यक्षणी, and वरावहन would accordingly mean its muscular attachments all round the abdominal cavity, forming its support.

III स्तम, तम्कर

Apte and Monier Williams agree in saying that both की and
सदस mean "thief, robber". It is perfectly clear that a "thief" is not the same thing as a "robber". I shall presently show that
की and सकर are not quite the same thing.

We have in Mb. 12, 259, 7 (C P)-

यथाऽधर्मसमाविष्टो पनं गृङ्काति तस्करः। रमते निर्हरस्तेन परवित्तमराजके॥ Unfortunately, Nilakantha does not say anything here. But it is obvious that क्षेत्र is something different from तस्कर. Wherein lies the difference?

From what I have found, स्तेम means A thief, i.e., one who takes stealthily. And तस्कर means a roboer, i.e., one who takes openly, i.e., with violence, a dacoit.

This will be seen from R. V. 7m. 55 3—स्तेन राय सारमेय तरकरं वा पुनःसर, where Sayana says प्रच्छक्रभनापहारी स्तेनः ... प्रस्यक्ष-भनापहारी तरकरः।

This idea is also expressed in Śābara Bhāsya to Mimānsā daršana, 1. 2 11—यथा स्तेना घच्छक्करूपा:

There is another distruction made between $\overline{\kappa}\overline{n}$ and $\overline{\kappa}\overline{\kappa}\overline{\kappa}$ on the basis, not of the mode of taking as above, but on that of the value of things taken by them. $\overline{\kappa}\overline{n}$ is one who takes things of comparatively smaller value; $\overline{\kappa}\overline{\kappa}\overline{\kappa}$ is one who takes things of great value. This distinction is mentioned in A. V. 19, 50, 5.—

अप स्तेन वासो मोअजमुत तस्करम् । अथो यो अर्वतः जिरोभिषाय निर्नाषति ॥

The man who steals clothes, cows and goats is ह स्तेन, and स्मा is a man who steals horses Sayana says here—स्तेनतस्करयोः पर्योगस्तेऽपि अपहार्यद्रव्यभारवेण प्रथमपहननम् उक्तम् इति वेदितव्यम् ।

IV. ज्ञातकुंभ, जीबूबद

Taking जानकुँम first of all, both Apte and Monter Williams say simply "gold" But it means "silver" as well. Bhatta Utpaln ttkå to Varahamihira's Brhat Samhitā, 12. 20, says— जातके अञ्चल्यः स्वर्गरनायों वेगीर्ष वायकः।

Then, as regards নাৰ্নৰ and মানকুম, Apte says about both simply "gold". Monier Williams says a v. মানকুম simply "gold" and s. v নাৰ্নৰ; 'gold irom the Jambu river, any gold' They seem both to rely on Amarakosa 2 9.94-93.

From what I have found it seems that they were different metals, সাক্ষৰ being the more prized of the two Mb 13 85 83 (C.P.)

एवं सुवर्णमुत्पसमयत्यं जातवेदसः । तत्र जांबृनदं श्रेष्ठं देवानामपि भूषणस् ॥ What was the distinction between the two? जांब्नद was reddish and जातकुंस was white. Not that शातकुंस was not valuable; it was only less valued than जांब्रद, that is all.

The fact that जांत्रत was reddish appears from the following---तत्र जांब्रतदं नाम कनकं देवभूषणम् ।

इंद्रगोपकसंकाशं जायते भास्वरं तु तत् ॥ Mb. 6, 7, 26 (CP). जांबनद इवादीमः प्रदीप्तज्वलनो यथा । Harivamsa, 2, 98, 47.

That gold of this description was found in the mountains of India is beyond doubt See Beal, "Si-yu-ki", Book xii, (Vol. II, p 298) where Hiuen Tsang speaks of gold that is found in Pu-lo-lo (Bolor, modern Balti or Baltistan in north Kashmir) and says it is as red as fire '.

In Mb. 1. 221 52 (C.P.)=1, 218, 46 (B.O.R.I.), we have कुताकृतस्य मुख्यस्य कनकस्याग्निवर्चसः।

As regards the distinction between the two, we have जीवूनदमयान्यस्य शातकुंभमयानि च।

प्रदीप्तज्वलनामानि शीतराहमनिमानि च ॥ Harivamáa, 2. 6 4. 4.

After this, I think, there cannot be any manner of doubt that जातकुँ and जांचनद are not synonymous. To say that both are "gold" would be incorrect. In fact, while जांचनद appears to be gol', जातकुँभ seems to be a valuable metal, no doubt, but not gold at all. It is white in colour against the yellow of the gold, and may be, for aught I know, something like platinum or some similar white metal, whose colour was not, for some reason known to them, preferred to that of gold. They were both स-वर्ष, but not स्वर्ण both of them

V. कुल, वंश, जाति.

I have found the following passages illustrating the use of the above words:—जारा। च सहशाः सर्वे कुछेन सहशास्त्रथा Mb. 12, 107, 30 (CP), उदानकुछजातीय उदाचाभिजनः सदा Mb. 13, 145 31 (CP),

These two passages show ছুল and সানি mentioned together.
There must be some distinction Milakantha does not say anything I have not been able to discover anything in Amarakoša,

^{5 [} Annals, B. O. R. I.]

210

Sabdakalpadruma, Apta or Monier Williams, which would indicate the distinction.

Similarly कुळवशपतिष्ठां हि पितरः एअमनुवंत् Mb. 1. 74. 98 (CP)=
1. 69. 17 (B. O. R. L.); रत्नभूमिं घदयात् तु कुळवंशं प्रवर्धेत् Mb. 13.
66. 32 (CP).

Here कुछ and पंज. Here also Nilakantha is silent, and I have not found anything in the four books just mentioned.

I found the answer, however, in the Inscription of Dasaratha in Dilwara Temple, Mt Abu, dated Samvat 1201 (published in the Nagari Pracarini Patrika of Benares, Vol. 18, Sam. 1994, p. 235)—
भौधीमालकुलोस्थानिस्तरपारवादवंशींचर साजच्छीतकरोरमो गुणनिषिः भौतिककास्यो गृही।

Ninnaka's mother's family was জানান্ত and his father's family মানোত or প্ৰেবাত.

This shows that ফুল means "mother's family", i. e., the family in which the mother was born, while both আৰ্থি and বঁহা, being contrasted with কুল, mean the "father's family".

VI. बिडाल, मार्जार, रुपदश.

Amarakośa 2.5.6. says ओतुर्विडालो मार्जारी वृषदेशक आखुअस्. This is another of the places where Amarakośa is wrong. It is wrong in so far as it identifies उपदेश with विडाल and मार्जार.

Mb. 9. 44, 25 (C. P.) says-

व्याव्यसिंहर्भवदना बिडालमकराननाः । वृषदंशमुखाश्चानये गजोष्ट्वदनास्तथा॥

Similarly, Mb 9, 45, 80 (C P.) says-

मार्जारशञ्चकत्राश्च दीर्घवकत्राश्च भारत ।

and Mb. 9. 45. 84 (C. P.) says-

गोखरोष्ट्रमुखाश्वान्ये वृषद्शमुखान्तथा।

Unfortunately, Nilakantha does not say anything at any of these places, except विडालबुबदंशी मार्जारजातिभेदी at 9. 44. 25. But this much is certain from the above passages that उपदेश is notither विदाल nor साजीर. That विदाल and साजीर both mean "cat" may be taken to be undisputed. At least, there is no resson to think that they are different.

But what is इपरंश? And is it really different from मार्जार?
The answer is given by Sustrut Samhita, 1 46.70 and 76 The
former passage reads सिंह-याम्डकतरश्चमहाचित्राजारज्ञाणस्मेनोकमन्त्रयो सहाश्चाः i e, मार्जार, along with animals like the lion and
the tiger, is a cave-dweller, it lives in natural caves

The latter passage reads गोधाशशत्रुवदंशङोपाकङोमशकर्णकद्रङी-सुग्रियकाजगरसर्पसुषिकनकुङमहाबभुप्रस्तयो बिछेशयाः।

i.e., হৰ্ম্ম, slong with animals like the hare, the snake, the mouse and the mungoose, is a 'burrow-dweller', i e., it is a burrowing animal Exactly what sort of animal it is I have not been able to find, but this much is, to me, certain that it is no' as cat.

Apte says it is a 'cat'. Monier Williams says—'a cat; a kind of animal living in holes. Susr'

Apte and Monier Williams, in so far as they say 'cat' rely evidently on Amarakosa above referred to and must be held to be wrong.

If this passes muster with the Editor, I shall try and send some more of this sort as soon as I can manage

Finally, I do not know, but the language of this article in some places may be thought to be of presumptuous carping criticism. But I hope not to be misunderstood. I am not a Sanskritist, but an humble student of Sanskrit, an Indian who is earneastly trying to understand the language of his forefathers correctly. He is grateful for everything he receives by way of instruction. But, at the same time, he does feel disgruntled if he finds that the instruction in any particular case was wrong. There is one good side to this, however; this dissatisfaction spurs him on to enquiries, and, so, on towards truth. And, who knows, to Truth? That is all that I have to say.

п

I नेत्र, अक्षि.

नेन, and जालि are said by Amarakoša, 2. 6. 93, to be synonymous But it seems नेन means the entire eye, and जाति the iris only. प्रश्नविनेना ताझायताक्षः कुरुराज एवं Mb 4 71 13 (C P) = 15 25. 5 (C P)

II. शिष्य, अंतेषासी

They are not really synonymous.

डिल्प is a pupil for Vedio lore, and अंतवासी one for handierafts and the like. Smrticandrika (ed Gharpure), II p 174 तथा च नारवः (१, १२)—" शिष्यांनेतासीदासस्राधिच्यकृत्यकरिका यत् । सुदुंबहेसोशस्त्राध्ये नाव्यं तत् कुटुंबिना ॥" शिष्यो येदांवयाथीं शिल्पांचयाचीं स्त्रेतिवासी। So also in Mitaksarā to V\$1, Sm. 2, 183

III. कपोत, पारावत

Amarakośa makes them synonymous 2, 5 14

But they are really different, क्योल being the dove and पागकत the pigeon. The first is inauspicious, and the second auspicious

करोत is said to be the messenger of Nirrti in R V 10, 165, 1 This Sikta is directed to be recited if a dove enters a house Brhat Samhitā, ch. 45, sl 71-72. See also Brhat Samhitā, ch. 87 sl. 12-13 In Bhāgavatam, 1.14, 14, क्योत is described as सुरह्मत

Caraka Samhita, 6. 4. 32, Smrticandrika, III, p 428, and Mb. 13. 104. 114-5 (C.P.) all agree in saying that क्योत is inauspicious, and पागवत is auspicious

কথাৰ is of three kinds, आपांतुर, चित्रकपोत and कुंकुसभूस Bhatta Uppala's tita to Brhat Samhita 87, 1, as also ibid, 87, 12-13. It appears that this division is known in the United Provinces even now. The आपांतुर variety is known as होतु, the चित्रकपोत as चित्रक, and the कुंकुसभूस as सर्गति. Sometimes, a fourth class is made out by splitting कुक्तमुख into कुकूम and एस, the कुंकुम being the सर्गति and the भूस the कहा (dark).

· IV. आवाद, विवाह

आबाहाध्य विवाहाध्य सह भूतिमंचा कृता Mb. 5, 141, 14 (C. P.) = 5, 139, 14 (B. O. R. I.); आवाहाध्य विवाहाध्य यहाध्यासमृते तथा । विवर्तते तरस्रोष्ट ब्रह्म चात्र प्रष्ठीयते ॥ Mb. 13, 63, 38 (C.P.).

That there must be some distinction is obvious Nothing in Nilakantha, Apte or Monier Williams to indicate it

It seems both are invitations to marriages. आयह is invitation to the bridegroom's house-what we in Bengal call वरणाइ, and रिवाह is invitation to the bride's house what we in Bengal call कम्यायाइ, This is shown in Vatsyayana Kamasitra, 4. 1.15— आवाह

विवाहे यहे गमनं सखीभिः नह गोष्टी देवनाभिगमनाभित्यनुहाता कुर्यात्।

Here Jayamangalā tikā says —आवाहे वर्गृहे, विवाहे कन्यागृहे । V विवाह, उड्डाइ

They are not synonymous. There is nothing to show the distinction in either Apte or Monler Williams.

VI नारी, स्त्री

They are not exactly synonymous. जी means woman in general नारी is a woman who is super-excellent among women. आर्नोऽर्ज मुद्दित भीता नार्ययें सीषु सा भवेत्।

Nitimanjari (Dya Dviveda), Sl 114 Dya Dviveda explains the distinction —

अर्थः स्वामी आर्ते दुःश्विनि आर्ता दुःश्विता, तस्मिन् मुद्दितं सति या प्रीता मुदिता, सा की नारी अवेद, "यतश्च निर्धारणस्" (पा. २, ३,४) इति समग्री। अन्या न।

VII. हेव. सहेंव.

They are not synonymous.

देवताश्वीपांश्याजेंद्रमहेंद्रवर्जम्-Asval. Gr. Sat. 1, 10, 4. हंत्रं निगमेषुपलक्षयेदिंत्रयाजिनो महेत्रं महेत्रयाजिनः -- Apast. Sr. Sut. 2, 2, 7.

in was worshipped by those who had not attained prosperity. but were anxious to do so HEZ could not be worshipped by anybody who had not succeeded in attaining prosperity.

नाऽगतभीमंहेंद्रं यभेत Apast Srauta Sutra, 1. 14 9

VIII कास्त्रेग अक

Amarakośa 1. 8. 4 makes काइवेय and नाग synonymous, leaving out सर्थ. As a matter of fact, it is undeniable that both नाग and सर्थ are काइबेय, i e, children of कह

T recall अर्बदो नाम कादवेयः सर्पऋषिः Durgacarva to Nir 2.5. and Savana to R. V 10. 94. 1 - कड़वा पुत्रस्य सर्पस्याऽर्ब्दस्यार्षस

IX कीर्ति ग्रहास

Amarakośa 1, 3 11 (and Apte and Monter Williams) make them synonymous But Mb 12 54 32 (C P.) says-यावदि प्रथते लोके परुषस्य यशो आवि।

वास्त्र वस्तात्म्या क्रीनिर्भवतीति विनिधिता ॥

Obviously कीर्न and यज्ञान are not the same thing Nilakantha explains यशः परचित्रचमत्कृतिजनका गुणीयः । कीर्तिः साध्तयाऽन्यैः कथनम् ।

Thus use means "the superior qualities of a man which impresses others", and wiff "the appreciative talks about him by other people ".

X аса, ил.

Neither Apte nor Monier Williams makes any distinction between the two

Visnu Purana 4 13, 136 says अयमपि चज्ञावनंतरमन्यत्करवंतरं तस्यानंतरमन्ययञ्चांतरं चाजस्रमविशिष्टं यजतीति

Mb. 6. 33. 16 (C P.) = Gitā, 9. 16 says आहे कत्रहे यह: !

Here Samkaracarya Bhasya says ऋतः श्रीतः कर्भभेदोऽहमेवाऽहं यज्ञः स्मार्नः ।

XL क्रोध (कोष), अमर्च,

Amarakośa, 1, 7. 26 treats these as synonymous, and so do Apte and Monier Williams.

But

कोषामर्थी समुत्यपुष्प सेमतस्थे दिवं तदा Mb. 1, 228, 23 (C. P.) = 1, 219, 19 (B. O. R. I.), which reads कांच, सक्रोधामर्थनिद्धाद्वास्त्रस्य Mb. 1, 96, 17 (B. O. R. I.); कोचं तक्षमत्वे च पो निषाय परेत्यः। निजास्मा पांडवोऽमर्थी आत्तिस्त्राति शासने ॥ Mb. 5, 90, 25 (C. P.) = 5, 88, 26 (B. O. R. I.); उदाच सार्य्य तत्र कोचामर्य-समिनितः Mb. 7, 115, 4 (C. P.) अllakautha does not say anything at any of these places

We have in Visnu Purana, J. 13. 27—ततस्ते सुनवा सर्वे कोशानर्य-समन्तिता: Here Srdhara in his Atmaprakasa tika says कोषश्व अन्तर्यक्ष प्रवावविद्याजनिते ताच्यो समान्तिताः Visnucitti tika here says अन्योऽतिविक्षा

So, क्रोप (or कार्य) is 'Anger''. जनमें is "the state of impatience or impetuosity (or incapacity to put up with the circumstances), being the result of extreme anger''.

The reader will have noticed that I have considered Ψὰτη to be not only very important, but the very touchstone for lexico graphers. In fact in so many cases, my view is that πὰτα shows that the lexicographer is wrong. I say this on the basis of a fair collection of passages critically considered (of course, according to my lights) in my interleaved copies of Apte and Monier Williams.

In this view, a new Dictionary of Sanskrit, on the lines of Murray's Oxford English Dictionary, or of its less known predecessor, Fallon's 'Hindustani-English Dictionary, is a desideratum.

That it is not a "one-man job" is sure enough, particularly when the language is Sanskrit

And I recall to my mind

सर्वः सर्वं न जानाति सर्वज्ञो नास्ति कश्चन । नैकत्र परिनितास्ति जानस्य परुषे काचित ॥

But can it be difficult of achievement, if a batch of Sanskritists, who have made intensive and extensive study of the language, put their heads together for a number of years?

STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF HINDU FESTIVALS— SOME NOTES ON THE HISTORY OF DIVAL! FESTIVAL

- (BETWEEN C. A D. 50 AND 1945)

P. K. GODE

All festivals have a unifying influence in social and national life. Students of the cultural bistory of a nation must understand the genesis and development of these festivals and record their history with as much precision and detail as possible. The oldest living civilizations on this globe have contributed not a little to the growth of these festivals, which are a regular feature of national life. To whatever class of people these festivals may belong they have a peculiar funnan appeal. In India with its numerous religious beliefs we have quite a bewildering variety of religious and semi-religious festivals but unfortunately much of the writing? devoted to these festivals is of a descriptive type, in which no attempt is made to fathom the depths of available historical sources with a v.ew to reconstructing any reliable history worth the name.

In 1916 one author who calls himself "Rapedin" published a book called History of Arman Festivals 2 (Minister Africa Faster) in

¹ The provincial Guistices of India contain much wedti information about many of these featurals but at its of adentifier by The history of each featival ought to be studied in the form of monographs as a praiminary to an encylopacide history of Indian Featurals. At present our knowledge of the history of featurals is rather nebulous. We cannot say when a particular featural or the studied and how it developed through centures of our cultural history. More descriptions of the present-day featurals, howevere enchanings, do not prove their aniquity as these descriptions are a mixture of legends and here-asy evidence with many regional variations, from which it is difficult to comprehend the origin and development of these featurals.

This book was published in Bombay. The Preface of the author is dated and April 1916. This book appears to have encyed a wide popularity as well be seen from the opinions printed as the end of the volume. The author deals with such topic as = (1) Rise of Indian Aerromay, (2) Seasons, (3) Months, (4) Adhikondou (intercalary month), (5) Seven days.

Marathi. This is perhaps the only book in Marathi which devotes no less than about 370 pages to the study of Hindu festivals but even here one fails to find any history as such of each festival which has governed the life of our ancestors for hundreds of years. This attempt is, however, praise-worthy as it is perhaps the only conscious effort to meet a long-felt want about the history of our festivals. In dealing with each festival the author records valuable information about its currency in different regions of India, the ritual connected with it and the present manner of its celebration. The remarks of the author on the historical background of each festival are suggestive but not comprehensive for want of fully documented study about its origin and development. The author tells us in his Preface that his book is not written for the learned reader. All the same we must thank him for this pioneer 1 attempt, which is sufficiently conscientious and learned within the limitations under which he had to work and thus provide a readable account of Hindu or Aryan festivals as they are observed in India in the different months of one Hindu year

(continued from the previous page) of the week, (b) Samunitara-phala, (7) different Sakas, (8) Sessonal Festivals and Historical Festivals (pp. 16-17), (9) Samvatsara-Pratinado. (10) Ramanavami. (11) Dolofsava, (12) Gauri-Utvara, (13) Aksaugu-Trtīyā, (14) Parašurāma-Jayantī, (15) Nrsımha-Jayantī, (16) Gangotsava or Dašahara, (17) Vata-Sāvitri, (18) Mahā-Ekādašt, (19) History oi Caturmasa, (20) Nagapoñcami, (21) Mangala-Gauri, (22) Sravani, (23) Povati Paurnimā, (24) Nāralī-Paurnimā, (25) Šrī Krsna-Janmāstamī, (26) Pithori-Amarasud, (27) Pola or Vrsabhotsava, (28) Harifalika, (29) Ganesa-Caturthi, (30) Rsi-Pañcami, (31) Juesthil-Gauri, (32) Vamanadvādaši, (33) Ananta-Caturdaši, (34) Mahālava-Paksa, (35) Navarātra-Mahotsava, (36) Vigayā-dašamī, (37) Rāmalīlā, (38) Dīpāvals-Mahotsava (धनत्रयोदकी वर धनतेरस, नरकचतर्दकी, लक्ष्मीयजन), (39) Balipratipada, (40) Yamadvittya, (41) Tulasi-vivāha, (42) Dhātripūjana, (43) Vaikuntha-Caturdaki. (44) Tripuri-Paurnima, (45) Campa-sasthi, (46) Datta-Javanti, (47) Makara-Sankramana, (48) Vasanta-Pasicami, (49) Ratha-Saptami, (50) Mahāsivarātra, (51) Phālauna-Utsava or Holi

¹ I must not fail to mention here a still earlier book on Hindu fastivals This is called "Hindu Holidays" by Balay Staram Kothars, punted at the Times Press, Bombay, 1904, pages 100 The author contributed his articles on this subject to the Times of Indua in the years 1900 and 1901 and these have been presented in a book-form with the above title. Above fistivals this

⁽ continued on the next page)

^{6 |} Annals, B. O. R. I. |

According to Revedi the Hindu festivals can be roughly classified as follows —

(1) Festivals originating from Rtus or Seasons:

संवत्सर मृतिपदा, अक्षरयतृतीया, चातुर्मास श्रावणी, नारळी पौर्णिमा, विजयादशमी, दीपावळी, यात्रीगुजन, मकरसंक्रांत, वर्मतपंचमी, होळी व जिससा

(2) Historical (Artihāsika) Festivals --

श्रीरामनवमी, परशुरामजयंती, दशहरा, कृष्णजन्माष्टमी, वामनद्दादशी ?

त्रिपुरी पार्णिमा, महाशिवरात्र

(4) Vaisnami Festivals -

नृतिंह जयन्ती, महाएकादशी, अनंतचतुर्शी, वैकुंठचतुर्शी, दोलासब

(continued from the previous page) author rightly observes -- " The life of a nation is best depicted by the description given of its festivals, and the Hindus, being a civilized nation from remote antiquity have had their own holidays both to create and express joy Hero-worship, religious devotion, social enjoyments, and the observance of particular rites and ceremonies, with definite object in view such as preservation of women from widowhood, freedom from the guilt of committing belous crimes, the preservation of children from death in infancy, the acquisition of wealth, the restoration of lost power, fortune or kingdom, the remembrance, worship or feeding of the departed spirits of ancestors have their characteristic holidays " --- The book deals briefly with the account of the following Holidays - (1) and Padva, Ramanavami, (2) Hanuman Jayanti, (3) Vata Pornima, (4) Ashadi Ekudashi, (5) Naq Panchams, (6) Cocoanut Day, (7) Janua and Gokul Ashtans, (8) Pithors Amayasia, (9) Ganesh Chaturths, (10) Gauri, (11) Vaman Dwadashi, (12) Anant Chaturdashi, (13) Hindu anniversary Days, (14) Navaratra Festival, (15) Dacara, (16) Kojagari Pornima, (17) Dewali, (18) Four Hindu Holidays, (19) Datta Jayanti, (20) Makara Sankrant, (21) Mahashivaratra, (22) Hol: Festival

Just classification is good anough so far as it goes. It is only after a thorough listorical study of each festival is recorded that an econcise thorough listorical study of each festival is recorded that an econcise classification can be given. As regards the ritual and belief connected with some of the Hindu festivals ase Kegs of Power by J. Abbots (Mathesa & Cos., London, 1932). I note some points from this blook about the Diedit. Diedit, 204-205, maidens wave arts at D. 63, 183, impression of hand made out cattle at D. 1382, Oil applied to the body at D. 1939. Lights waved before cattle at D. 184, Foot-prints drawn at D. 186, Worship of implements at D. 230, Manayard days during D. 322, Use of red water at D. 382, Seed-drill draws di ngarments of a woman at D. 337. Worship of Lakeni on the threshing at D. 369, Pole of the threshing-foot cut at D. 370; Grinding forbidden at D. 480 — It would be useful to study the history and obronology of these practices from datable sources. Sanskrit and note-Sanskrit.

(5) Kantumbika Festivals:-

बहुमाधित्री, संग्रहागीरी, पिठोरी अमावास्या, हरिनालिका, ऋषिपंचर्का

(6) Kakta Festivuls :-

गौरी-उत्सव, ज्येष्टागीरी, लिखतापंचमी, सरस्वता, देवी नवराव चंपायष्ट्री (?)

- (7) Saura Festivals स्थलप्रमी
- (8) Gānapatya Festivals गणेश वतर्था

For studying the regional variations in the calebration of different Hindu festivals one must get first-hand information shout these festivals from the residents of the different regions. where these festivals are observed. In the absence of this information we can get some information about them in the Provincial Gazetteers, 1 some of which were written in a scholarly manner and hence may serve as a useful starting noint for a historical study of the different festivals as they show the currency or otherwise of these festivals in different regions, where some of the old traditions have been preserved. We must. however, go from the present to the past and thus try to study when and how the details of these festivals have originated and thus enriched the social, religious and cultural life of India

1 The Bombay (sazetteer contains the following references to Divali holidays - Vol. IX, pt 1, 23, note 5, 54, 82, 119, 151, 170, 173 175, 178, 303, 305, 306, 316, 336, Festival days among Bhils, 1d. pp 305-306, Fire-worship onid, 357. Days for settling accounts by traders, id, 82. Period best suited to subject evil spirits, IX, pt. 11, 145. Hindu New year considered an evil spirit time, id, 148, Buddhist Holidays XIV, 145, among Patane Prabhus, XVIII. pt. 1, 251-253, among Kunbis, id. 294-295

The Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics contains some references to Divan (see IV, 607a, Ahir, I, 232b, 233a, Bhil, II, 555b, Davar, V, 3a. Dravidian, V. 3s. 14a, 19ab , Pavras V, 19b, Sacrifice, V, 14a) - The description of Dravidian Divali (V, 19ab) has the following features -

- (1) Lights are used probably as a mean, of expelling evil spirits.
- (2) Sacrifice of goats and fowls before stones, painted red after bringing them from a neighbouring river-bed
- (3) Dancing and feasting, attended with lighted torones
- (4) Cattle are decorated with colour and garlands and a figure of the osttle-god Balind: a is made and kept in the cow-shed.
- (5) Procession of the fiercest bull and the swiftest heifer through the village - Any lad who can snatch a garland from the buil or herfer Is considered a fit match for the best girl in the neighbourhood.

In his article 'on Dwalt-Folklore Mr. B. A. Gupte observes:—
"Holidays in all countries have their folklore, and the Dwalt of
India is no exception. The greater the number of folk-tales, the
higher the stage of development in the society, and the greater
the interest we find attached to the evolution of the festivities,"
Mr. Gupte then records half a dozen different folk notions, of
which No. V is as follows:—

"The fifth idea has a historical basis It is that King Vikramaditya of Ujjain, a scion of the Gupta race was crowned on this day, the 16th of Asvin and counted his era accordingly. This is thus a New year's day " From the multiplicity of accounts of the origin of Divali Mr Gupte discloses the following points - (1) the change of the season, (2) the death of the rice-crop barvest. (3) the time of manuring the soil for the Second crop. (4) the Sun reaching Libra, the Seventh sign of the Zodiac. (5) the coronation of Rama, and (6) the selection of this coronation day for the conventional colonation and eramaking day of Vikramaditya, the last of the Guotas. In the Plate of Divali Drawings (drawn by women from the 8th day o. the 2nd half of Asun to Divali) accompanying Mr Gupte's article we find the following symbols -- (1) Temple ascribed to Laksmi Nārāyana, (2) the Sun. (3) the Moon. (4) hanging lamps, (5) Sankha Shell, (6) the mage, (7) the lotus, (8) the wheel, (9) the Svastska, (10) the Sesa or thousand-hooded cobra. (11) the cow's foot-prints, (12) sparrows, (13) the mango (14) the bel (wood-apple) tree and (15) the toot-mints of Laksm! According to Mr Gupte "the presence of sparrows at harvest time, the position of the threshing floor usually near a shady tree, and the incoming realization of the sale-proceeds (wealth) as expressed by the foot-prints are significant. This is perhaps the most primitive origin of the festival "

In the Hobson-Jobson (by Yule and Burnell, London, 1903, pp. 208-309) we get some foreign notices of Davids from A.D. 1618 onwards. I reproduce here these notices with the remarks of the additors—

Dewally, S. H. dewali, from Skt, dipa-ālekā, 'a row of lamps' i. e. an illumination An autumnal feast attributed to the celebration of various divinities, as of Lakshmi and of Bhavāni, and also in honour of Krishna's slaying of the demon Naraka, and the release of 16000 maidens, his prisoners. It is held on the last two days of the dark half of the month of Asun or Asan and on the new moon and four following days of Kürttika is usually some time in October But there are variations of Calendar in different parts of India and feasts will not always coincide e. g. at the three Presidency towns nor will any ourt expression define the dates. In Bengal, the name Dewili is not used, it is Kali Paja, the feast of that giln goddess. a midnight festival on the most moonless nights of the month. celebrated by illuminations and fileworks on land and river, by feasting, caronsing, gambling and sacrificing of goats, sheep and buftaloes.

A. D. 1618-" Dwaly "

-Godinho de Eredia, f 38 v

A D. 1623--" October the four and twentieth was the Dawāli or the feast of the Indian Gentles."

-P Della Valle, Hak. Soc. ii, 206

! D. 16.1-" In the month of October eight days after the full moon there is a feast held in honour of Vistnou which is called Diphunh

- A Rogerius, The Open-Dours

A D. 1671-" In October they begin their year with great feasting, Jolity sending presents to all they have any busyness with which time is called Dually?

-Hedges Diany, Hak. Soc. 11, ccexiv

A. D. 1673--" The first New Moon in October is the Banyan's Dually '

-Fryer, 110.

.4 D. 1690-".....their Grand Festival Season called Dually Time"

- A. D 1820—"The Dewalee, Deepaulies or Time of Lights takes place 20 days after the Dissera and lasts three days, during which there is teasting, illumination, and fireworks"
 - -7. Coats in Tr Lit Soc Bo , ii, 211.
- A. D. INLI--" Nov b. The Divali, happening to fall on this day, the whole river was bright with lamps. ... Ever and anon some votary would offer up his prayers to Lukshim the Fortuna and launch a tiny raft bearing a cluster of lamps into water,—then watch it with fixed and anxious gaze. It it floats on till the far distance hides it thrice happy hebut if, caught in some wild eddy of the stream it disappears at once, so will the bark of his fortunes be enculpied in the whirl pool of adversity."

-Dru Leaves from Young Egypt, 84

- A D 1883—"The Divade is celebrated with splendid effect at Benares... At the approach of nights small eather lamps, fed with oil are prepared by millions and placed quite close together so as to mark out every line of mansion, palace, temple, minaret and dome in streaks of fire."
 - -Monter Williams, Religious Thought and Life in India, 432,
- E W. Hopkins in his article on Hindu Festivals and Firsts in the Encyclopacida of Religion and Ethics 1912 (Vol V. pp. 867-871) classifies Hindu (etwals as follows.—
 - (i) Ancient Festivals -
 - (1) Moon-festival (seaconal)
 - (2) Four-month celebration (seasonal).
 - (3) Ceremony of First-fruits
 - (4) Soma-sacrifice.
 - (5) Consecration Ceremony.
 - (6) Horse-sacrifice.
 - (7) Solstice-feast
 - (8) Twelve nights' Celebration.
 - (9) Sacrifices at tirthas by pilgrims
- (ii) Modern Festivals --- These festivals are new in effect, though old in general character.

- (1) Lamp Festmal (Dwāli) The rites in honour of one god have passed over to another without materially altering the celebration and sometimes even to-day the same celebration is held in honour of different gods. Thus the very pleasing lamp festmul in which, in autumn, lamps are lighted in every direction, floating lamps are set off down rivers etc., is celebrated by some as a festival in honour of Visnu's wife, and by others in honour of Durga, the wife of Siva—Modern festivals have thrown off Brahmaniam as far as possible, and are more clearly celebrations of the seasons, devoid of priestly ritual and self-sufficient.
- (2) Spring Festival of Northern India (Holt) Between the old and new there must have been a large number of special festivities now lost sight of, or only faintly reflected in intermediate literature—not to speak of many special festivities in honour of gods and goldesses described in the mediaval Puranic and Tantric literature—Some of the modern festivals are both Sectarian and Sansonal—In the devil-frightening festival viz. the "lamp-festival" (Dwall) the original intent of the celebration is merged in the worship of some modern deity.

(3) Makarasankrānti and others-

That there were many festivals not included under the screen of religious rites in ancient times may be taken for granted and this is supported by extennal evidence—Many of the Hindu festivals have a counter-part in those of other races

It is clear from the above grouping of Hindu festivals that the Dielli or "lamp-festival" is a Modern fivilital according to Hopkins, who, however, does not say when it originated and developed its numerous features as we see them to-day.

 $^{^1}$ Hopkins records the following Literature pertaining Hindu Festivals and Fasts —

⁽¹⁾ H H Wilson Select Works, u, London

Festivals of the Hindus "
(2) Natera Sastri Hindu F

 ⁽²⁾ Nutera Sastri Hindu Fer. J. Fasts and ceremonies,
 (3) W. J. Wilkins Modern Hinduism, Calcutta, 1900.

⁽⁴⁾ Monier Williams Hinduism, London, 1877,

⁽⁵⁾ F K. Ginzel Handbuch der mathematischen und technischen Chronologie Leipzig, 1906, ff., i 310-402.

⁽⁶⁾ E. W. Hopkins Religions of India, Biston, 1895 (previous literature cited on pp. 448 and 592).

⁽continued on the next page)

In the above volume of the Encyclopaedia of Religion and Ethics there is an article by Margaret Stevenson on Jain Festivals and Fusts (pp. 875-879) In this article she describes the Dawatt of the Jame as follows -

" Next to Parmsana the greatest of all the Jain sacred seasons is Diwifi. If the former owes its importance to the emphasis which the Jams lay on the sin of killing, Diwali derives its position from the importance of wealth to a mercantile community. the Jams. The Jams assign a special reason for their participation in what is really a Hindu festival in honour of Laksmi, the goddess of wealth. They say it originated when Mulifyira passed to moksa and the eighteen confederate Kings and others who were present at his passing instituted an illumination, saving "Since the light of intelligence is gone, let us make an illumination of malerial matter (see Kalpa Sütra, Sacred Books of the East, xxii 2: 6)

The festival continues for tour days, the last days of Airing which close the Hindu year and the first of Karttika-falling usually within the months of October or November Among the Svetāmbara Jains, the first day (Dhanteras) of the festival is devoted to polishing newellery and ornaments in honour of Laksmi, on the second day (Kālichaudasa) the women try to propertiale end op rits by giving them some of the sweetmeats they prepare and cook on this day. These they place in a circle at cross-roads (q v.) in order to protect their children from evil influences during the year. The third (Amasa) is the great day of the feast It was on this day that Mahivira went to Moksa and Gautama Indiabhūti atlained to Kanalya. This is the day on which Jams worship their account-books and decorate and illuminate their houses. In the morning Jams of all three sects go to their monesteries and convents and do reverence to the chief monk

⁽ continued from the previous page)

⁽⁷⁾ J C. Oman. Brahmuns, Theists and Muslems of India, London. 1907, (esp. p 241 ft, The Hoh Festival)

⁽⁸⁾ A Hillebrandt Die Spinwendfeste in Alt- Indien ' in Roman Forschungen, V. (1889) 299-340 and Vedische Opter und Zauber. Strassburg, 1897 (= GIAP, m. 2)

⁽⁹⁾ B Lindner. Das ind Ernteopfer, in Festgruss an Bohtlingk, Stuttgart, 1888, pp 79-81.

⁽¹⁰⁾ J. Jolly . Recht und Sitte. Strassburg, 1896 (= GIAP n. 3)

or nun present, who preaches to them on the life of Mahavira and sings appropriate songs. The more devout law people stay and do posaha, but the generality go home and make up their accounts for the year. In the evening they summon a Brahman to direct the Sarada Paid or worship of the account-books, for Brahmans are still the domestic chaplains of the Jains The Jain having arranged his : ccount-book on a stool, the Brahman enters and paints a chandulo (auspicious mark) on the Jain's fore-head, his pan and one page of the account-book. He then writes the word Śri (i e. Laksmi) on the account-book, either five, seven or nine times is such a way as to form a pyramid. A rupee (the oldest possible) is now placed on the book, this rupee for the time being, is considered to be Laksmi herself and the placing of it is called Luksmi-pujā. All the year the owner will carefully guard this particular coin as it is considered luck-bringing, and will use it again next Divali, so that in some Jain families the coin used is of great rarity and antiquity Besides the coin, the leaf of a creeper is also placed on the account-book and the Jam waves a little lamp filled with burning camphor before the book, on which he has placed rice, pain, betel-nut, turmeric and various kinds of fruit. The ceremony ends by sprinkling the books with red powder after which the Brahman and the Jain feast on sweetmeats. The account-book is left open for several hours and before closing it they say: Lakes labba, Lakes labba, i. e. a hundred thousand profits.

The fourth and the last day of Diwalt, Newyear's Day, is the first day of the month of Kārtika and of the commercial year: and the Jains then go and greet all their friends much as we might on our New year's Day and send cards to those who are absent."

If the Jann Dwall originated with the death of Lord Mahavira for which B C 528-7 is one of the traditionary dates we can definitely say that it is about 2400 years old. Can we establish the antiquity of the Hindu Dwall for such a period and also prove the exact starting point of its history? It is exactly for enswering this question on the strength of documentary

Vide p 46 of Early History of India by Vincent Smith, Oxford, 1914 and p 616 of Madhyayugina-Caritia-Kośa by S. Chitrava Shartin, Poona, 1937,

^{7 |} Annals, B. O. R. L. |

evidence that I have undertaken the present study. I shall, therefore, try to establish the antiquity of the *Hindu Divili* as far back as documentary evidence can take it, leaving it to other investigators to improve upon my labours and give us a conclusive history and chronology of this most popular Hindu featival.

In view of the close parallelism between the $Hindu\ Div\bar{u}l\bar{l}$ and the $Jain\ Div\bar{u}l\bar{l}$ we are tempted to ask the following questions —

- (1) Which is the older of the two Divalis-Jam or Hindu?
- (2) Is it possible to suppose that the older of these two Divides has influenced the younger one?

These questions cannot be answered satisfactorily unless we have reconstructed the history of both these $Dv\bar{u}lis$ I shall, therefore, record in this paper some data which would reveal to a certain extent the historical background of these $Dv\bar{u}lis$ but before I do so I shall record a detailed description of the Hindu or Brahmanical Div5il as given by Margaret Stevenson in her book Rules of the Tunce-Dv in (Religious Quest of India Series), London, 1920, pp. 335-340 This description reads as follows—

'The great Feast of Lamps (Dipävali, Dipāli or Divāli occupies the last three days of the Indian wear (the 13th, 14th and 15th of the dark half of the month of Asunai which are sacred to Laksmi, the goldess of wealth

The first of these three days (the Day of wealth-worship, Guj Dhameteriza) is extremely auspicious. Indeed, so invariably auspicious it is, that no astrologer need be consulted about a child being put to school for the first time that day, a move being undertaken, newly married brides being sent to their husband's homes, or gold being given out to a goldsmith to fashion into wewllery

As a rule, before this day there is bustle and str, excursions and alarums in every Twice-born home, for the houses have to be white-washed throughout and decorated, the compound cleaned and the square before the door replastered and marked with a Suzakie in chalk and coloured powder, and all the metal vessels, polished till they shine like gold, have to be arranged in glittering rows round the rooms in the ladies' part of the house, all the ornaments, gold and sliver, have similarly to be cleaned all the ornaments, gold and sliver, have similarly to be cleaned

and, if necessary, sent to the goldsmith's for repair; and all the bills called in and paid. Every old-fashioned house-hold has its own tailor, washerman and goldsmith, whose dues are paid once n year now at Divali; for not one single debt may be left outstanding. This is the time too when new vessels and new cloth are first taken into use. Old-fashioned people, who do not trust in such new-fangled things as banks, take out their hoardings on this day, wash them in milk and worship them. A fine old chief in one part of India regularly worships a thousand gold mahurs on this day. He puts them all into a huge copper-dish, pours water over them and offers them the full sixteen-fold worship, giving alms to Brahmans at the close. All three days the children let off crackers, and help to illuminate their homes by putting lights on the gates But on this first day the boys tie white strings and clips to the end of sticks to make buzzers (Gui Bhambuda)

These they whirl over their beads, so that their buzzing may frighten the cows. Any dust these animals kick up on this day is considered specially acred, so the boys 100 and stand in the perfect dust-storm caused by the stampeding cows running away from the buzzers, and so acquire virtue without a trace of boredom!

The women with their spring cleaning finished, cook specially nice food and wear their best clothes. The houses not only of the Twice-born but of all the Hindus and also of Jaines and Päreks, are illuminated, and there is universal rejoiding in the fact that all debts are paid, the harvest garnered and the rainy season over, so that every one seems happy, and the entire holiday is a featival of light-heartedness. Far different will be the following night!

The morning after the Day of Wealth-worship is still a time of gladness and repoting (Räpa Caturdas, The Day of Beauty) Men and women get up early take extra pains over their toilet, and wear their finest clothes. Every one is dressed before six, and they all have great fun calling on different friends, breakfasting with them and eating special dainties.

But the Witches Night (Küla Rātri) that follows is a parlous time, the most hag-haunted season of the whole year, for then

all the evil ghosts of the wicked or of those wuo have died violent deaths, and the terrifying spectres of women who have died in child-birth, come out and walk the streets. But besides these black malignant spirits ordinary ghosts, demons, ghosts, wraiths and witches are also abroad. All through the year rash fools who wished to meet ghosts could had them aftor night fall when they haunt cemeteries and burning-grounds, or in the particular trees where they dwell, but on this lith night of the dark of the moon of Medica they are not confined within them usual limits, but more freely, wherever they please.

So all wise people bide at home if they possibly can, especially if, like women or children, they are also very timid.

Hamman, the special guardian against ghosts, has, you may be sure, more than the usual number of worshippers this night, who pour oil and red lead over him and offer him cocco-nuts. In return his devotees take some of the oil that drips from his right foot, burn it with soot, and mark their eves with it, for this not only ensures their being under the special protection of the god for the year, but also improves their sight for the same period

Other people quiet the ghosts by making circles where four roads meet and put cakes of grain and pulse fried together in oil within the circle, and Indians of other than the Twice-born casts would worship certain mether goddesses within these circles.

Venturesome men who know the right maniras for laying ghosts sometimes try and get control over spirits on this night and go olone to the burning grounds. There they make a circle, either with cold iron or with water and sit carefully within its bounds keeping some pulse of a special kind (Phaseotus radiatus) near them.

All sorts of ghosts, ghouls, demons, fiends, and witches attack the man. If they come too near, he throws the pulse at them, and this irrightens them off, and as long as he keeps a brave heart and repeats the right manirus 108 times without stumbling, all goes well, and he is their absolute master for the ensuing year, but if he steps outside the circle before the day dawns, or if for one moment during the terrible ordeal his heart falls him and he blenches, or if he stumbles as he repeats a munira, he is in the fiends' nower for aver.

Other Indians, such as Kolis, Bhils, and the low-castes go down on this night to the desolate phoese where corpses are buint and, making similar circles, burn within them as sacrifices to terrible mother goddesses, such as Mahalafi, or Bharraei, or Mchali, offerings of evil forbidden food, spirits and meat and give them a Shi i of ceremony and bangles to wear

If in-pike of all her tears, a woman has to go outside herhouse on this terrifying night, she walks very carefully, for there are circles drawn in every street and if she so much as put her foot inside one, an evil spirit will take possession of her. If, by some misshanes, this terrible catastrophe does happen to her, she knows it, and to do her friends, by her constant shaking. Sometimes the woman her self says who the ghost is, how it came, and how to get rid of it.

The last day of the three is the greatest of all the Dinit days. In the morning children get up early, bathe as soon as they are dressed, and let off crackers by their heart's content. The children have had a fine time all three days, for their elder-believe that, if the children are indulged and kept happy in every possible way, the year will bring good fortune to both parents and children, you may be sure, make the most of so concenial a creed.

On this last day they take a cake of cow-dung, thrust in it a piece of sugar-came for a holder and arrange on the cow-dung a tiny earthen lamp, in which a wick floating on sweet oil is kept burning. Carrying this light (Gu) Marigna) the children go round from house to house begging oil for their lamp, that their dead ancestors may get light.

Their elders spend the morning in meeting and entertaining their friends and preparing for what is the main ceremony of the three day's holiday, the Worship of the Account-book, by putting bigger lamps than ever in the windows of their houses, for this night the illuminations must be "gorgeous and graud". All the old accounts must be closed and the new ones opened, in preparation for the worship.

The astrologer fixes the exact moment for this all important rite to be performed. The new account book is opened and on its third leaf a Brāhman writes the word $\hat{\mathbf{M}}\hat{\mathbf{i}}$ (ie Laksmi, the goddess of wealth) over and over again in such a way as to form a pyramid of the syllable $\hat{\mathbf{M}}\hat{\mathbf{i}}$.

In the centre of this pyramid a betel-leaf is placed, and on it a current rupes, the newer the better

The five-fold worship is offered by the presiding Bråhman to the account-book still open at this page, concluding with Train, and at the end the food offered is distributed to visitors and alms are given to the Bråhman, who in turn blesses his host, saying "May you be happy all the year".

Then as an omen, the rupee from the account-book is tossed up, and if it falls with a clear ringing sound, it is a lucky pre sage for the new year

Afterwards every one gathers round to eat sugar and grain, sends trays of it to friends and lets off crackers

The account-book is kept open all night with a lamp buning beside it, and early in the morning the Brahman returns. His host says to him three times. "Lafter Lābka" (a hundred thousand profits) and the Brahman replies. "May you have a hundred and twenty-five thousand profits" and shuts the account-book.

All night long every one in the house has kept awake and with the dawning of the new year they sally forth to wish their friends all happiness."

My object in reproducing the above description of the $Div\bar{u}li$ in extense is two-fold. Firstly it will enable my readers to compare it with the descriptions of the $Div\bar{u}li$, as current in the other regions of India and greater India, which may not have come under the direct observation of Mrs Stevenson. Secondly it will enable research scholars to see if any of the features of the $Div\bar{u}li$ celebration mentioned in this description have any early history for them and whether such history can be proved on documentary evidence of Sanskrit and non-Sanskrit source. In studies pertaining to the culture of any nation the details of any feature of this culture are most impostant and need to be recorded with meticulous care so that they may give us as comprehensive a picture of this culture as possible on the strength of present observation and past history, backed up by historical

sources pertaining to different regions and periods. Every modern feature may not have any long history for it but it is possible to trace the history of some essential features of a festival to ancient times.

We have recorded the descriptions of the Hindu and the Jain Divile so far. Now let us record a description of the Divile as observed in Greater India by people with Buddhist persuasion In this connection some interesting information is found in the account of Sumess Festivals and Fasts by G. E. Gerini (Vide on. 885-890 of Ency of Reh and Ethics, Vol. V) who informs us that Siamese festivals are traceable, as a rule, to either Brahmanism or Buddhism These two creeds were introduced into Siam at a very early date and consequently Brahmanic and Buddhist rites were introduced into most of the national festivals and ceremonies Speaking of the festivals observed in Siam in the month of Karttika Mr Gerini notes (1) the Feasts of lamps which is a counterpart of Hindu Divali or Diparali and (2) the Kürttikeya Festival, which is a state ceremony recently abolished. in honour of Karttikeya (or Skanda, the Hindu Mars, son of Siva) and which is attended with the floating of lamus, and boufires The Stamese Divali is described by Mr Gennas follows -

" No. 20 Feast of lamps (Karttika, 12th month)-This embraces two distinct festivals (1) the hoisting of lamps on poles on new-moun day and the lighting of them at night, till the second day of the waning, when they are lowered. (2) the floating of lumps in the streams at night on the 14th, 15th and 16th days of the moon. with the eventual addition of fire-works This second form of illumination seems however, to be connected more especially with the festival hereafter explained (No. 21) On the other hand the genul lanterns hoisted on poles, as aforesaid, are kept burning to scare away goblins as in time of epidemics (cf e.g. the raksa-pradinas, and, it is believed, also to retain the water from draining off the paddy fields, for the ears of rice would not attain maturity if the yearly inundation were to abate so early. Hence the festival is a very popular one like its counterpart the Diwati or Dipawati in India. They closely correspond although the ākāšapradīpas (lamps raised on poles in the air) are in India lighted in honour of Laksmi. We have here to do essentially

with a festival in honour of Visnu and his consort, for it is known that on the 11th day of the new moon of Kartika the god awakes from his four months sleep, and that his victory over king Bali (Vamana apadica) took place at this season."

Mr Gerini does not record any history of the Siamese festivals, hence it is difficult to say at what time the Divali festival originated in Siam and continued to be observed comewhat on the lines of the Hindu Divali. If the Siamese Divali is a counterpart of the Hindu Divali, its history is dependent on the history of the Hindu or Brahmanical Divali, which we want to reconstruct in this paper.

Resuming now our reconstruction of the history of the Hindu Divāli, I have to record here an interesting account of the Divāli Festival as recorded in a Maratha chronicle 1 which states —

"Mahadu Soundia (A. D. 1797-1794) informed Peshwa Savai Mādhavarao (A. D. 1774-1795) as follows —

'The Divall festival is celebrated for 4 days at $Kot\bar{a}$, è when luce of lamps are lighted. The Ran of $Kot\bar{a}$ during these 4 days gives a display of fire-works outside the premises of his capital, it is called "বাজনী ঠোৱা" or "Lankā of fire-works". During this display the image of Rāvana is prepared and kept in the centre of the show. Images of Rāksasas, monkeys and a big image of Hamāmān are all prepared of guupowder. The tale of Hamāmān is then set fire to, and Hanunān begins to fiy in the air, setting fire to various houses in this $Lank\bar{a}$ of fireworks. Such a display is given by the Raja of $Kot\bar{a}$ during the Divāli Festival'.

The Peshwa ordered Mahādu to give a similar display of fireworks for his entertainment. Mahādu made all preparations within 15 days and the display was carried out on a dark night

¹ देशस्त्राची समूर by Krehn.ji Vinayak Sohom, ed by K. N. Sane, A. B. Press, Pona, 1925, Page 149 (I have rendered the partinent extract into English from the Maratho original). The author of this Bakhar was possibly born during the regin of Peshwa Savai Müdhava Rao (Vide p. 266 of मूच-मृती व्यक्तिकृति by S Chitraxa, Pona, 1937)

Modern Kotah State in Rainutana.

Vide my paper on Guns and Gun-powder in India—A D 1400 onwards in Sir Devison Ross Volume, ed by P K, Gode and S. M Katre, pp. 117-124

before the Peshwa and his Sardars. The display took place at the foot of the Parcal hill and was witnessed by the Peshwa and his Sardars from the Parcal temple. It was a grand performance and was witnessed by the people of Poons in large numbers."

The use of fire-works in the celebration of the Dwall, which is so common in India now, must have come into existence after about 1400 A. D. when gun-powder came to be used in Indian war-fare. It is necessary to record the history of the use of fire-works in Divali Celebrations prior to the Peakwa period.

In the references to Dvall in the Hobson-Johann the earliest notice of the Dvall by a foreign observer is dated A. D 1618. Let us now go backwards from this date. In the Amri-Akban' (about A. D. 1590) there is an account of Festivals in which we find the Dvall' described as follows:

"Month of Kirthka— The second, ninth, eleventh and twelfth are also festivals. The thereenth is the Dipāli or row of lamps (Hind Dipāli or Lowall) A difference occurs in the calculation of its date. According to the Suklapaksa computation it is as above estated but by the Krsnarpaksa (Hind. Aphan-Nov-Dec.) and they, therefore, hold this festival on the 15th of the Krsnarpaksa of Kärthka. Lamps are lift as on the (Muhammadan) festival of Shāb--bornā. It begins on the 29th and this night is considered auspicious for dicing and many strange traditions are told regarding it. It is the greatest of the festivals re, the Valsya casts "2

Emperor Akbar "participated in the celebration of Dipāvals or Divāls, the festival of lamps" (Aus. I. 216)

From the 16th century we may now go to the 15th century as see how the Hindu Divili was celebrated within the jurisdiction of the Vijayanagar empire. In this connection Dr. B. A. Saletore has collected and recorded the following information about Festuals, Games and amusements in his volumes on Social and Political Life in Vijayanagar Empire (1934). In Vol. II (p. 387) he observes as follows —

Another celebration which evoked admiration from foreign travellers was the Dipācals festival held in the month of Kārttika.

Ed, by Jarrett, Vol II, 1894, Page 320

[&]quot;It is auspicious for all undertakings connected with commerce".

Vide p. 319 of Ind. Histo Quarterly, XIII (June, 1937).

^{8 [} Annals, B. O. R. I.]

This commemorates the victory which Visnu scored against the Asuras and Narakāsuras and since as they say it was won in the evening there is universal illumination of houses and temples in the land.

Coult thus describes the Dipāwah festival:— "On another of these festivals they fix up within their temples, and on the outside of the roofs an innumerable number of lamps of oil of Susimann, which are kept burning day and night?" \$

People gave contributions to the temples also for the celebration of these festivals. In about A. D 1448 Govanna and Ballama sons of Strianga Deva of Aranipura in Kadajur made a grant for the cutter festival of lights in the temple of Channakešvara according to tormer custom 4 An inscription dated Šaka 1443 (A. D. 1521-32) informs us that Sadašiva Nāyaka provided ghee for lamp during the festical of Tirukkāratīgai to the temple of Bhadambā at Devikkapuran for the merit of the chief (avaint) Tirumalai Nāvaka. In Śaka 1444 (1522-23) the daughter of Kannadiya Chief Devappudajyar Marudaršar-Padaividū gave a gitt of ghee for the same festival to the same temple.

The inscriptional evidence about donations given by certain individuals for the expense of festival of lights or mere lights in temples of specific gods and goddesses does not appear to refer to Devält festival as such. Onti's description of Devält at Vajayanagar is, is however, sufficient for our purpose.

- Major India, p 28. Sewell, For Emp. p. 86.
 - 2 E. (' V.p. 1, Hn 82, p 25
 - 4 361 of 1912, Rangachart, Top List I. N. A. 162 p 49.
- ⁶ 362 of 1912, Rangachari, thid, N. A. 163, p. 50 Read Pietro della Valle's description.
- ⁶ On p. 115 of las Parans. Record. (Daca, 1940) Dr. Harra observas "The inscriptional evidences show that the gifts of lamps to shrines beams popular in Southern India about the end of the oth centur p. A. D." [Ep Ind., III, 1894-95, pp 281, 284, V. 42-44 and 104-106, VII (1902-03) 133 ff. and 136 ff. and so n. JASB, L.XXII (1903) 130.
- ⁷ My friend M. M Prundpal V. V. Mirashi writes to me on 23-7-1945 "As for Dwöl: The one description that I can just now recall occurs in an inscription found in Chladtingarh, It is not dated but another inscription of the same reign is dated V. S 1570 (= A. D 1544). The inscription is not published but I have read the verse as follows —

Sri Kantaliyav, IA, XX, p 430, Suryanarayan Rao, The City, p. 11 For an account of the Diplacti Festival, Wilson, IA, XXVI, p. 898, Gupte, IA, XXXII, pp. 237-239.

Students of the history of Indian art may be in a position to point out the representations of the Dwils festival in sculpture or painting. I may, however, record here one such representation of the Jam Dwill. It is found in the Minutine Pandings' of the Jaim Kulpadine executed in the Early Western Indian style) published by Norman Brown (Washington, 1934) p., 40, Plate 25 Brown describes this painting as follows:

"Fig. 71 (Heeramaneak Galleries, Newyork—MS of Kalpa-Natus and Kālakācārgakwihā with 87 ministures, not dated— Probably 16th C viewy 1—The Fevinus J Lyphis. KS, 128 (Jacobi. Jama Sālācas, S. B. E., Vol. 22, Oxford, 1884, p. 266), On the night when Mahāvīra died the 18 confederated kings instituted an illumination on the Possidhe which was a fast day. They said "Since the 16th of holy kinaledge is gone, let us make a material Illumination—Under a canopy are three males, probably typifying the 18 kings, holding for hes. The scene heaves the Legend farms (festival of lights) and Jacobi. (J. 7. 866) asysthat the Jamas celebrate the survāna of Mahāvīra with a festival of lights on the New-Meon of the month of Kāutikā.

Some description of the Duāli as celebrated in the Deccan at Kolhapur in the 11th century is found in the story of Kina of Kolhāpura recorded by Merutunga in his Probandia-Cilhānau (Trans. by C H Tawney, RAS.B., Calcutta, 1901) p 111 The author lelonged to Vardhanānapura (Tadhaña) in Gujarat and

t continued from the premous page)

" वस्त्रम्यक् प्रतिकाशिक्षकस्मानिदिनं स्मात्या देवात्यादरा-द्रोदानंश्च ततः शुणोति माहत पृथ्य पुराणादिकम् । दीपानामापं लक्ष्मश्चयक्रलायस्य प्रयच्छत्यसी वर्गाया निकटे महानयमनः श्री बाहरस्मापानः ॥ "

I am thankful to Prin Mirashi for this valuable inscriptional reference 1 I had inquired of Dr. H. Goetz, Curator, Baroda Museum, if he could

point out to me any paintings representing Dirails illuminations. In ruply Dr. Goets informs me in his letter of 19-12-45.

"As to Divails illustrations, I can only say that there are many Mughal.

"As to Drawll illustrations, I can only say that there are many Mughal ministures in the Baroda Museum showing illuminations by many small oil lamps, such as it is the practice at Divalle but I am not sure whether this is the only festival where this is done."

I take this opportunity of requesting other scholars to publish any information about the representations of Dwall either in painting or soulpture that may come to their notice.

composed this book of Narratives in Samual 1861 (= A. D. 1805).

I note the following points from Merutunga's story referred to above:—

- (1) Some bards were celebrating the glory of King Siddharāya of Gujarat (A D. 1093-1143) at the court of the Kidlāpur King, who doubted this glory and required some proofs for Siddharāya's skill in magio mentioned by the bards
- (2) The above doubt of the Kollāpur King was reported to Siddharāja, who found an officer of his court ready to go to Kollāpur to prove Siddharāja's skill in magic Siddharāja gave 3 lacs of rupees to this officer, who went to Kollāpur and staved there for some days.
- (3)" When the night of the Diwali festival was near and the wives of the King of that city came to his palace in order to worship the goddess 1 Mahālaksmi, that officer, having assumed the disguise of a magician, adorned with all those paraphernalia, and being accompanied by a certain Barbara who had carefully practised fiving in the air, suddenly appeared on the pedestal of the goddess He offered an oblation of gold, lewels and campber to the goddess and distributed to the King's wives batel similarly adorned and left-there a magne our ment marked with the name of King Suldha, pretending that it was a religious gift, and mount ing on the back of Barbara flew up into the air and so returned as he came. When the night came to an end the King wainformed by his wives of this act of the hostile monarch and being bewildered with fear he sent back that present to Kinz Siddha by the hands of his ministers. Then that officer quickly hurried through the business of buying and selling his wares, and se on, and sent a message to his king by a swift runner, that he was not to grant an audience to those ministers until his arrival. After that he arrived there in a few days The king, having been informed of the real state of the case took such steps to win over those ministers as occasion demanded."

Whatever be the truth of the above story it gives us a historical illustration of the association of magical performances with the

Yide my paper on the leaning aphy of Mahalakam of Kolhapur (B.I S. Mandal Quarterly, Poons, Vol. XXIII, 1942, pp. 17-25.

Devilt might, so graphically described by Mrs. Stevenson in the extract already reproduced by me The Stifflarax kings were ruling at Kothapur at the time of king stridherais of Guparat (A. D. 1093-1143). The goddess Mahālakami was their tuteiary deity and they called themselves "sūtugraphicylinsvarquiry" in their inscriptions. The Strasscriptivation month on that Stidharais installed the images of Mahālakami on the bank of the Salasrahnga lake constructed by him as I have shown in my paper on Mahalakami (B. I. S Mindel Quarteriy, 1913).

i'age 106-101 — मा अविवेकाची काजळी।

फेड्राने विवेकदीप उजळी।

त योगियां पाह दिवाळी । निरंतर ॥ ५४॥ "

Page 383-

" मूर्ये अधिष्ठिली प्राची। जमा राणीव दे प्रकाशाची।

नेशी वाचा भोतयां ज्ञानाची। दिवाळी करी॥ १२॥ *

Though used in metaphors by Śri Jūūneśwara the above usages of word दिवादी vividly bring to our mind the illuminations of the Divülf festival as current in the Mahārāstra in the 13th century

To supplement the above reference to Divžil by Jhānesvara we have a very elaborate description of the Celebration of the Divžil festival in the Mahāmbhāva text in Marathi (composed about A. D. 1250 called Lifā-Caritra (ed. by H. N. Nene) Nagpur, Part III Pār cārdha, Khanda 2, 1937) pp 116 H. As the passage is too long for reproduction I note some points from it below—

- (1º) This is a description of Divālī not in an aristocratic circle, but by Cukradhara, the founder of the Mahānubhāva sect and his Gosāvi pupils.
 - (2) This Dwill was observed at हियरकी (आलग).

[।] Vide pp 350-334 of শুল্মবৃদীন বাদিক্ষীয়া by 8 Chitrav, Poona, 1937 The dates for Cakradhara as given here are " Δ D 1991-1373 'Cakradhara counded the Mahānubhāva Sect in Šākā 1996 (\approx 1368 Δ , D.) at Paṇhaṇ after having embraced Χαμηδών

- (2) महादाई, the woman—disciple of Cakradhara, managed all the work connected with this Divāli.
- (4) On the evening of the earlier day she stored sufficient water for bath of the entre party of the Grownie, who bathed next morning, getting up at dawn for this purpose. Plenty of oil was used for rubbing the bodies before this bath by the Goskvis and other devotes of Cakradhara.
- (5) After bath महाবাই waved lights in a tray in front of these Gosavis and others (বালাক্রণা) who then took betel, and pan (নাৰাক্র) Dinner was given to the party by महाবাই, who was helped by another lady उसाई in this work.
- (6) মাক্রবাস was observed on the following day when মন্তাবাই acted as sister to all the Gonāvīs She prepared nice dishes for their dinner such a, নাবক, আরুব, ভার, নাব etc.
- The Bhāu-bija day observed by the Gosūve is observed even to-day. It falls on Kūrtika Brādha 3 (duitīyā) i. e. on the day following the Duūli Thesestival is concerned with sisters who feast their brothers on this day. It is called Yamadvatiyā in Saukarit and Hemādri (A. D. 1260) the contemporary of Cultradhan describes it in detail in his compendium called the Culturarya-Chūāmom.\(^1\) This description is contained in an
- 1 Ed in Bib Indica, Calcutta, 1878—See Vrata Khanda Chap VI, pp. 381-386.—The extract about व्यद्धितीय वर्ग from the Bhavizyottara reads as follows —

 "ভানিকৈ গ্ৰহম্পান্ধৰ দ্বিনীয়াৰা বাৰ্গ্যায়ৰ।
 - यहाँ युक्ता पर्व भागितः स्वरं माः ॥ द्वितायाया महोलामं नाम्ब्रीयाश्रम तरिनाः । । द्वितायाया महोलामं नाम्ब्रीयाश्रम तरिनाः । । पारेन्योहार्थ विक्तुस्त्रम् एक्ष्माः सर्व्वविक्यपात् ॥ । पारेन्योहार्थ विक्तुस्त्रम् । एक्षाः सर्व्वविक्यपात् ॥ । अस्ति। स्वर्धाः स्वरं विक्रम्यक्षः । अत्रो वसदिनीया ॥ । रोका लोक पुविद्विहा । अस्त्रम निजयुक्त पर्व में गोक्त्यपात् चुक्ताः ॥ । अस्त्रम निजयुक्त पर्व में गोक्त्यपात् । । स्वार्मिन मनिनां हस्ताद्विक्यस्य पुरिवर्धनम् । । स्वार्मिन मनिनां हस्ताद्विक्यस्य प्रीवर्धनम् ॥ । स्वार्मिन मनिनां । । ।
- In Rgred's book on Aryun Festivals already referred to by me the $2\pi i g Ard$ or $\pi i g Ard$ is described on pp. 297-298. He morely states that the story of 2π and has size $\pi 2 G H$ is mentioned in the Pur2 g a s but does not give any exact reference to it.

extract from the Bhansyottara Purūņu quoted by Hemādrī The practices prescribed in the extrast are current at present in Mahārāstra.

In a Kannada Inscription' of A. D. 1119 (11th May) of the reign of Cālukya Tribhuvananalla there is a reference to Dipāvali day. (One) "Kāvarāja made a gift of one quadāna out of the two qadyānas which the Mahājanas veed to pay him, as a present on every Dipāvals day for the service of Nileisuradēna".

I have already recorded references to Divails from two Marathi sources viz. the Jādzerbarī (A. D. 1300) and the Lilacardra (C. A. D. 1500). I shall record another reference to Drāds in an astronomical work called the Jyaisaraluamūlā 2 by Srīpati, which was composed about Saka 101 (A. D. 1039) according to its editor the Mahārāstra historiam, Visvanātha Kāshinātha Rajawade, who thinks that both the Sanskrit text and its Marathi commentary are the work of Srīpati himself. The word Russi occurs in the Marathi commentary on a Sanskrit stanza as will be seen from the following stanza—

Page 95 — " माथं पंचद्शि कुष्णा नभस्ये च त्रयोदशी ।
कृतीया माधवे शुक्का नवस्युर्जे युगाद्यः ॥ १३ ॥ "

The commentary on this stanza reads as follows :--

"माधमासिची अमावास्या शिरात्रि भावपदमासचा कृष्णपक्षि त्रयोदश्च अर्द्धपक्षि वैशाषमासि शुक्का तृतीया : अक्षतृतीया : कार्तिक शुक्क नवमी विवाळि वितल्या : या तिथि ४ युगादि म्हाणिज ॥"

At present the $Dv\bar{u}h$ day is the 1st day of Karttika Sukla paksa, while according to Sripati (about A. D. 1030) it appears

¹ Vide Kunnada Inscriptions from the Madras Presidency (South Indian Inscriptions Texts, Vol. IX, Part I) ed. by Shamebestri and Lakehminäräyana Rao, 1939, Page 195-Luscription No. 198

See also Ep. Ind. VIII. p. 337 — Yewur insorption of A.D. 1170 of the time of Sankamadeva. The date iscorded gives (1) Victoria year, (3) New Moon day of Aiospuja, (3) Nomendara, and (4) নহামৰ (aliusion is apparently to ব্যাঘালি according to Dr. Fleet (Videp 2 257 of Kadambahula by Prof Moress— ব্যাঘালি actualed from Midra Eps 14, 10 (1975 Eg. 2).

⁸ Vido भारत इतिहास संशोधक मडळ प्रत्यमाला (No 8)— द्वितीय संमेळनवृक्त (श्रुं ५८३६ — 1914, Poona) — श्रीपनीस्ट्रत मशाठी ज्योतिकरल्यमाला (श्रुंक ६६१ समार) p. 95

to have been celebrated before "कार्तिक श्रुक्त जनमी". I request the students of Indian Astronomy to throw some light on this question from the texts on Indian Astronomy.

In the Marsthi poem by the Mahānubhāva poet Narendra-Kavi, balled the रुक्सिणी-स्वयंवर ' composed in Éaku 12/3 (A. D 1892) we find the following references to the Divāli —

Page 90- Description of Dvūrakū

" कीं आनंद-दिवाळियेचें तेजः । की आकाशीं जोतिचें जीळ हों काज । की गामामि वाहलें भोज : यावव-मनापाचें " ५१२

Page 94-- Description of Vasanta (spring-time)

" कीं त संभोग-मुखायी विवाद्धी : विरहिणी घेंढेवाळिया होति काजळी जित-इंडियें हारवीति तिये वेळीं : जुं वैराग्याचें '' ७३८

Prof. Kolate has explained the metaphor in this stanza pertaining to the Divili referred to by the post in his notes at the end of the volume. He explains the word উইঘারে as জাতীৰ or মজাতে on page 141 while on page 230 উইঘারে is explained as lamps lighted during the Dvvili festival on the top of a big heap of grass. ²

While explaining the above reference to विवादी Prof. Kolate has drawn our attention to the following reference to विवादी in the Intersection:

Chap. VI (Ovi 389 - p 135 of Bhide's edition)

"तैसें होय तिये मेळीं। मग सामरस्याचिया राउळीं। महासखाची दिवाळी। जमेसि दिसे॥ ३८९॥ "

We have already pointed out two references to Rensh in $J\bar{n}ansturf$, to which the above one may now be added.

¹ Critically edited by Prof V. B. Kolate, King Edward College, Amraoti, (সক্তা দ্বাহান, Malkapur, Berar) 1940.

³ Ibid, p. 230—" धेंडेवाळी = दिवाळीच्या दिवसांत गवताचा एक उच मारा योधतात आणि त्यावर दिवे ळावतात "

Before I proceed to note the references to Divili in sources earlier than those referred to above I shall record its fairly detailed description in Sanskrit given in an encyclopaedic work called the MINITERIAL WINDOW, which appears to be a reflection of the social and religious practices of the Vigaranagar Empire (between say A. D. 1450 and 1550). This is a description of a royal of Divili and hence stands in contrast with the Divili celebrated by Cakradhare and his Gosavis. This description reads as follows in the B. O. R Institute MS No. 45 of 1925-26 of MINITERIAL STREET.

Page 217 - 67th Patala describing नरक जनदंशी. " श्री जिवः — अथान्यवाश्विने मासि कर्तव्यं कर्म राजभिः। वक्ष्यामि सर्वपापन्नमळक्ष्मीविनिवारकस् ॥ १॥ रूपसीभाग्यसन्तानसंपतसारस्वतपदम् । साम्राज्यदं विजयदं विशेषेण सहीभजाम ॥ २ ॥ सर्वशत्रक्षयकरं गंगास्नानफल्पदस् । अश्विने मासि कृष्णायां चतुर्दश्यान्नुपोत्तमः ॥ १ ॥ बाह्ये मुहुर्त उत्थाय कृतशीचक्रियः शुचिः। प्रक्षाल्य पादावाचम्य दन्त्रधावनपर्वकम् ॥ ४॥ परोहितानपुरस्कृत्य सभायांच विजयमवाच । कांश्विदाह्य संपुष्य तानु गंधकसमादिभिः॥ ५॥ दस्या तद्विजवर्षेश्यः तांबलानि फलानि च । तेराज्ञीरक्षतान् दत्तानादायांजालिना नृपः ॥ ६ ॥ धुत्वा शिरसि चाभ्यंगनिमित्तं तेलमत्तमम् । दरवा तद्विजमस्येभ्यो नत्वा कृत्वा प्रदक्षिणम् ॥ ७ ॥ पुनः प्रस्थाप्य तात् विमान् तत्परं पृथिवीपतिः। हेमपीठे समासीनः प्राक्तमुखः प्रयतः श्विः॥८॥ नदस्स पंचवायेष बाह्यकक्षान्तरे ततः।

क जन्कंक जया वध्वा दरवल्म दुरोजया ।। ९ ।।

1 Vide my paper in the Karnatak Historical Review, Vol. V (1988) Part I, pp. 7-18.

s The Sukranitisāra (ed. Jīvānauda Vidyāsīgar, Calentia, 1882) chap. IV deals with ভাক্ষানিভ্ৰো, in which the King is enjoined to build temples of several deities and arrange for their annual festivals.—

P. 578-- " एवंविधान् नृषो राष्ट्रे देवान् संस्थापयेन् सदा । प्रतिसंवत्सरे तेषां उत्सवान् सम्यगाचरेत् ॥ २०२ ॥ "

^{9 [} Annals, B. O. R. I.]

अभ्यक्तः स्नापितो माहैः केश्चिल्कोक्जेन वारिणा। धुन्वा धीतांबरं दिव्यं स्वर्णतन्वश्चिताञ्चलम् ॥ १०॥ इत्येवं सङ्खं स्नानं कृत्वा प्राग्दयात्रवेः। पश्चात् सुर्योदयाद्ष्यं पुण्डं भ्रत्वा महीपतिः ॥ ११ ॥ कमें तत्कालकर्तव्यं नित्यं निर्वर्थ तत्परम् । स्वेष्टदेवं समभ्यच्यं गंधपुर्वपर्यथाविधि ॥ १२ ॥ अलक्ष्मीपरिहारार्थं दत्वा वीपत्रयं नृपः। भदाक्षणं विजातिभ्यस्तेन नीराजितः पनः ॥ १३ ॥ सखद्भसाशिरस्राणः मर्वाभरणभूषितः। आस्थानकटमासाग्र सर्वतस्समलंकतम् ॥ १४॥ सपुत्रपीत्रस्सामान्यः सञ्चन्यपरिचारकः । सिंहासने समासीनः श्वेतछत्रेण चारुणा ॥ १५ ॥ वधविधयमानाभ्यां चामराभ्यां विराजितः। आस्थानवर्तिनां राज्ञां मन्त्रिणां च पराधसाम् ॥ १६ ॥ सासन्तराजपुत्राणां विवधानां विनोदिनास् । कवीनां गायकानां च योगिनां च नियोगिनान्॥ १७॥ नटानां नर्तकानां च गणकानां हिमादिजे। तथेव गणिकानां च तत्तदयोगवर्त्तिनाम ॥ १८॥ शिरःकम्पेन केषांचित केषांचिदवलोकनैः। मन्द्स्मितेन केषांचित् केषांचित् वाग्विलासतः ॥ १९ ॥ आलोकशब्दैः केषांचित् वेत्रपाणिसमीरितैः। संभावयन् यथायोग्यमात्मदर्शनमञ्ज्ञि ॥ २० ॥ महर्तमात्रमासीनस्तस्मिन् सिंहासने नृपः। तत्परं तम्सभास्तास्तारेरपितान्यंवराणि वै ॥ २१ ॥ गन्धकुंकुमकस्तुरीतांबुलानि यथोचितम्। दापयित्वासनात् तस्माद्वरुद्धाप्तयोः करी ॥ २२ ॥ अवलंब्य प्रविष्यान्तःपुरं स्नीरत्नसंकुलस् । पत्रपंत्रिः परिवृतः अक्त्वाक्रममृतोपमस् ॥ २३ ॥ ततः स्वीकत्य तांबलं अवरोधजनैस्सह । नीत्वा सरससळापैः तदहः शेषमञ्जि ॥ २४ ॥ ततः सेवार्थमागस्य बाह्यकक्षान्तरस्थितान । सामन्तराजपुत्रादीन् समाह्याथ तैस्सह ॥ २५ ॥

कृतिस्य कम कावता नवा रामकाराय्य ॥ र । ॥ इन्याकाशभैरवाक्ये महाशिवतन्त्रे साम्राज्यपाठिकायां नरक्षचतुर्व्शी-कर्तत्वमहोत्सवस्वरूपकथनं नाम सप्तविव्वतमः पटलः । ॥

Page 22- 68th Patala describing दीपावली-उत्सव

" श्री शिवः -- अथ तत्कार्तिके सासि दीपावस्यां महाभुजा । यत्कतेयां पृष्ठुआणि वक्ष्यासि तत्वः सामतद् ॥ १ ॥ कार्तिकस्य सितं पक्षे या सिवाः प्रतिपत् स्तृता । दीपावस्त्रीति विज्ञेया सा सर्वा गुभशायिनी ॥ २ ॥ तस्यां तिथां तृपः प्रातकथ्याय शयनात्मिये । कृतशीयक्रियसस्यक् कृत्वा वै दन्तभावनम् ॥ ३ ॥ नित्यकर्म विनिवंध्यं तत्कास्त्रीत्वतमित्रंज । उपास्य भास्करं राजा ततस्यंगव आगते ॥ ४ ॥ पुरोहितं पुरस्कृत्य तदाशीसिर्विवर्धितः। स्वेष्ठदेवे हृदि ध्यायत् पीठे स्तमयं ग्रोम ॥ ५ ॥

ब्लाविया means here duapley of Five-works. Abdur Rasak who stayed in Visyanagas from April 1443 to oth Documber 1443 mentions the use of pyrotechay or fire-works at the aggraph festival (See Elliot, History of India, IV, pp. 117-118). The present reference to quiffern at Diedli festival is important as it is one of the scallest eferences in Sagakits accuracy to the use of fire-works at Hindu fastivals. In the आवश्यक्रियस्वस्य there is A reference to goes on folio 187 as to fillows.

[&]quot; बगोविंश नालिकार्स". Here नालिका means a gun (See also my paper on Guns and Gun-powd-r in India in Sir E. Danison Ross Volume, pp. 117-124).

स्वासीनः पाङ्मुखो देवि वायघोषपुरस्सरम् । अभ्यक्तो सन्धर्नेलेन स्नाधितः कोष्णवारिणा ॥ ६ ॥ धीतांबरधरः कालदेशे धत्वोध्र्यपण्डकम् । निर्वर्श्व नित्यकर्माथ तत् कास्त्रोचितमत्रिज्ञ ॥ ७ ॥ स्बेष्टदेवं समाराध्य मन्धपुष्पाक्षतादिभिः। अस्रक्षमीवरिद्वारार्थं लक्ष्म्याश्च स्थैर्यसिज्रये ॥ ८॥ लक्ष्मीनारायणप्रीत्ये दत्वा दीपत्रयं नुषः। सदाक्षणान्द्विजातिभ्यस्तेन नीराजितः पुनः ॥ ९ ॥ सपत्रपीत्रस्मासात्यः सर्वाभरणभूषितः। आस्थानजालामासाय राजामास्थानवर्तिनाम् ॥ १०॥ सर्वेषां दर्शनं दस्वा यथोचितसगारमजे। गन्धकस्तारिकादीनि वस्वा अमिपुरंदरः ॥ ११ ॥ महर्तमात्रमासीनां भपतिः कनकासने। मरपरं तान्नपत्ररान् प्रस्थाप्यान्तःपरं नपः॥ १२॥ प्रविष्य देवि साम्राज्यलक्ष्मीं संप्रज्य सादरम्। कान्ताजनन कप्रदीपनीराजितांऽदिज ॥ १३॥ भक्तवा सहतं सुप्त्वाऽथ सायैकाले समागते। मालयुद्धादिकं दृष्ट्या तस्परं तु निशासके ॥ १४॥ नगरे देवतागारेष्वाळयंषु सभास च। चरवेरेष तु रम्येषु मोपुराहालकेषु च ॥ १५॥ हर्स्येष चातिरम्येषु मीधेष्वन्युक्तंष च। विशालास वरारोहे चन्द्रशालास मर्वतः ॥ १६॥ भपालास्थानकृटेषु कत्याम् विविधास् च। बाट्यज्ञालास रम्यास वीथीषु च विज्ञेषतः ॥ १७ ॥ विन्यस्य विविधान दीपान किंकरांस्त कतश्रमान । गन्धताम्ब्रुवसायैर्बहुमान्य महीपतिः ॥ १८॥ तत्सर्वं दीपनिवहं श्रिये जातेति मन्त्रतः। महालक्ष्मये नरपतिः समध्यं तद्नन्तरम् ॥ १९ ॥ सिंहासने स्वकीयानि भूषणानि निघाय च। जलपूर्ण स्वर्णकंभं पहावायेरलंकृतस्॥ २०॥ तण्डलोपरि विन्यस्य तत्रावाद्य बर्लि प्रभम्। मध्यमाणप्रकारेण ध्यायेद्वाजा सहाबस्ति ॥ २१ ॥

ध्याचेद्वाळि महाकायं सर्वाभरणभूषितस्। तपसाहत देवेन्द्र वैभवं विष्णवसम्म ॥ २२ ॥ स्तात कर्मधरं स्वर्णकान्तिकान्ताससन्त्रितसः। अकायमान्यसाहितं दैत्यदानवसेवितम् ॥ २३ ॥ एवं ध्यास्त्राचिरसम्यक नामसन्त्रेण तं अल्लिस् । नतः पष्पांजलिं दयात वश्यमाणेस्त नामभिः॥ २४॥ वलीइटः प्रहादपौत्रो विरोचनसतस्ततः। संबन्डसर्दनो दानकाधीको विष्णाभक्तकः ॥२५॥ विभवपद इत्येतैर्दत्वा पृष्पाजसिं ततः। पार्थयेत्स्वाभिलवितं सन्वेणानेन पार्थिवः ॥ २६ ॥ यथा न्वं सर्वलोकानामधिपोस्य सरेश्वर। तथा में करु सीभाग्यं यावदाभतसंप्रवस् ॥ २०॥ श्रात संप्रार्थ्य विषेक्षे उचाहिनानसारतः। सवर्णं रजतं मक्तामणींश्च विविधानपि॥ २८॥ मित्राप्रमान्धमान्धेभ्यो वद्याद्धर्षममन्त्रितः। क्रपंरज्ञकलेस्साधं तांबलानि विज्ञापनः॥ २९॥ एव ऋत्वा नरपतिर्दीपावन्युत्सवं प्रियं। सर्वदानेश्व यत्पण्यं यत्पण्यमखिलाध्वरैः ॥ ३०॥ तत्वण्यं समवाशोति नात्र कार्या विचारणा। वर्व ते देवि कथितो दीपायल्योत्सवी महान ॥ ३१ ॥ आयरारोस्यविजयसंपत्सारस्वतप्रदः। इत्याकाशभैरवास्ये महाशेवतन्त्रे साम्राज्यलक्ष्मीपीविकायां सीपा-वल्यत्सयस्वरूपकथनं नाममञ्जूषितमः पटलः॥

The two chapters reproduced above contain quite a colourful picture of the royal Lawali celebrated on two days viz. (1) আদিবন উচ্চা বার্ট্রা and (2) জানিব ক্লম বার্ট্রা This is Divali as observed in the South of India between say A.D. 1400 and 1600. I now continue my story of Divali and come to the extreme North of India and record a short description of Lavali given by a Muslim writer Abdul Rahaman in an Apolhravish poem called the संदेशतास है

in which we find a description of the month of Kārttska and the Dipāvalī night (जोड़िक्सिंड) as follows:—

" दिंतिय णिसि दीवास्त्रिय दीवय णवससिरेहसरिस करि लीअय । संडिय खुवण तरुण जोइन्खिह

माईलिय दिति सल।इय अक्सिई ॥ १७६ ॥ " Mr. Bhayani obsayves on p. 90 of his critical Introduction:---

"On the Dipāwali night mansions were decorated with lights resembling the crescent moon. Ladies applied collyrium to their eyes (176)".

The commentary called टिप्पनक on the above passage reads as follows —

अथ कार्तिकवर्णनम्—''नार्यः द्वीपान् नवशशिरसासदशान् करे ग्रहीत्वा निश्च द्वनित तज्जोतिष्कः दीपेः भुवनानि मण्डितानि । अन्यक नार्य्यः तेषां दीपानां कज्जर्कः श्वाजकया क्रुत्वा नेत्रेषु दवनि ॥ १७६ ॥ ''

Illumination of houses on the Divâli night by Hindu ladies is aptly described by this Muslim writer who belonged to Multān '(भूजनाया) in the Punjab. He was well versed in Sanskrut, Prakrut and Apabhashka. He must have mastered these languages at some seat of Hindu Culture (perhaps it was Multan).

From the above description of *Devalī* in the Punjab of C. A. D.
1150 we now go to Alberuni's description of *Devalū* of C. A. D.
1030. In Alberuni's remarks on the Festivals and Festive
days of the Hindus he describes the *Devalū* festival as follows.

"lst $K\bar{a}uhka$ or new moon's day, when the sun marches in Libra is called Dvoll. Then people bathe, dress festively, make presents to each other of betel leaves and areca nuts; they ride to the temples to give alms and play merrily with each other till noon. In the night they light a great number of lamps in every place so that the air is porfectly clear. The cause of this festival

See p. 753 of World Pretorial Gazatteer by Hammerton — Multan stands on the Prior Cherab. It is about 190 miles S. W. of Lahore. Principal Buildings are two Mahomedan Shrines and the remains of an old Hindu temple. A great trading centre (shawls and carpets.)

Vide p 182 of Vol II of Alberum's India, ed. by E. C. Sachau, London, — Chap LXXVI

is that Laksni the wife of Väsudezz once a year on this day liberates Bali, the son of Virocana, who is a prisoner in the seventh earth. Therefore, the featival is called Balirājira I. a. the principality of Bali. The Hindus maintain that this time was a time for luck in Krdanuga and they are happy because the feast day in question resembles that time in the Krdanuga.

In our search for the lights of the Dwill we have traversed a period about 950 years and moved from the south of India to its extreme north. We stand on the threshold of antiquity at about 1000 A D. and try to see if we can cross this threshold and notice any lights of the Dwill in the inner spartments of the Indian history.

About 90 years before Alberuni's description of the Hindu Divali in North India we find a brilliant poetic description of Divali in the Natastiskae cample of Somadevasari composed in Saka 881 (A. D. 959). About this description my friend Dr. V. Raghavan makes the following remarks in his Gleanings from Somadevasalir's Yaśastiskae-cumple" (p. 379 of Journal of Quaganath Jha Research Institute, May 1944 - Vol. I, part 3):-

"Pp. 597-99 (Kāvyamālā 70. Bombay, 1901) — The Mahā-navami festival is followed by the Dipotanus. Houses are white-washed and decorated with white festoons: music, merry-making and gambling go on: the tops of houses are bright with rows of lights. This festival is in the \$\delta_0 ut season."

The text of the Dwali description reads as follows:---

"--इति विजयजेत्रायुधमामधावनोधितछक्ष्मीं महानवमीं ⁸ निर्वर्त्य ।

In a Chinese poem on the Lantern Festival (of the first full Moon) by Au-yang Hisn (A. D. 1007-1072) we get a parallel of our Divali (Vide p. 394 of Tien Hena Monthly, (November, 1939). I quote a stanza from this poem.—

[&]quot;Last year at the Lantern Festival
The flower-market lights were bright as day,
When the moon mounted to the tops of the willows.

Two lowers kept their tryst after the yellow dusk "

The upgraph festival was celebrated on a huge scale during the time
of the Vijayanagar Empire. It is called agrag at present. It begins on
আপিন যুদ্ধ দ্বিষ্ঠ and ends on আপিন যুদ্ধ t, followed by যুদ্ধ to which is বিস্থানামী (agrt), which is again followed by the Dividi on কাৰ্নিক যুদ্ধ দ্বিষ্ঠা, (See
P. 267-284) of surfew merure aftern by Roselets.

तथा--

हंसावछी द्विगुणकेतृसितांशुकक्षीः पद्मावतंत्रसम्बारसम्बारस्यारः । प्रावादसारितसुषावृतिदिप्तरिकौ वृत्तिपारस्यस्यत्व तनोतु पूर्व महीशः ॥ ४६२ ॥ युत्तोन्मादितकानिमीजितपुतप्राणशाचाद्यस्वदः क्षीडाद्वारविकासिनीजनभवद्वपाविकल्पोद्धदः । आतोषण्यनिसङ्कारवसरस्याजृत्मिताशासुकः प्रतियु पूर्णमानस्य भवतः पृष्यात्यद्विपारस्यः ॥ ४६१ ॥

आभान्यवर्षाहाकराष्ट्रविद्धयान्ति-दीपावर्तः युतिपुतपुरतीयबन्धाः । प्रन्यक्तसमतमहीषपिदीमदेहा-सम्बोत्ते सिवां, कुलनमा इव दनयाताः ॥ ४६४ ॥ इति समास्त्रक्तप्रचितावसर्थं वानुभूय । etc. ः

From the above description of Pavolt by a Southern writer of C. A. D. 950 we go to a Kashmirian text "not later than 6th or 7th century A. D." पाट. the नीजनवराण 'in which I find the following description of Pavoli.

Page 42 (Lahore Edition, 1924)-

तथा पक्षे व्यतिते तु कर्तव्या मुखसुतिका ॥ ५०५ ॥ पश्चमुक्यां यथा विम तथा में मदतः शृषु । तस्य दिवा ॥ ५०६ ॥ में मदतः शृषु । तस्य दिवा ॥ ५०६ ॥ मूर्ये त्वस्तमनुमाम पूजीयता करीपिणीम् ॥ ६ एव ॥ ५०० ॥ चतुम्प्रयसमानेषु नदीप्वतंत्रदेशम् ॥ ५०० ॥ चतुम्प्रयसमानेषु नदीप्वतंत्रदेशम् ॥ ५०० ॥ वृक्षमुलेषु मोष्टेषु यत्यदेण्याणीषु च ॥ ५०० ॥ वृक्षमुलेषु मोष्टेषु यत्यदेण्याणीषु च ॥ ५०० ॥ वृक्षमुलेषु मोष्टेषु यत्यदेण्याणीषु च ॥ ५०० ॥ चत्याम्याणाः तर्वे कर्तव्याभ्योपशोमिताः ॥ ५०० ॥ स्मालेखाँगिकाः सर्वे कर्तव्याभ्योपशोमिताः ॥ ५०० ॥ स्मालेखाँगिकाः सर्वे वर्षम्यानयायिकाः ॥ ५०० ॥

¹ Ed. by Ramial and Zaddoo, Lahore, 1924 — p. 7 of Introduction. — See also Wintermits History of Indian Laterature (Calcutta, 1927) Vol. I, p. 833 — Kalhana (about 1188 A D) drew on this Purflay. He regarded it as a venerable Purflay. "It must be several centuries earlier than Kathana's work" (1974[59]).

ततः माधे द्वितीयेहि स्वनुष्ठितिः स्वछंकृतेः।
कीदितयं तथा यूरीः बोतवयं शीतवादितम् ॥ ५११ ॥
विदेशयवम् योकस्यैः पूर्वोकैस्तेनत्ततः ॥ ५११ ॥
विदेशयवम् योकस्यैः पूर्वोकैस्तेनत्ततः ।
तस्यं रात्री तु कर्तेव्यं राट्यास्थानं सुक्षोभितः।
गर्थवंकैस्तया पूरीः रत्नेश्रीवाभिकंकृत्यः ॥ ५११ ॥
वृिद्याताविश्व साहितैः तेवंया सा निज्ञा यवेत् ॥ ५१४ ॥
पूज्या नूतनवासाोनीः गुकस्यंविवांभवाः।
हाताला सूर्यवर्षाश्व वन्द्रदेव यथाविषि ॥ ५१५ ॥
हातीनिक सार्तिकासस्यां विद्यालावर्णन्य ॥ ५१५ ॥

The above passage corresponds to verses 398 to 407 in the Critical Edition of the Nilamata by K. S. J. M. de Vreede published by E. J. Brill, Leiden, 1936 (pp. 36-37).

From the description of Dipamālā in the Nilamala Purāma of Kashmir composed in the "ôth or th century A. D." we turn to the play Nāgānanda" of Śri Harsa, who is supposed to be identical with Harsavardhana of Kanaul, the reputed author of two other plays via. Rataānali and Pragadaskā, who ruled between A. D. 606 and 618 In Act IV of Nāgāmanda I find a reference to Draāt in the following extract:—

" प्रतिहार--अहमीय महाराजविश्वावस्त्रा समादिद्यः। यथा पुनन्त् मण्ड मित्रावसुं हुहि। अस्मित् वीपप्रतियद्दस्त्वे मरुपः वश्या जामातृश्वेतदुःसवानुरूपं पर्किचित् प्रदीप्ते। तदा-गत्य निरूप्यतासु इति। तद्वच्छतु राजपुत्रीसकाशमार्थः। अहमिष युवराजिमदावसीरानयनाय गच्छासि।

(इति निष्कान्ता)

In their notes? on the expression "ব্যিমনিগৰুমাৰ" the editors observe. "This seems to be a festival held on the first day of

¹ Ed. by G. B. Brahme and S. M. Paranipe.

Ibid, pp. 61-62,
 Ibid Notes, p. 51.

^{10 [}Annals, B. O. R I i

the bright fortnight of Kartika 1 and celebrated with illuminations, our Dwali." I think the cumulative effect of the history of Devali reconstructed by me so far will warrant the above identification of दीपप्रतिपदत्सव with our Divāli festival.

All modern annotators and editors 2 of the Nagananda equate " दीपप्रतिपदुत्सव " with Divāli festival but the commentary of Sivarama explains the expression with the remarks: प्रतिपद्भाने स्थालीपाकारूपे. I cannot say if the Dwall was known as स्थालीपाक-उत्सद in ancient or mediaeval India. In this connection I note here some points about the origin of the Dwali festival recorded by " Rgvedi " in his book on Aryan Festivals' already referred to by me. These points are briefly as follows -

- (1) The present दीपाबाल महोत्सव is a unification and transformation of three पाकयज्ञ v.z. (i) पाकेल, (ii) आश्वयुजी and (iii) आग्रयण.
- (2) पार्वण appears to have been observed on आश्विन वय ३० in ancient times as a ground in honour of the ancestors.
- (3) आश्वयंत्री was observed in ancient times on the आश्वित पौर्णाता. It pertained to agriculture, the presiding deity of which was wife.
- (4) आग्रयण (नवास्त्रेष्टि) was observed on मार्गशीर्थ पौर्णिमा It indiosted the closing of the संवत्सर
- (5) In course of time wiff was fixed upon as the first month of the विकास संबत during the reign of विकासाहित्य, the founder of this
- Mr. R. N. Mehta in his Pre-Buddhist India (Bombay, 1939, pp. 354-355). deals with sports and festivals. In this connection he refers to (2) smalls festival on the night of the Full Moon (कार्तिकी पूर्णिमा) (2) आधिन - night of Full Moon (चातमासिनी कोमदी) There is no reference in Mehta's description of these festivals to any display of lamps. (See J. I., pp 499-500-G. 143, II. p \$72, V. pp 212-214, and J VI, pp. 221-222, G 947, 949, 953 (3 arri) arrie).
- ² Krishnashastri Chiplunkar in his Marathi translation of Nagananda (Bombay, 1865) р 105, translates the expression " श्वापातिपवृत्सवे " ав "दिवाकीचे सजाचे दिवशी" -- Principal R. D Karmarkar in his Edition of Nagananda (Poons, 1919) p 50 of Notes, observes - " In the introductory scene from the conversation of the wast and neight we learn that red garments have to be presented for ten days after marriage according to the custom of the time to the bride and the bride-groom and also that fargage wants to make a suitable present to the newly wedded pair at the approaching Dipāvali festival".
 - Ed by T Ganapati Sastri, Trivandrum S Series, 1917, page 187.
 - ' आयोंच्या सणांचा इतिहास, Bombay, 1916, pages 290-293.

era. The custom of celebrating the $Dv\bar{u}li$ in this month in association with the other festivities of the New year's beginning must have given the $Dv\bar{u}li$ its present form.

The above hypothesis about the origin and development of the modern Dvalii fastival needs to be substantiated by evidence. Its author has not recorded any convincing evidence in its support to compel our acceptance of it.

Our story of $D n \bar{a} l i$ as revealed by datable literary evidence has so far come to C A. D. 800. If the structure are mentioned in the $N \bar{a} p \bar{a} n a m b$ definitely means the $D n \bar{a} \bar{a} l$ we can easily take its antiquity at least upto A D. 800, as national festivals have always a long tradition behind them.

To go backwards from A. D. 500 is now a difficult task and I carnestly invite brother-scholars to point out from early sources any references to or descriptions of the Duāli which can establish the antiquity of this national festival at least for 2000 years, if not more.

Hemacandra in his Destināmamātā 2 (III, 43) mentions a Lesi word "जनसरती" (= पहराराव) and equates it with तीयाची or तीयाविका. If this explanation is correct we can easily take back the antiquity of Divādī to the time 2 of Vātsyāyans's Kāmasālta, which mentions पद्मापि in its list of fastivals, as pointed out by Mr. T. N. Ray in his delightful article on "The Indoor and out-door Games of Ancewal India" (Vide p 244 of Proceedings of

Example:— सणिवलएहि कुणन्ती जघाछेअम्म **जक्खरार्ने व ।** जण्णोद्दणाण वि <u>ता</u>र्म णि सि जन्कंदेण कि खरांस ॥ ५५ ॥

About 100 A. D.-Keith assigns the Kāmasātra to C. soo A. D. Mr. Ray accepts the date "beginning of the Christian ora" for the work.

¹ My fixed Mr M Govend Parof Manyschear (South Kamara) states in a private communication that মনিবল্ in "ন্বিঘ্যনিবস্থান্দন" clearly refers to জ্ঞানিক আৰু মনিবল্ hocause of the reference to street in the tollowing lines which are introduced by the post in the same context— নাৰক্ষ— নিৰ্দেশ্য বৃহদ্ধ বন্ধ ক্ষাৰ্থ— কাৰ্য আনিবাশ্যনিব্যাশ্যিক কিন্তু লি বৃষ্ণ ক্ষাৰ্থ— বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্যাশ বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ্য বিশ্বনিধ

² Ed. by Ramānujasvāmi in B. S. Series (B. O. R. Institute, Poona, 1938) p. 148.

the Indian History Congress, Calcutta, 1939). Mr. Ray states that the festivals यहरापी, कोञ्चरीजागर and युवसम्बक are termed आश्चिमी or having got a noble purpose and are universal and the rest are देश्य or provincial. About यहरापी Mr Ray observes.—

" Yaksarātn is performed during the night of the New Moon Night of Karttika [Some are of opinion that यक्षरात्र is the Full Moon Night of Karttika But I don't think that they are correct Vide the commentary by Ramacandra Sastri on Kandarvacudāmani (I. 4. 42)] This night is also called अवस्थित. People generally gamble during this night. This is the night when the Duvili festival is celebrated nowadays almost every where in India. In Bengal and in some parts of India goddess Kāli is worshipped during the night. The New Moon and Full Moon Nights are specially fixed for the worship of Kali and Laksmi, the goddess of wealth. The Dwall festival is performed in honour of this goddess in most parts of India. The day following this night is called जनभातिपत which is the first day of the Indian calender of the Vikrama era. Yuksarātrı is the last night of the year. It is said that Bali, the king of the nether world ascended the throne on this day. "

Hamacandra's equation: "ক্ৰমণৰী (বহুবাৰী) = শ্বাৰ্জী or ব্যালিকা " is a good starting point to hunt up the history of the Dwill in sources on either side of the Christian era, especially because the Kāmazilinu mentions the বহুবাৰী smoog three major festivals (মার্টিকাৰ explained by Yaśodhara as "মহিমা মহন্দ্ৰ নাইবাৰ বহুবাৰ বিভাগ, I if more evidence can be gathered about the বহুবাৰী festival, we shall be in a position to clarify the problem of the origin of Dwilli

¹ Mr. Ray states in foot-note its of his article - I have dealt with festivals in my Presidential addices on the operation of Dipath Utsab (1346 B S) at Dinaptus, which is going to be published very woon, "I have not seen this address,

The commentator Yaśodhara in his Jayamangala commen, on the Kamasatra chaeres (p 55 of Kāmasātra, ed. by Kedārnath, N 8. Press, Bombay, 1900) —

[&]quot; यक्षरात्रिरित । सुद्धरान्नः । यक्षाणां तत्र सन्त्रिश्रामम् । तत्र प्रायकां कोकस्य यूनकीका ।एका साहिसान्यः ऋकाः । देश्यः आह— सदकारमञ्जिका " etc.

At the beginning of his article on Games referred to above Mr Ray observes. —

"It is not possible to gue a chrimological account of these games. There are certain games still prevalent in India, which can be traced as far back as the Buddhut, Epic, and even the Volic period. So we shall arrange the games according to their nature and shall try to give their history as far as practicable".

I am firmly convinced that without a chronological account of our festivals we cannot understand their history. In the present paper I have plauted some mile-stones of the chronology of Papil from about A. D. 190 to A. D. 1945 us will be seen from the following tabular statement.—

Chronology	Reference D = Divāli	Time indicated
Hetween A. D 50 and 400	কাদন্ত্ৰ mentions খবংগাৰ as an important festival — According to Hemacandra সম্বাহন্দি (মুধ্যারি)। is equal to র্যালার লি ব্যালার কান্দ্রন্ত্র) কান্দ্রন্ত্র কান্দ্রন্ত্র হিছে কান্দ্রন্ত্র হিছে কান্দ্রন্ত্র হিছে নি কান্দ্রন্ত্র হিছে নি হিছে হি	list of festivals or यक्षरात्रि, कीश्वर्राज्यामर श्वरस्तक etc. Is any chronological order intended in this list?
A. D. 606-648	stri Harsa of Kanaul refers to detailed and in his play नागानन which is equal to modern D as it has a reference to सारसमा memioned in the same context— The custom of presenting garments to the bride and bride reformed to the minimary has its parallel in the modern custom of honouring the Son-in-law with feast and presents on the first D after marfage.	

Chronology	Reference D = Divālī	Time indicated
Between A. D. 500 and 800	नीलमतपुराण composed in Kashinir describes in detail the दीप माला festival with the following	पश्चदशी —"कार्तिक अमार्या दीवमाला वर्णनम्"
	features — (1) All-round illu- minations, (2) hoisting up of festoons, (3) Feasting with Brahmins, relatives and de	phon.
	pendents, (4) Gambling, Music, (5) Passing the night in the company of ladies, (6) Wearing	
	of rich apparel and jewels, (7) Presenting new garments to	
	friends, relatives, Brahmins and the servents	
A. D. 959	सामदेवसूरि in his यहास्तिलकचयु composed during the reign of the	महानवमी festival
	राष्ट्रकट King रूका (III) of मान्यसंट (Mālkhed) describes दीपोत्सव	(महानवसीं निर्दर्श्य).
	with the following features — (1) Houses, white-washed and	
	decorated with white featoons, (2) Merry-making in the com-	
	pany of ladies, (3) Music, (4) Gambling, (5) Tops of houses	
	bright with cows of lights, (5) Indicated by स्नासक ("स्नासक- सजितायसरां वीपोलस्वक्रियं")	
A. D. 1030	The astronomer भोषति refers to दिवासी in his Marathi commen-	Prior to कार्तिक गुक्तनवसी,
A. D. 1030	tary on his own ज्योतिवरत्नमाला, Alberunt in his "Enquiry into	" 1st Kärtiku or
	India" (Tahkik-z-Hind) gives a detailed description of D with the	New Moon's day
	following features:- (1) name "Dibāli", (2) Festive dress,	marches in Libra ".
	(3) making presents of betel leaves and areca nuls, (4: Merry-	

Chronology	Reference D = Divali	Time indicated
	making, (5) visiting temples and giving alms, (6) All-round display of lamps at night, (7) cause of D given as the Liberation of Bali on this day by Laksmi, wife of Vasureva, (8) a time for luck—Albertini entered India in the train of Mahmild of Gazul.	
A. D. 1088-1172	हमचन्द्र of Guyarāt refers in his देशीनाममाला to"'जक्खरती" (यक्षगत्रि) and equates it with दीवाली or दीपालिका	
Before A. D. 1159	त्रिकाण्डकोष of पुरुषोत्तमदेव men tions यक्षरात्रि≔दीपाली (1.1.108) (see यक्षरात्रि in St. Petersburgh Sans. Worterbuch)	
A, D 1100-1200	Abdul Rahman of Multan in the Punjab in his Apabbramsa work বহুলগোৰত describes the Pipuwali night (আছবিবার) with the following features —	करत Season — कार्तिक वर्णन.
	(1) Illumination of mansions by ladies all-round. (2) Ladies applying the col- lyrium of these lamps to their eyes. (3) Resemblance of the Divili-	
	lamps to the crescent moon ~	
A. D. 1119	A Kannada inscription of the Madras Presidency of the reign of Calukya Tribhuvanamalla refers to a gift of one gadyāna for the service of নীউৰণ ইম্ব by one Kāvarāja on মান্তাৰ, New Moon day of সম্বাদ্ধ, নীমান্ত	New Moon day or সম্বাদ্ধন, নামবাৰ called নৱাৰেই, which Dr. Fleet regards as হাবা- ৰান্ত (which extended from সন্ধান ভূজা १೪ to কাৰিক ছাক্ষ १).

Chronology	Reference D = Divāli	Time indicated
A. D. 1290	The Maharastra Saint ज्ञानेश्वर	
	refers to दिवाळी in his ज्ञानेत्वरी in	
	three different metaphors - The	
	illuminations of the Divali are	
	compared to the light of spiritual	
	knowledge.	
A. D. 1250	In the Marathi Mahanubhava	
	text लीळाचरित्र a long description	
	is given about the celebration of	
	Dwali by TENT, the founder of	
	this sect and his Gosavi pupils Features of this description are:-	
	(1) Plenty of water stored and	
	used for the Divall bath, taken	
	by the Gosāvis.	
	(2) Use of oil for rubbing the	
	bodies before this bath.	
	(3) Waving of lights (क्षेत्राळणी)	
	before the Gosavis by the	
	woman-disciple of चक्रधर.	
	(4) Preparation of choice	
	dishes for dinner such as मोदक,	
	लाहू , नेव etc. on the भाऊबीज (यम	
	द्वितीया) day following the Dival!	
	day.	
	This was a Saint's Divali with-	
	out any merry-making of the	
	aristocratic variety. It was	
	quite modest and simple.	
. A. D. 1260	हेमाद्रि in his चतुर्वशैचिन्तामाण (वत	कार्तिक शुक्र द्वितीया.
	खण्ड) describes the यमाद्देतीया (or	
	साऊदीज) festival In this connec- tion he quotes a passage from	
	भविष्योत्तर containing the story of	
	यम and his sister यसना, who	
	feasted him at her house. Since	
	that time this festival was:	

Chronology	Reference D = Divālī	Time indicated
A. D. 1305	स्तान of Gujarat in his सबस्य- लियासांच narrates the story of Kolhápur king who was contem- porary of king Siddhardja of Gujarat (A D 1993-1143), In this connection he refers to the Dradii festival at Kolhapur with the Glowing features.— (1) Worship of the महास्वकी goddess of Kolhapur by the king's wives on the Dradii night (2) Obliation of gold jawals and camphor offered to महास्वकी on the Diväll night by an officer of Siddharája.	
A. D 1420	মहাতহামী by the above officer. Nicolo Cont., the Italian traveller who visited Vinayanagar about A D. 1420 or 1421 has described the festivals that were celebrated at Vijayanagar such as वर्षप्रविचर, महाज्यमी, वीधा-वर्षी and होटी (Vide p. 252 of जिज्ञानमा समारक पर, BIS. Mandal, Poons, 1936-37, article on विज्ञान आणे परकीय वर्षाणी by R M Atlavale). He describes the Drīūli lamps kept burning day and might within temples and on their roofs	
Between A. D 1450 and 1600	their roors The आकाश्चीयबद्धण, an ency- clopaedic work dealing with social religious and political life, possibly of Vinayangar Empire describes in two chapters how a	अश्विन कृष्ण चतुर्वृशं or नरक चतुर्वृशं and कार्तिक सिते पक्षे प्रतिपद .

Chronology

Reference D = Divali

Time indicated

on नरकचतुर्वशी and कार्तिक शुक्ल प्रति-पत्. The features of the नरकचतुर्वशी महोत्सव are:--

- (1) This festival brings wick; ory, progeny, happiness, prosperity etc to kings It is called सामाज्यस (giver of Sovereignty)
- (2) Early morning bath, (3) Worship of Purchilas and other emment Brahmins.
- (4) At the bath the king's wife should anoint him with oil and mallas (wrestlers) should bathe him with warm water. This is called সম্ভাবনাৰ
- (5) King should worship has special deity and light three lamps before it
- आस्थानकृट (assembly hall) duly attired with sword, helmet, ornaments etc. and sit on the royal throne (सिंहासन) with all his servants and officers in attendance. He should receive here the members of the assembly consisting of tributary princes. learned Brahmins, poets, singers, actors, dancers, astrologers, and courtegans. This reception should be according to the status of each class of the assembly The King should then distribute presents in the form of garments, The distribution of tambula etc. should bring the function to a close.

Chronology

Reference D = Divali

Time indicated

(?) The King should then go to the अनसापुर and there in the company of beautiful ladies, his sons and grand-sons etc. finish his dinner

(8) In the evening he should witness, in the company of tributary princes etc. a display of আল্পিঅ। (fire-works) followed by a dramatic performance in the নাজ্যালয় accompanied with singing etc.

(9) He should then retire to the अन्त पुत, take his meals with the members of the house-hold and later pass the night in the company of his chief queen (報酬)

The features of the दीपावली proper on the following day (कार्तिक हाइ पतिपत) are:—

- (1) Bath etc, as on the pre-
- vious day. (2) Worship of भास्कर (Sun),
- (3) offering three lamps to लक्ष्मीनारायण for averting अलक्ष्मी and establishing लक्ष्मी on a secure hasis (स्वेपानिका).
- (3) Holding a gathering in the আফ্রালয়ত as on the previous day.
- (4) Retiring to अन्तःपुर and there worshipping साम्राज्यसम्मी.
- (5) After-noon rest, followed by entertainments like महायुव.
- (6) Illuminations all-round in the capital in temples, assem-

Chrone	ology.

Reference D = Divali

Time indicated

bly-halls, terraces, streets and squares, theatres etc.

- (7) Honouring the royal servants with tümbüla and garments after their labours (अतक्षमान) for the success of the Divali celebrations
- (8) Dedication of the entire ılluminations (दीपनिषद्ध) to महालक्ष्मी
- (9) Worship of ৰলী, son of विराचन and grandson of महाद.
- (10) Distribution of gifts of gold etc. to Brahmins, relatives etc with a lovous heart.

Thus terminates the दीपावर्ला-उत्सव centributing to long life, health, victory, prosperity and knowledge.

A. D. 1590

Am-i-Albari of Abul Fazl des-

. cribes Dwālī. Features - (1) Greatest festi-

val of the Vaisya caste, (2) Illuminations-difference in calculating the date of D-celebrated on कार्तिक हाक्ल १३ and कृष्ण १५, (3) Lamps are lit as on the Muslim festival Shab-y-barat. (4) Dicing and other strange traditions.

A.D. 1613, 1623. European references to Dunili 182), 1843 1888.

1651, 1671, recorded in Hobson - Johson 16:3, 1690 Fralues - (1) Feasting, (2) Festival in honom of 1997, (3) Sending presents to all concerned. (4) Bania's Divall. (5) Grand lestival season, (6) Fireworks (1820), (7) Worship of लक्ष्मी, (8) Floating of lamps in river. (9) Splendid Divili at

Benares (1888).

Karthka कानवा १५ and simper ?3.

In the loregoing study of the mistory of the Devals festival I have confined my evidence as far as possible to non-Puranic sources, as the chronology of the Pursinic sources is not definite, I hope now that many of my friends who have made a close study of the Pursinic sources will throw more light on this festival of lights by linking up the Pursinic sources with the chronology of this festival as recorded in the present paper for a period of about 2000 years from the beginning of the Christian era upto the present day.

'Sunce this paper was drafted I have sent for publication in the Journal of the Dr. Ganganatha Jha Reversch Institute, Allahabad a paper on "The Sukhauspittis of the Nilomata Persona (Streen A D 500 and 300) and the Adutya Pursina quoted by Hemidri (C. A. D. 1200) and its relation to the modern Dividi Festivati"—I have further received the following replies to my aquiries about Displit, which would be useful for further investigation in thus field—

(I) Mm. Prof. P V. Kune writes on 22-1-1946 -

"The 4th volume of my work (History of Dharmadistro) will deal with fasticals and wrides. Therefore, so fast I have written nothing about the Dwish festival. From my notes I find that Hemadri quotes হুমানু লো and uffering of righting lamps in Dwish. similarly the nearwifting quotes spars, the বিশ্বাহানি, quotes spars, with the বিশ্বাহানি, quotes spars, and the हुन प्राप्त (pp. 385-395 and p. 413) has something to say about spipering and quality.

(II) Dr A. P. Karmarkar who is now working on Puranic Cilture at the Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, has kindly sont me the following references to Dipadual from the Purans. on 1-2-1946—

(1) प्राप्ताण (রনাংবার, chap. 122); (2) বানন্থাল (chapter 92 - verses 53 ff. — Note also the ব্যালনারে in the জারাত্রপুলে); (3) কেন্দ্র-বাল (বিআৰ বাল - কার্নিকান্যারান্য, chapters 9 ff).

(III.) Dr. A. N. Upodabye (Kolhapur) writes about Jan. Divide on Set-1066.—"The Divide is the most important festivity with the Jains. Lord Mahhvira attained Mokso on the saffets up and (in the South affer up and). The Jains pretically all over India celebrate the Merodad of Mohnwire by illuminating lights on the might of the New Moon in the month of Kartitka, the spengy remarks "Since the light of intelligence is gone, let us make an illumination of material matter" (see S. R. Vol. 23, pp. 264-265). The era of quift fragin begins from unifds ups ?. The remark of the sprays is the starting point for our study?

am thankful to all the above friends for their helpful and prompt replies to my queries about $Div\bar{u}li$.

Annals of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institute

262

My present study of the history of the Divāli has been pre pared in space-time context. The time-context has been made sufficiently clear in the table given above. As regards the space-context I may observe that the sources used in the present study pertain to the celebration of the $Dv\bar{u}li$ from Kashmir to Madras at such places as Multan, Kashmir, Kanaup, Delhi, Agra, Gujarkt. Chhattisgarh (C. P.) Maharāstra, Poons, Mālkhed (in Nīzsm's Dominions), Vijayanagar, etc. This space-context shows the national, character of the $Dv\bar{u}li$ from very early times. Yašo dhara, therefore is right in putting the Yaksarā'rı (or $Dv\bar{u}li$) in the category of $M\bar{u}himani$ (national) festivals mentioned by the $K\bar{u}mas\bar{u}tra$ as distinguished from the desya (provincial or regional) festivals.

JURIDICAL STUDIES IN ANCIENT INDIAN LAW

10. Different Types of Deposits1

BΥ

PROF. Dr. LUDWIK STERNBACH (Poland)

Abbreviations: - Amar. - Amarakośa ed. by Losseleur Deslongohamps, Paris 1839 , Ap - Apastambiya Dharmasastra ed. by G. Buehler, Bombay, Sanskrit Series 1892-94, B.-Baudhayana Dharmasastra ed. by E. Hultzsch in "Abhandlungen fuer die Kunde des Morgenlandes, Vol. VIII., Leuzig 1884. Bra.-Brhaspati Smrti, reconstructed, ed. by K. V. Rangasvami Alyangar, Gackwad's Oriental Series, Vol LXXXV, Baroda 1941, Col. - Digest on Hindu Law on Contracts and Successions with a Commentary by J. Tercapancanana trans by H. T. Colebrooke, Vol. I London 1891, Dh - Dharmakośa, Vysvahāra-kāņda, Vivādapadām ed. by L. Joshi, 1938. G.-Gautamiya Dharmasastra. The Institutes of Gautama ed. by A F. Stenzier, London 1876, with Masari Bhasya ed by L Srinivasicharya. Mysore 1917, ed by M. N. Dutt in the "Dharmassira Texts ' Vol I Calcutta 1903, Hal - Halayudha's Abhidhanaratna nail ed by Th. Aufrecht, London 1861 . Hem - Hemacandra's Abhidhanacintamani ed by . O. Boehtlingk and Ch. Rieu, St Petersburg 1847, K .- Kautilya's Arthaéastra ed by R. Shama Sastry, Oriental Library Publications Sanskrit Series No 37 / 64, Mysore 1924 , Kath, - Kathasaritsagara ed by H. Brockhaus, Leipzig 1839 , Kūtu - Kātvāvana Smrti ed by P V, Kane, Bombay 1933 , Mbh - Mahābhāra a ed. Caloutta 1884-1839 , Mn - Mānava Dharmasästra with Kuliukabhatta, Nira Sag, Press, Sombay 1886, ed by Pandya. Bombay 1913 . Mrcch. - Mrcchakatika ed by N. B Godabole, Bombay 1896 . N - Nārada Smrti with Nāradabhāsya of Asahāya ed by J Jolly in Bibliotheos Indica, Calcutta 1885, Pafic - Paticatantra ed by J G. L. Kosegarten, Bonn 1848, Ragh - Raghuvarhsa ed by A F. Stenzler, London 1832 , Ray - Rayatarangini ed. by M. A. Stein, Rombay 1892 , Ram. -Ramavana ed by A W. V Schlegel, Bonn 1838, Sak,-Kalidasa s Sakuntala ed by C Boehtlingk, Bonn 1842, Sar. - Sarssyati-Vilasa ed by Rev Th. Fonikes, London 1881, Smr. C. - Smrti-Candrika by Devannabhatta ed. by L. Srimvasacharya, Mysore 1916, Sukr. - Sukranitisara ed by Oppert, Madras 1882, Vas. - Vasistha Dharmasastra ed by A A. Fuebrer in "Bombay Sanskrit and Prakrit Series" No. XXIII Poona 1930 . Vi -Visnu-Smrti ed by M. N. Dutt in the "Dhasmasasta Texts" Vol. II. Calcutta 1909 , Viv. - Vivādacintāmani from Vācaspatimiśraviracarita (Rāmacandravidvāvagīšašodhitah) 1894; VM - Vyavahāra Mayūkha of Bhatta Nilakantha ed. by P V. Kane, 1926; P. - Yanavalkya Dharmaénatra ed by A. F. Stenzler (Yaifiavalkya's Gesetzbuch) Berlin-London. 1849 with Mitaksara's (Mit) Commentary of Vijaanesvara by W L S. Pansikar in Niin Sagar Press, Bombay 1936, with Viramitrodaya (Vir.) Caukhamba Samskrta-Granthamālā; with Aparārka's Commentary in Anandšáramasamskrtagranthavalı (Apar.).

¹ See also my No 11 " Law of Deposits "

1. Contract of Deposit

Deposit is a contract whereby one person delivers a movable thing to another for gratuitous safe custody. Depositum as well as commedatum, mandatum etc are species of fiducia.

2. Depositum - Fiducia

The Smrts very often use the word "deposits", whilst meaning fiducia. We find several sorts of deposits in the Smrts. Some of them are in reality specific verieties of deposits (e.g. sealed deposits, open deposits, etc.), but by far the greater number are not deposits from the point of view of the theory of law, but some specific contracts in which fiducia plays a prominent role. However, as the Smrts and their commentators class these relative, specific agreements among contracts of deposit, therefore, from the point of view of the theory of ancient Indian Law, we must consider them as contracts of deposits, although from the general point of view of law these contracts have nothing to do with the contracts of deposits, or are only slightly connected with these contracts.

3. Synonyms

In the Sanskrit nomenclature we find three most important synonyms for the word deposit .e. নিষ্য, ব্যবসিধ and =যাম. From the legal point of view the difference between these three synonyms is very slight Other words are also known for the desicostion of the deposit.

Hemacandra in Abhidhānacintāmani quotes these three synonyms, E Halāyudha in Abhidhānaratnamālā quotes দিল্লপ and বৰ্ষনিথি as synonyms and Amarakośa mentions ব্যনিথি and ব্যাপ as synonyms.

A. Open deposits

4. Quotatums

The word निक्षेप from नि° (down, into) + ° शिष्(to throw, to lav, to cast), the laid down, the delivered, the preserved, the entrusted re. a deposit, is used in Mn., N., Brh., Vi, Vir., VM. as the principal word for the designation of a deposit.

- ¹ 870 **° निक्षे**पोपानीचि ⁸ I-82 ⁴ नि**क्षेपः** स्यादुपनिर्ध
- II-9-81 पुमान् उपनिषिग्यीसः

It is quoted in Hem, as synonym of उपानिषे and न्यास and in Hal. as synonym of उपानिष्, '

Mn. (VIII-4) eumerating eighteen titles of law mentions নিৰ্মণ and quoting the classification of offences (XI-57) also uses this word In addition Mn. quotes নির্মণ in the chapter relating to deposite (VIII-179-181, 185, 186, 188, 190-192, 194, 196, 149). Mn. also uses the word বখনিং (VIII-185, 126, 149) and considers it as another kind of deposit (VIII-196). However, it must be noted that for a "sealed 'deposit Mn uses the word सद्य (VIII-188)

Although N. (16) in enumerating the titles of law uses the word व्यक्तिये and Asahāya commenting on these rules does not mention the word त्रिष्य at all, it must be emphasized that N. in other places almost exclusively uses the word त्रिष्य (N II-J. 5, 7-10, 13). N defining the deposit also employs the word त्रिष्य and regulating the problem of the sale of things not from the owner also quotes the word त्रिष्य (N. VII-1) and repeating in I-81 that text of Mn. (VIII-149) uses both the words त्रिष्य and उपत्रिष्ट, N. (II-14) also contains a general rule according to which the rules relating to त्रिष्य, as general rules, have to be applied to पाचित, त्रवादित, शिल्प, व्यक्तिप्ट, त्यास and प्रतिव्यक्त. In the text of N, which is not published in the edition in "Bibliotheea Indica" by J Jolly, and is quoted by Mit. (w! Y II-65), in VM. (p. 190,10-11) etc. N. distinguishes between त्रिय and उपत्रिय and gives good definitions of both these kinds of deposits.

Chapter XI. of Rth., which deals with deposits, is entitled लिवेश, All the other kinds of deposits are classed in Brh. under নিবাৰ (XI-1,15) However, Brh. seldom employs the word নিবাৰ for the designation of the deposit (XI-1). Brh. differentiates between নিবাৰ কো বাইবাৰ (XI-2) or আন (XI-3) as well as writt and अपनिवाद (XI-2) and expresses the opinion that all the rules contained in the Chapter XI also relate to अन्यादित, पाचित,

Vi. only mentions the word निक्षेप for the designation of the deposit (V-169 / 171, XXXVI-3, LII-4).

¹ See § 3. 2 Vir., Parāsaramādhava.

^{12 [}Annals, B. O. R I]

Vir. on the other hand uses the words নির্ম্ব and ৱঘনিথি promisciously. Mitramisra begins the relative para regarding deposits (p. 493) with the words নির্মুখ বিষয়বুখনান্ত্রনার and concludes (p 496) with the words নির্মুখ বিষয়বুখনান্ত্রনার commenting on N. (VII-1) Mitramisra says that the term নির্মুখ includes by implication ঘাখিন and other species of deposits. This statement is probably based on N (II-14).

VM (p 190/6) entitles the chapter regardin; deposits - निक्षंप but uses as synonym of the term deposit the word न्यास and understands by it all kinds of deposits.

Other sources of law consider उपनिधि as the principal word to designate the deposit but also admit the term निक्षेप for the meaning of deposits

Y. uses the word उपनिषि and निशेष (Y-II-25) separately and in N-III-230, which is similar to the sentence found in Mn (XI-57), uses the word निशेष a. Y does not consider the word उपनिषि as identical with निशेष and states (II-67) that the same rules apply to निशेष as to उपनिषि .

Kity considers the word जयाँगिय as the principal word for the despost. We read there, that ऋषयोगितानिश्य-स्वान्यात्रियाणिया (592) are identical with the उपनिष् ' Katy, promiseuously employs the words वाचित (595), न्याम (596/7), उपनिष (601), निवंश (592, 593, 598, 599) in the text and concludes by saying that all the rules enumerated in the relative chapter refer to all sorts of उपनिष् (602).

K (177) also mentions the word उपनिधि as the principal word for the designation of deposits but extends the relative rules to निक्षेप (180/6).

Vâs., in which Smri we find very little mention of deposits and in which the rules contained in Mn (VIII-149) and N, (I-81) are repeated, mentions the word उपनिक्षेप for the designation of the deposit.

We also find the word निक्षेप in the sense of a deposit in Pañc. ⁸ Kath.' Säk.' and others.

ं क्रयपोषितनिश्चित्रबन्धान्वाश्चितयापितम् । पैश्यवृत्त्यर्वित चैष सोर्थस्तृपतिषिः स्मृतः ॥ Katy. 592 * Prath 1, 16 etc. * Tan. 7. v 79. 4 97-1.

5. Definition

The Smrtis do not give us a juridical definition of the May.
Only unpublished text of N. quoted by Mit. etc. remarks:

असंख्यातमविज्ञातं सम्रदं यश्विभीयते ।

तं जानीयादुपनिधिं निक्षेपं गणितं विदः॥ 1

Hence दपनिषि is a sealed deposit when its contents are not counted and known, and the निशेष is an unsealed deposit when the contents are counted.

This definition seems to be right. Probably developing it Mit (ad Y I-67, p. 163/25) remarks ঘদাই র মান্ত্র্য কিইম: In connection with the preceding sentence at its therefore evident that according to Mit. if delivery of the deposit was performed in the presence of the owner than this kind of deposit is called কিইম? : or ipso in this case the deposit will be counted.

VIr. (p. 494/26-27) on the basts of the opinion of N, mentioned before, accepts a specific form of लिहार. This commentary is of the opinion that if an article described in the presence of an artisan (विशिष्ण) and handed over to him for preparing into an ornament is a लिहार. We shall see later on that this is not a लिहार but a शिरित्रचार n, however, we observe here the same essentials which were found in N, and Mit, re handing over of the object deposited or entrusted with somebody in the presence of the owner and counted. This is also an open deposit and not a sealed one.

How obscure the definition of the first is, can be seen from the fact that the two, best experts and translators of K. understand first in two entirely different ways. R Shameasstry translates first as a sealed deposit (180/6 sqq) and J. J. Meyer as an open, unsealed deposit (284/26) We do not find in K any definition of first and it is not clear from the context whether staffe or first is a sealed or unsealed deposit, so that no reason exists wby K. should be quite different from N., Y and their commentators. Therefore, it would appear that J. J. Meyer's opinion that friend should be translated as an unsealed, open deposit is right.

It must be admitted that the निश्चेष is an unsealed deposit handed over to the depositary in the presence of the owner.

It has to be pointed out that N. (II-1) remarks : स्वं द्रव्यं यत्र विश्वस्थासिक्षियत्यविद्यक्षितः ।

निक्षेपो नाम तत्प्रोक्तं व्यवहारपदं बुधैः ॥

"Where one entrusts his property to another in confidence and without suspicion, that is termed निशेष, a title of law."

We observe that in N. (II-1) a very clear and exact definition of fixed is given, however, in reality, it is the proper definition of the deposit in the most general sense of this word and not a species of deposit called fixer. The best proof of that might be the fact that in the last $\delta loka$ according to VM (p. 1908-11) this general meaning of fixer is divided into a specified fixer and artifully a straight of the sense of the

6. उपनिक्षेप

Y. (II-25) enumerates in addition to निक्षेप the उपनिक्षेप from उप° (at, by, beside, near, with) + "नि" (down, into) + "व्यिष् (to throw, to lay, to cast), the laid down by, the entrusted with

Y. mentions the ব্যক্তিষ্ in the sloku identical with Mn (VIII-149), N (I-51), Vas (XVI-18) and K (1912) All these Smriss enumerate in the respective slokus নিয়ম কাৰ্যস্থানি (নিয়ম নিয়ম). Y. however uses the word নিয়ম instead of the word ব্যক্তিষ্ Mr each there.

आधिसीमोपीनक्षेपजहबारुधनैविंना । तथोपनिधराजस्त्रीशोत्रियाणां धनैरपि॥

If we comment on this sentence which relates to not losing deposit, etc. by adverse possession, with identical quotations from other sources quoted above, we come to the conclusion that no difference exists between the निषेत्र and the उपनिषेत्र and that both mean "open deposit". This is also the opinion expressed by Mit where we read, उपनिष्ठेत्र ताम स्वसंस्थायद्वरित दश्याचे परण इसे निर्देश अवस्था (Mit. ad Y II-25 p 137/25-26). Consequently उपनिष्ठेत्र is a chattel placed for safe custody in another's hand after exhibiting its quality and quantity. The same definition of उपनिष्ठेत्र can also be found in Vir: उपनिष्ठेत्र त्यस्य समिष्ठे सहस्थादिना परिचिक्त समिषित्र स्थापित प्रतिचित्र ता also be found in Vir: उपनिष्ठेत्र ता 4865-56).

However, the उपनिक्षेप is defined in quite a different way in Sulapāni's Dipakalikā (ad Y. II-25). Śūlapāni states that the उपनिक्षेप is a deposit placed in a vessel without mentioning details

of what is deposited and with a seal. Śūlapāni, based on N., as he states, gives for the word ব্যক্তিয় the definition of ব্যক্তিয় Possibly the preposition are was misleading for Śūlapāni and, therefore, he considered in error ব্যক্তিয় as sealed deposit. This can also be surmised from the fact that Śūlapāni refers to N. where we do not find the word ব্যক্তিয় but only the words বিশ্বয় and ব্যক্তিয়

B. Sealed Deposits

7. Quotations

avefix from a^{uv} (at, by, beside, near, with) + 'fit' (down, into) + 'uv (to put, to lay, to bring) what is put into with some-body, a deposit, or shipting (creating a deposit) is considered in some Bmrts as the principal word for the designation of deposits.

It was said above that Hem. quotes उपनिषि, निक्षेप and न्यास as synonyms. Hal उपनिषि and निक्षेप and Amar उपनिष्क and न्यास.

Y., Kāty, and K. consider उपनिधि (औपनिधिक) as the principal word for the designation of deposits

Y (II-67), as was mentioned above, classes all the rules relating to deposits under the word ব্যনিতি Y. (II-25) also uses the word ব্যনিষ্ট্য and ব্যনিতি

Katy (592) considers several kinds of deposits as equivalent to ব্যনিথি 1 and uses the word ব্যনিথি promisouously with other synonyms for this word.

In K. the heading of the relative chapter is জীপনিধিক (p. 177/12), then K. employs the word অপনিধি (p. 177/13 sqc.) but later mentions that the rules relating to অপনিধি nuct also he applied in case of নিল্লপ (p. 180%) K. uses also the word অপনিধি next to নিল্লপ (p. 191/2) in the chapter relating to prescription

Mn. (VIII-196) differentiates between उपनिष् and নিশ্বৰ and in other places mentions ব্যনিষ্ as well as নিশ্বৰ s.e. when regulating that both these deposits should not be handed over to the next-of-kin (VIII-185) and when regulating the problem of prescription (VIII-189) When regulating this last problem N. (I-81), Vas (XVI-18), K. (191/2) and Y. (II-25) also mention उपनिष् next to निश्चर.

Sec 9 4.

270

In addition उपानिधि is mentioned in Mbh. (I-4899.)1

8. Definition

We find the definition of उपानिधि or औपनिधिक in N. (II-5), Brh. (XI-2), Y (II-65). It must also be noted that N. (16) enumerating the eighteen titles of law mentions उपनिधि as one of them, although (in the second chapter) considers निश्चप as the principal word for the designation of deposits. N. (quoted in Mit ad Y. II-65, VM. and others) differentiates also between उपनिधि and निक्षेप. ?

Mit. defines उपनिधि (ad Y. II-25 p. 136/27), Vir. (ad Y. II-24/25 p 439 and ad II. 65-67 p 493/19), Sülspāni (ad Y. II-25 and 67), Viv (p 26 basing the definition on Katy, and Sukr. औपनिश्विक II-327) considering this word as the principal for the designation of a deposit);

The definition of the उपानिधि or औपनिधिक found in different Smrtis is not uniform although, in rule, it is admitted in the Smrtis that the उपनिधि is a sealed deposit."

Vir states briefly that उपनिधि is a special kind of deposit तदी-पनिधिकं नाम निक्षेपाविशेष (Vir p 493:19).

Exhaustive definitions of उपनिधि can be found in N (II-5). Y. (II-65), Brh. (XI-2) and N. (quoted in Mit. ad Y II-25. etc). In addition उपानिषे is also defined in Vir. (ad Y. II-24 25), Medh (ad Mn. VIII-148), etc.

The Smrtis state: अन्यद्रध्यव्यवहितं द्रव्यमव्याहतं च यत्।

निक्षिप्यते परगृहं तदीपनिधिकं स्मृतस् ॥ (N. 11-5). वासनस्थमनास्याय हस्तेऽन्यस्य यदर्घते। द्रव्यं तदीपनिधिकं प्रतिदेशं तथैब तत् ॥ (Y. II-65). अनास्यातं व्यवहितमसंस्थातमदर्शितस् । सुद्राङ्कितं च यहत्तं तदीपनिधिकं स्मृतम् ॥ (Brlı XI-2), असंख्यातमविज्ञातं सम्रदं यश्चिपीयते।

(N in Mit. ad Y II-65 p 162/25).

तज्जानीयादपनिधिं निक्षेपं गणितं विदः॥

I For details See § 4

⁴ See 8 4 2 Sukr defines also deposits in similar way.

It was mentioned before that K, does not give any definition of swifts and Shamasasatrı translates उपानिधि as an open deposit and लिक्सेप as a scaled deposit; the other way round J J Meyer, whose translation seems to be better, See 8. 5.

तपनिधेर्भाष्टस्थानामपरिक्तिकानां ।

(Vir ad Y. II-24-25 p. 436 / 7-8)

Hence a chattel, property (द्वाप) which being placed in a box (sing. V. II-65) or a not (wive. Vir.) or hidden in another thing (N. II-5) or, as mentioned most clearly in other Smrts, -under a seal (समझ, N. in Mit ad Y. II-65, or grangent in Brh. X1-2) or covered with cloth and scaled (Medb ad Mn. VIII-148) without being desoribed (अनास्याय in Y II-65, असंस्थातमद्कितम् in Brh. XI-2) or without being counted or known (असंख्यातमविज्ञात in N. in Mit. ad V. II-65) or measured (अपरिच्छिकानां in Vir) is deposited in other people's houses (True, in N. II-5) or in the hands of another - is called उपनिधि. In other words when a chattel is given under seal to another person for deposit or if the depositary does not know what is deposited with him, this kind of deposit is known ाव जवानिधिः

Some of the commentators explain what is to be understood under a sealed or hidden article in another thing. These are, as explained, things which are unknown to the depositary i. c. he does not know whether it is gold, or silver, or pearls, or necklaces. etc. tied up and secured by a private knot prevent its being taken by another person or secured by the impression of a seal on which special letters are engraved (Col. p. 403/4 based on Asahāva ad N).

That is one kind of definition of the उपनिधि. However, Medh (ad Mn. VIII-148) who in the beginning defines the author in a similar way to the definitions mentioned above states that it is better to take an उपनिधि as standing for what is given for use through friendliness and favour. Most probably in this instance he bases his opinion on Mn. (VIII-196) The opinion expressed in Dinakalıka (ad Y II-25) is similar Sulapani states that approxim a deposit made over for use out of affection. This point of view completely changes the meaning of the sealed deposit, of which one of the essentialia is the fact that the depositary does not know what he takes into deposit, and moreover, cannot use it The use of a deposited object also completely changes the character of this agreement. Therefore, it must be admitted that this definition is wrong. Even Sulapani, in another place, we can say, admits that he was wrong (ad Y. II-25) as ad Y. (II-67) expresses the opinion that the use of the deposit without the consent of the depositor is not allowed and he who does it should be fined. It must also be borne in mind that only Dipaklika and Medh, are of the latter opinion though all the other Smrtss define उपनिधि as sealed deposit.

C. Secret Deposits

9. Quotations

FURT from the legal point of view is the least important synonym for the designation of deposits.

Hem. enumerates न्यास as one of the three synonyms along with निक्षेप and उपानिधि and Amar. as one of two: e along with उपानिधि, !

Asahāya (ad N. 16) divides the deposits inter alia also into न्यास Kāty. (592) enumerating various kinds of deposits (उपनिष्ट) does not mention न्यास as one of them This Smrth, however, uses this word promiseuously with उपनिष्क, सिक्षण and वार्यन (593, 603)

Bih. promisenously employs व्यास with तिश्चल (XI-9, 19) and considers it from the point of view of law as a special kind of deposit, and gives a special definition of ज्यास (Brh. XI-3) In addition, Brh. in the chapter relating to the sale of different articles by an unauthorised person, not the owner, (अव्यास्त्र) mentions ज्यास, तिश्चम and अन्याहित, separately stating, in this way, that these three deposits are different from each other (Brh. XII-2).

Y, and N. also consider न्यास as the different sort of deposit as it is stated in these Smrlis that the rules relating to उपनिधि or निश्चेष apply also inter alia to न्यास (Y. II-67, N. II-14).

It must also be added that in N., in which Smrts the word लिख is considered as the principal word for the designation of a deposit, in the chapter relating to proofs by orded to take place in the case of the denial of a deposit, the word न्यास is used (N.)-241).

B. (II-1, 2, 3) considering the stealing of a deposit, and the like, as an offence causing loss of caste, also uses the word व्यास for the designation of deposits

Sukr. (IV-5, 310) uses the word न्यास in addition to याचित and अन्याहित.

Generally speaking the word न्यास was considered in literature, and so ipso colloquially, as the principal word for the designation of deposits, although in juridical nomenclature it

¹ See \$, 3., Hem. (870), Amar. (II, 9, 81).

was a specific and rather infrequent sort of deposit ' And so we see in Mroch. that Vasantasana entrusting the jewels to Cărudatta uses the word =urw (urw). Similarly this word is also used by Vidūsaka and Cārudata '' In Rāj, we also find the word =urw in the chapter relating to a certain man of means who deposited a lakh of money in the house of a merchant.' Raj, also prefers the word fright for the designation of a deposit. 'In Sāk. (act IV-97) the word =urw is also used for the designation of deposits, 'e similarly in Ragh.' and Kath.' (when referring to the deposit of the whole estate with the merchant Hiranyaduta or Hiranyagupta and tricks used by Upakośa). 'E Even Rām uses the word =urw for the designation of the deposit.'

1 Set S 10.

³ Vasantavena — अज्ज अलिओ। परिसमु णासा णिक्सविअन्ति ण उच गेहेसु (Act I p 69/628-629) Offindatia पिद्मुसं न्यासः सत्ययम् (Act I. p. 69 (33) Vidusaka गासी पत्त सी Candatta क्वय न्यासः । Vidusaka , स्वस्तयसु प्रश । जद णामी चोल्ण अवद्वते तुनं के मोहे उपग्वो । Act III. n. 155/382-385)

Carudatta थं समाज्यस्य विश्वासं स्थासोस्माम् तथा रूतः । तस्यतम्महनो मन्यं प्रत्ययस्येव दीयते ॥ Aot III. p. 101/553-354 े सोहदागुरुस द्वावे स्थापदोषेषिकं धनी ।

न्यासीचकार दीन्नाग्रहस्य कोपि वनिभाहे 🏿 (VIII-124). See 💵 o VIII, 126, 151.

. See VIII-147

अर्थो हि कन्या परकीय एव ताबय संयोध्य परिप्रहीतुः । नाता ममाय विश्वदः प्रकाम प्रत्यपितेन्याम इवान्तरास्मा ॥ संदर्भ, Act. IV, 97.

स विसृष्टस्त्रधेन्युक्त्व। भ्राता विवाविशासुरी । नन्दिमामतस्तरस्य गुजयं न्यासमिवाभनकः ॥ Ragh XII-18.

ा Tar 4.

बाणम् । **पर**ण्यमुप्ता स मन्ना न्यासम्बद्धाः चन । जिहीबति इति विहासम् तत्र राजा तया स्वय (Kath. Tar. 4/72) Kath. uses also in addition to न्यासीरुत the words अर्थियान, स्थापित

घान, eto पादुके चास्य राज्याय न्यास दखा पुनः पुनः । निवर्तयामास तती भरत भरताषणः ॥ 185m. 1, 1, 87.

13 { Annals, B, O, R I }

as well as Mbh., 1 Bhagayata Puranas and many others.

It must be noted that Mit. (ad Y. II-67) uses in addition to म्पास the word न्यस्त, a special kind of deposit a s. gold, etc. for preparing bracelets, etc. 3 Mit. classes this kind of deposit under "and others".-From this commentary it is evident that FURR is nothing more than Siferena.

10. Definition

From the legal point of view न्यास is a deposit which was entrusted to a member of the house in the absence of the owner and without being shown to him with the mandate to be handed over to the owner of the house. Therefore, FUTH can be considered as a secret deposit. This definition is absolutely identical with that found in Mit. and Vir. (ad Y. II-67).

Mit. states - न्यासी नाम गृहस्वामिनेऽदर्शयित्वा तत्परीक्षमेव गृहजनहरूते मक्षेपो गृहस्वामिने समर्पणीयमिति । (ad Y. II-67 p. 163/24-25).

Vir. states: न्यासो गहस्वामिनेऽदर्शयित्वा तत्परोक्षमेव तदीयजनहस्ते मक्षेपो गृहस्वामिने समर्पणीयमिति । (ad Y II-67 p. 494/24-26).

This is the real definition of FURN. Brh. (XI-3) is also of the opinion that the contract of deposit (न्यास) takes place in the house of another man and adds the motive for the commitment of the deposit. Brh states that it takes place through fear of the king, robbers or other dangers or for the purpose of deceiving the beirs. We read there:

राजचीरारातिभयाद्वायादानां च वश्चनात्। स्थाप्यतेऽन्यगृहे इव्यं न्यासस्तत्पशिकीर्तितम् ॥

(Brh. XI-3)

Identically Dipakalıka (ad Y. II-67). Such a fear is also described in K. (180/19-181/2) where, however, the word निशेष is employed. 5

¹ I-6137

In Vişnu Purana V. 8, 16, IX, 14, 20

आदिशब्देन स्वर्णकारादिहरते कटकादिनिर्माणाय स्वस्तस्य सवर्णादे:

⁽ Mit ad Y II. 67 p. 163/25-26). Bee \$. 18. 4 See 8. 18.

रुतलक्षणेन वा इब्येण प्रत्यानयने तबालिशजातीयो वा राष्ट्री राषदाविकाक्षण -भीते: सारमस्य इस्ते निश्चिय्यापगच्छेत् । K. 180/19-20 See also J. J. Mever Das altindische Buch von Welt und Staatsleben p. 786 | 78.

If we admit that the only eventuals of the FURH-deposit is the lodging of an object in the hands of a person for safe-keeping " in the house of another man" then we understand clearly why Mrcch., Rai., Kath., etc. have used the word Furt and not first or उपानिधि. However, it must be admitted (Sak. and others) that the word FUR was principally employed, and in literature too, for the designation of deposits

D. Mutual Deposits

11. Qualations

The mention of प्रतिन्यास from पति° (towards, over, against, in sight of) + "न्यास (deposit), a deposit towards another, is to be found in N. (II-14). There it is pointed out that the rules relating to deposits also apply inter alia to प्रतिन्यास.

Mit. (ad Y. 11-67 p. 163/26) basing his opinion on this quotation also understands under " and others " the प्रतिन्यास - deposit. Definition

12

The definition of प्रतिन्यास is to be found in Asahāya's commentary (ad N. II-14) and in VM. (ad N. II-14 p. 193/3). Under this expression an agreement of a re-deposit or a mutual deposit must be understood. According to this agreement both parties exchanged deposits with one other (प्रतिन्यासः स्वामिना पत्र निक्षिप्त तेनाप्यन्यत्र निश्चित्तं) with the words " you should keep this thing of mine, and I shall keep this thing of yours ".

We can say that from the legal point of view in this case two different contracts of deposit take place at the same time. The depositaries fare depositors as well as vice verse.

It must be noted that other Smrtis do not regulate this kind of deposit.

E. Loans for Use

13 Quotatsons

काचित or याचितक - deposit from बाब्द (to ask for, to request, to sue for) is classed among deposits (उपनिधि) in Katy. (592) and in Asahāya's commentary on N. (16) when enumerating eighteen titles of law. Y. (II-67, II-14) and Brh. (XI-18) t also state the same; both these Smrtis state that the general rules relating to deposits have also to be applied to पाचित or पाचितक.

² VM p. 193/3. and by Nilakantha.

³ शाचित.

Y. (II-238) quotes the word unan when discussing the problem of the use by the washerman of the objects given to him and penalties to be imposed for such use (ten paray)

G. (XII-42) and Kāty. (595) use this word when regulating the responsibility for negligence or us muon in the case of loss or destruction of an object which was বাৰিব

Vir and Smr. C. commenting on Kāty. (606/7) express the opinion that the rules of deposits apply to पाचितक.

Sukr. (IV-5, 310) uses the word पाचित besides न्यास, अन्याहित, etc.
Mateya Purana (quoted in Dh a/ उपनिधि) and Apararka
(n. 664) quote पाचित besides निक्षेप

14. Definition

In spite of all the quotations mentioned above it is very difficult to admit from the point of view of the system of civil law that पाचित is a deposit

VM. gives the following definition of the याचित-याचितसुद्दाहादी कोभाष्यं नीतसळकाराहि

Vir. statos विवाहायुस्सवार्थं बलालङ्कारादिकं प्रतिदेयतयाङ्गीकृश्य याचि-म्वानीतं याचितं । (ad Y. II-67 p 494;21-23).—Similarly Dipukulikii (ad Y. II-67).

Consequently it is evident that according to this contract clothes, ornaments and the like are loaned to a person on the occasion of a marriage or similar festivity for adornment purposes. These objects are given without any consideration of interest, as Sukr rightly mentions twice (11-327, 381) This opinion also conforms with that of Pāmini (4, 4, 21) who says that quark (1874) are articles lent for use free of interest.

From the conclusions noted above it is evident that unfert (unferts) from the point of view of law are loans for use and not deposits although some escentialia were the same in both agreements. That is probably the reason why the Sunticellass the unfert amongst the 3unfer (Katy, N.) and apply to these contracts the general rules relating to deposits. Therefore, from the point of view of the system of ancient Indian law we have to class suffer among deposits.

अवृद्धिक गृहीतान्यालंकारादि च याचितं (II 327/655) सवुद्धिकमृणं दत्तमकुसीदं तु याचितं | (II. 341/681)

F. Deposits for Delivery

15. Quotations

अन्याहित from अनु (thereafter, afterwards, further on) + "आ" + (to, upto, at) + "आ (to lay, to give): e what is given afterwards further on, is according to the Santus a specific form of deposit.

Katy. (592) classes সন্মারিন among ব্যনিথি and Asahāya commenting on N. (16), when enumerating the eighteen titles of law in subdivisions of deposits, quotes সন্মারিন.

Y (II-67), Brh. (XI-18) and N. (II-14) are of the opinion that the rules relating to deposits (उपनिषि, निवेष) also apply to अन्याहित.

Brb. (XII-2), regulating the problem of sale without ownership, mentions সন্বাহিল besides ন্যাম and সিল্লা

Sukr (IV-5, 310), discussing the problem of things which must not be handed over to others even in times of danger, enumerates अन्याहित along with याचित and न्यास. 1

16. Definition

None of the Smrtiv give any definition of the अन्याहित - deposit. We observe, however, some definitions in the commentaries on the Smrtiv.

 $M_{1t.\,\,states}$ यदेकस्य हस्ते निहितं ब्रब्धं तेनाप्यनु पश्चादन्यहस्ते स्वाभिने देहीति निहितं तदन्वहितम् । ($at\,\,Y,\,\,H=67\,\,p,\,\,163/22=23\,\,)$

The definition found in Vir is almost identical We read there अन्वाहितं स्वामिना एकस्य इस्ते निहितं दृष्यं तेनाच्यनु पश्चादन्यस्य इस्ते तस्यापातर्वचनाभ्विहित । (w/ Y, II-67, p. 494/23-24).

The explanation of the अन्याहित is clearer in the VM., although this definition is worse from the legal point of view. We read there: अन्याहितममुकेन साथ स्थापितं च स्वया तस्में देयमिति पुरुषा-नतरहस्तीरितम् । (VM. p. 192/13-14)

On the basis of these three commentaries we have to come to the conclusion that the contract of sweriger took place if the owner A. committed to the care of B. an object and this B lodged it afterwards, further on, in the hands of C with the clear mandate to return it to the owner A.

¹ uses also the word निक्षेप.

From the point of view of law not one but several separate contracts were performed in this case. Firstly there is the performance of the agreement between A. and B., according to which an ordinary contract of deposit took place. However, according to the contract of deposit B. was obliged to return the deposited object to A. In the case of the wavingn-deposit B. concluded an additional agreement with C. according to which he obliged C. to return the deposited object to A. i. c. to the owner of this object. The commentators do not state whether the agreement between B and C was concluded with, without or against the will of the owner of the deposited object. As no objections are raised in the Smrtrs against this kind of deposit, we can admit that this agreement was concluded with or without the will of A., but never against his will.

The agreement between B and C is, above all, a contract of deposit (sub-deposit) joined with the mandate (mandatum) to return the deposited object not to B. but to the owner of the deposited object- \mathbf{A} .

Therefore, the अन्याहित is a deposit for delivery.

We find in the Smythe besides the अवसाहित also the अवसाहित (from ag' (thereafter, afterwards, further on) + आपि (pledge) i.e.a pledge which should be given afterwards, further on. This word is found in Katy. (611) where it is defined in the following way: असमार्थिक करिन्न अस्ति अस

दबास्त्वमिति यो उत्तः स इहान्वाधिक्यते ॥

This definition is similar to that quoted above and, therefore, the word अण्यापि is identified with अण्यादित, which does not seem to be correct as it is composed also of आपि (and not of पा-दित), which means a pledge.

G. Deposits with an Artisan

17. Quotations

शिलिक्यास from शिल्पन् (artisan)+ "ज्यास (deposit) or शिक्ष उपनिषि from शिल्पन् (artisan) + उपनिषि (deposit) or शिलिनस्सात from शिल्पन् (artisan) + "इसन् (hand) + "मत (from गम being in) is according to the Smrtis a special kind of deposit.

We find किल्पिन्यास in Brh. (X1-18), Viv. (p 25/26), VM.

See Miéra and Bhavadeva (quoted in Col. p. 406) on 'pledge transferred'

⁵ See also XI. 19.

(p. 193/4, 194/8, etc.), शिलो उपनिधि in N. (II-14) and शिल्पिइस्तगत in Asahāya's commentary on N. (16),

Brh. (XI-18) and N. (II-14) extend the rules regarding deposits to বিলিখনৰা or হিন্দৌ কানিব?. Nevertheless it must be pointed out that Y. in the tioka II-67, which is almost identical with the two quotations mentioned above, does not contain any mention of বিলিখনৰা, or the like.

However, under "others" (आदि) this kind of deposit could be understood although neither Mit. not VIr. mentioned by name विश्वित्यास, or the like. VIr. (ad V. II-67 p. 494/26-27) defines namely deposits with an artisan calling them निशेष and Mit. (ad V. II-67 p. 163/25-26) ज्यास.

Also Katy, (592) does not mention fulferent, or the like, but it is evident from sloka 603 seq. that this kind of deposit is known to Katy. too. The same applies to K. where fulferent or the like is not menticaed in the relative chapter relating to deposits but from another place (180)9 seq.) it is evident that this kind of deposit is known to K. too. K. uses in this para, for the designation of an artisan, instead of the word firent, the word stree.

Agahāya (ad N.-16) enumerating the eighteen titles of law mentions as one of the kinds of deposits the ছিন্সিছলেশন z. e. what has been put into the hands of an artisan.

VM. (193/4) interpreting Katy. (603) uses the word शिलियास and then, further on, referring to some special rules connected with this kind of deposits, uses also the same word.

Viv. quoting and interpreting Brh. (XI-18 and 19) uses also the word शिल्पन्यास.

18. Definition

We do not find in the Smrts any definition for the word शिश्यास, or the like. We find it, however, in the commentaries on the Smrts.

We read in Viv. (p. 25): शिल्पिन्यासेऽख्रृहरणादिघटनार्थं शिल्पिषु समर्पिते सवर्णादी.......

We read in VM (p. 192/24-25) शिल्पिन्यासी डेमकारादिषु कुण्डका-वर्षे निक्षित्रव । Vir. calling this kind of deposit निक्षेप says: शिल्पनेऽङ्क्यारावि-घटनाय इत्यसमर्पणं च साक्षादारव्याय । समर्पणं निक्षेप: (Vir. ad Y. II-67 p. 494/26-27) and Mit. calling it न्यस्त states as follows:

आदिशब्देन सुवर्णकारादिहस्ते कटकादिनिर्माणाय न्यस्तस्य सुवर्णादेः। (Mit. ad Y. II-67 p. 163/25-26).

From these quotations it is evident that under the findware, or other similar expressions, must be understood an object given to an artisan in order to perform a particular piece of work. This is s. g giving of gold to a goldsmith in order to make from it earrings, need haose the

From the point of view of the theory of law this contract is not depositum but fiducal because the aim of deposit is to give a movable thing for the purpose of gratitious safe outsody. In this case, however, the aim of giving a movable thing is the giving of material to an artisan for the purpose for its manufacture. The duties of such an artisan are similar to those of the depositary and probably, therefore, some of the Smits class the giving of objects to an artisan for manufacturing among deposits. However, from the point of view of the theory of ancient Indian law we must admit that Sifererum, or the like, are considered as deposits. In any case we have to keep in mind that such a precise Smit, as the Y. Is, rightly does not class the Sifererum under the contracts of deposit

H. Property of a Minor

19. Quotations

पोमण्ड or पोमण्डपान from पोमण्ड' (a minor) + out + out

प्रतिगृह्णाति पोगण्डं यश्च सप्रधनं नरः। तत्याष्येष भवेज्दर्मः षत्नेते विषयः समाः॥

Also Asahāya commenting on N. (16) and enumerating the sighteen titles of law mentions থানতভ্যান,

20. Definition

The only definition of this "deposit" can be found in Asahāya (ad N. II-15). We read there:

¹ See 5 9

य अनार्थं बार्छं सप्रधनं नाथाबुद्ध्या प्रतिगृह्णाति यस्यापि पूर्व्वोक्तस्येव विधिर्देष्टन्यः । बढेते विधयः । बढेते विधयः समा इति ।

Hence this is the property of a minor This kind of the contract of deposit, according to N., takes place when a man takes a wealthy minor, who has no guardians, into his house. Then the property of the minor is subjected to the rules relating to deposits In this case the child, whose father and mother are deceased, is deposited by the king or on his behalf with a man who has to take care of the orphan. As the orphan-minor cannot dispose of the estate left by his father, the guardian has to take care of him similarly to a thing committed to his care

In this case too, from the legal point of view, it is impossible to class this case under "deposits." This is a tutela datua and the depositary is in reality a tuter datuus who has similar pecu niary obligations towards the minor as a depositary towards the depositor. However, here too, N. is of the opinion' that it is a special kind of deposit. Therefore, we have to consider it, from the point of view of the system of ancient Indian law as a species of deposits.

1. Other Kinds of Deposits

21. ऋयभेषित, बन्ध, वैश्यवृत्यर्पित

In Katy. (592) we read : ऋषप्रोषितानिक्षिप्तबन्धान्वाहितयाचितस् । वैश्यवृत्यर्पितं चैव सोर्थस्तूपनिधिः स्मृतः ॥

We see here that Katy. classes, in addition to the deposits quoted above, the following contracts under deposits: क्यमोपित, सन्य and बैद्यवन्यदित.

कपभोषित from कप° (purchase) + भोषित (from प° + वस going home, going abroad) is an object sold but still in the hands of the vendor. It is not a deposit from the point of view of the theory of law, but a constitutum poss-sorum.

सन्द is a pledge, it is an article entrusted to a pledgee, but not a deposit, although the pledgee has similar duties to perform as a depositary.

वैद्यहर्स्पार्थेत from वैद्य' (merchant) '+ इस (दासे) (from वर-to reach)
+ ' अपित (from अर, to bring) is an object lodged in the the hands of

^{...} पहेते विधयः समाः (N. II. 15).

^{14 [} Annals, B, O, R, I,]

a trader. It is also not a depositum but fiducia. Here also the trader has similar duties to perform as the depositary.

११ आदि

Y. (II-67) and N. (II-14) use also the word जादि :. e.
"and the like" after having enumerated याचित, अन्वाहित, त्यास
(Y.), or पाचित and अन्याहित (N.). VIr. (ad Y. II-67 p. 494/27
aq.) understands under this expression things bought but not
paid and like others mentioned in G. (XII-42). G. states there:

निष्यन्वाधियाचितावकीताधयो नष्टाः सर्वाननिन्दितानपुरुषापराधेन।

Therefore, these kinds of fiducia have also to be considered, from the point of view of the theory of ancient Indian law, as contracts of deposit $^{\delta}$

J. Distinction of Deposits from the Point of View of Civil Procedure

23. Deposits given before witnesses or verbally

From the point of view of civil procedure N. (II-6) and Brh. (XII-5) differentiate between deposite given before witnesses (মাহিদানিৰ or सत्ताक्षित*) or verbally and in private (মাহ ' or দাবিক').

The deposit was given verbally when the depositor had the highest confidence in the depositary. However, the depositary had to abstain from this kind of contract and the contract of deposit before witnesses or writing should be concluded in order to avoid any misunderstanding regarding the kind of deposit and that the depositary is not forced to be trued by ordeal (see Viv. p. 23/4).

We see here that this distinction between deposits is not important from the point of view of civil law but civil procedure only and, in particular, from the point of view of evidence in case of an eventual lawsuit

- See also a similar case of fiducia in K 198/17 and many others.
- sas well as ज्ञिल्मे उपानिधि, न्यास and पातिन्यास
- See 39, 9, 11, 17, 18,
- from साधिन or साधिक witness.

III MAHABHARATA NOTES*

Bv

V S. AGRAWALA

1 जिल्लामार जिर

In October 1938 I had written to the late Dr. V. S. Sukthankar to enquire the meaning of the expression (SISIMIT (SIT occurring in Adiparvan, 185, 16 (Vulgate text). In reply be wrote to ma:-'I am not sure about the meaning of simsumurasuah, in Adi. 185, 16 Our old group of Northern Mss. has 'puram' while Sourthern Mss. read 'girim'. We have accepted 'puram' for our Critical text, and I take that it was some place-a suburbnear Drupada's capital, where a special camp was erected for the Syavamyara'. Subsequently I published my interpretation of the word in the Journal of India Society of Oriental Art for 1939, suggesting that ज़िल्लामार ज़िल् could best be explained as an architectural term implying the architrave of a torana carved with an alligator's head The meaning had come to me by looking at the old gateways of the Sanchi stuna in which the torana beams are finished off in volutes at both ends decorated with alligator's head and coiled fish tail. This meaning suits the context admirably. In response to king Drupada's proclamation of the svayanwa, many kings, Rsis, sages and Brahmanas flocked to his capital from different places to participate in and witness the ceremony. Those kings were well received by Drupada with respect due to their rank (Adi. 176. 14). Then we have:

ततः पौरजनाः सर्वे सामरोज्जूतनिःस्वनाः। जिज्ञानारपुरे प्राप्य न्यविशस्ति च पार्थिवाः॥

(Critical text, I. 176. 15)

Mahabharata Notes I, A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XXI, pp. 280-284.
 Mahabharata Notes II, A. B. O. R. I., Vol. XXIII, pp. 19-22.

¹ Letter No. Gen. 3494 of 1938-39, dated 5th Nov., 1938.

i.e. on the next day the citizens of the capital and those royal guests proceeded with rumbling deep noise to the গিছামাৰ হিদ (keeping the Vulgate reading) and entered (the ম্মাস্থাত).

Then the poet describes in elaborate detail the architecture of the sama;a-vata furnished with पाकार and परिखा, i. e. first a most then an outer wall, and then the द्वारतारण or the main gateway in the enclosure wall which gave access to the inner grounds. Inside it were rich pavilions and palaces (बितान, प्रासाद) turnished with many articles of comfort and show [Adi, 176. 16-93]. The Epic description faithfully reflects the early Indian architecture of cities and city-gates as found in many places in the Buddhist and Jain literature (cf. Milinds, L. 34 and 380 ff.). As Dr. Coomaraswamy has shown The most conspicuous and necessary parts of a city are the most (pankhā) and rampart (prākāra), gates (dvāra, gopuja), more specifically gate-houses (dvara-kotthaka) with their defence towers (dvara-attalaka) and the king's palace (prāsāda, harmya, rāja-muešana umaina, etc) Early Indian Architecture, Citics and City-gates, Eastern Art, 1930, p. 211]

The Epic writer has in my opinion preserved in the word figurities a conspicuous feature of the early Indian gateway architecture. The voluted ends of the architectures or temple cross beams were adorned with figures of fish-tailed alligators in a very attractive and prominent style. This feature impressing even a cosmol observer of the Sanchi gateways porsists upto the Kushana period in the art of Mathura. It should, however, be mentioned that this artistic feature which is so very common in the style of the Sanchi formas is conspicuous by its absence at Bharhut where only the spiral motifs are carved on the two ends of the beams. I should also note that I am unable to quote any other exidence from early Jain or Buddhist literature to corroborate the above explanation which can therefore be taken at best a suggestion deriving its force from the evidence of available architectural styles of early Indian surface.

Dr. Sukthankar referred my suggestion to Dr. P. K. Acharya whose explanation was a bit different from mine as Dr. Sukthankar wrote to me 'I have been pursuing the question of the best interpretation of the word sinsumaraterah, and in that connection I wrote to Prof P K. Acharya of Allahabad University. I have now heard from him that he prefers the reading sirah, and opines that it is an architectural term. In his opinion it means not architrave of gates, but "the hand-rail on the balustrade of the gallery of pavilion marked probably at the bottom by the head of crocodile". This gallery of pavilion, in his opinion, was probably reserved for the Paurojunāh. He refers me to Mānasāra Vāstuisstra (30-78-80) where there is mention of "stair-case of elephant's trunk pattern said to be decorated with lion faces."

I am sending you the information for what it is worth. I cannot make much of it myself. But it might give you a clue for further investigation ' 1

Although I cannot agree with the suggestion of Dr. Acharya I think we can understand his meaning. He seems to suggest that in the pavilion (विमान) inside the समाजवाट there were seats for the kings on the ground floor and an upper gallery for the sight-seeing पोरजना', to which a stair-case was leading. The hand-rail on the side of this stair case was decorated with the head of crocodile, and this decoration was carved at the bottom near the first baluster or upright post If I am right in undestanding Dr. Acharya's meaning I should say that no doubt there were upper galleries and stair-cases (cf. सखाराहणसोपान in the present passage, Adi, 176, 20) with small hand-rails as found in the representations of early Indian art, yet I think that the term भिन्नसम् शिर. as applicable to this small baluster is, for one thing, of much weaker force than if applied to the full-fledged torana architrave, and for the other does not seem to hold good in the present context. A careful reading of the passage (Adi 176. 15-26) makes it quite clear. The शिक्षमार शिर. according to the Epic was approached by the citizens and the kings and the question of any upper gallery being reserved for the former does not arise. The obvious and natural inference is that the ज़िश्मारशिरः (whatever be its meaning) was approached by the guests as the first thing before they made their entry into the arena.

Having accepted the reading file with to be the correct one as dictated by the propriety of meaning I had entertained doubts regarding its textual support since Dr. Sukthankar wrote to me; Our old group of northern Mss, has 'puram' while Southern Mss. read 'girim'. We have accepted 'puram' for our Critical text. ' In the printed footnotes to the Critical edition only Ms K4 of the Kashmiri version gives शिक्ष नारशिर and D4 of the Devanagari version जिल्लामार्गाहार. all others record प्ररं or गिरिम . Besides the fact that feeble textual support is not always the final argument in rejecting an otherwise superior reading, I we have here a good reason for the belief that manning represents the original reading. It is a very fit example of lectro difficultor, i. e. the more difficult text preserving the correct reading, which in process of simplification was substituted by a reading paleably easier of comprehension. But all doubt about it is now set at rest by the dispovery of the oldest extant Ms of the Adiparvan from Nepal which according to Pandit Hemaraja is between seven hundred and eight hundred years old and which the learned General Editor of the Mahabharata hailed as a discovery of capital importance for Mahabharata studies. The reading of this Ms. is farmer far (Sukthankar Epic Studies VII, Sukthankar Memorial Edition, Vol. I. p 382), and this to my mind sets the seal of final approval on this debated reading.

2. वेवाघ

In the first part of these Notes (A. B. O. R. I. XXI, p. 280) I had explained the expression वेपायपरिवारितपद (Sabha-parvan, 51, 34) as 'chariots mounted with tiger's skin' on the basis of Panint's sutra वैपयेषामस्य (IV. 2. 12) occurring under the general rule परिवार पर। I the references quoted there seem to permit the inference that the use of vayüğhha charlots was a privilege enjoyed by kings and princes. The vayüghha-partututa ratha referred to in the Sabha-parvan (51. 34) was itself brought as a present to King Yudhisthira on the occasion of his

¹ Compare the reading 343471; in Aranyakaparian, 229, 5. 'Vasudeva S. Agrawalis has suggested the emendation of 3434747476 (of the Vulgate) to 3434747476 (of the Vulgate) to 2434747476 (which is our reading). Though rather feebly supported by Ms. evidence, it is undoubtedly the correct reading (Dr. Sukthankar's Citical Notes to the Aranyaka-par'ana, Inited.

Rājasūya ceremony. The Atharvaveda mentions as an essential part of the Rājasūya sacrifice the striding by the king on a tiger's skin:—

व्याम्रो अधिवैयाम्रे विक्रमस्य थिशो महीः

'Stride forth to broad regions, thou, a tiger, on a tiger's skin' (Atharva IV. 8 4). According to the Satapatha Brahmana, sur्रेडल्योगस्त्वाति (V. 3. 5. 3) the stepping on the tiger's skin was a necessary feature of the Rājasūya ceremony.

The word varyāghra in the Atharvaveda passage implies the skin of a tiger and not a charlot covered with it as known to Panini. It appears that the tiger's skin on which the king walked at the time of the ceremony was subsequently spread to cover his seat in the war-charlot, which was therefore designated as \$\partial ax \text{qra} by the force of the vrite explained by P\text{animal as \$(\text{qra}) \text{qra} \text{tw}]}

Besides the references to the $\P q \Pi p$ chariots in the Mahājanaka-jāka (Vol. VI, pp. 43-50) and the Vessanitarajātaka (Vol. VI, pp. 503-504) I have come across to two more references in the Epics themselves. We read in the Rāmāyana that Rāma mounts the $\P q \Pi p$ chariot when he leaves his palace with Sumantra to see king Dasaratha before his selection as $T q \mu \alpha D \eta \mu$.

ततः पावकसंकाशमारुरोह रथोत्तमस्। विद्यानं परुषस्यान्नो राजितं राजनन्दनः॥

(Ayodhyā, 16 28)

The other reference is from the Sabha-parvan, 54, 4 -

अय सहस्रसमितो वैद्याझः सुप्रवर्तितः। सुचक्रोपस्करः श्रीमान् किंकिणीजालमंडितः॥ ४॥ मंद्रादनो राजरथो य इहास्मानुषाबहत्। जंत्रा रधवरः पुण्यो सेघसामरनिःस्वनः॥ ५॥

This records the fact that the क्षेपाम chariot of द्विशिष्टर cost one thousand. The figure 1000 standing above should be taken to imply 1000 silver Kārsāpanas. This is supported both from the Pali and Sanskrit literatures. 'Quite in keeping with this is the fact that in early Buddhist works when any big sums of money are specified, no name of coin is adduced, that of Karsāpana being understood as īs quite clear by its occasional mention. Kārsāpana was, therefore, looked upon as the standard

ooin'. [D. R. Bhandarkar, Ancient Indian Numismatics, p. 79]. In Panini sütras V. 1 27 and 29, sahasra stands for 1000 Kärsänanas.

The epic expression सहस्रसमित requires explanation. It is to be understood in the light of the source strategisthal w: (IV. 4.135), that which is equal to one thousand is stratefin (Kāšikā, κιθεπατερα: .). Strangely enough both for Panini's sutra and for the epic verse the variant reading stratefins resoorded.

केचिनु सामिताविति पठन्ति। तत्रापि समित्या सम्मित एव छक्षयितव्यः। तत्र छन्त्रसि पयोगर्कानात् । (Kāsikā), i.e. rome read सामित unstead of सम्मित, but the meaning will be the same as that of सम्मित. In the Epic the reading समित्र in this place is very feebly supported by a couple of Mes. only, but in the Anusasanaparvan in a similar context (not yet critically) edited we have the vulgate reading समित्र-

वातेन निष्क्रवाणितं सहस्रेण च संसितं । 93.43.

i.e. each udumbara fruit fi.lled with a nugget of gold was equal to 100 niskas or 100 Kār-āpana Here metrically মাদিল appears to be the correct reading in case the text be not intepolated.

Incidentally the above passage of the Anusasana is also important for giving as the numismatic ratio between one niska of gold and one kārsāpana of silver, the latter being one-tanth of the former in value.

3. पूर्वपायात and उत्तरपायात legends

In Mahabharata Notes-I, it has been pointed out in another connection that the Kasika quoting most probably an old पूर्णाशिक्ष illustration on Panini VI 2 103 (विष्ठ अव्ध आधार आपता कार्य प्राथम (विष्ठ अव्ध आधार अविकास के प्राथम कार्य प्राथम (P. 284) Now I find that these names for the two parts of the Yayatil second are authenticated by the Critical edition itself. The ohe-71-80 of the Adi dealing with Yayatil's casting off his old age in exchange for the youth of his son, his marriage and bogetting sons are called पूर्वपास, the colophon at the end being पूर्वपासने सामर. Similarly the chs 81-83 marraing the story of Yayatil's fall from heaven and his reassension constitute the उत्तर पायास portion finishing with the colophon उत्तरपास सामर (P. 389 of Critical Edition). The text also names it as the उत्तरकार of the story of the colophon (P. 389) of Critical Edition).

हन्त् ते कथयिष्यामि ययातेहत्तरां कथाम् । दिवि चेह च पुण्यार्था सर्वपापमणाशिनीम् ॥ 81. 9.

The swrutzer begins and also ends with a weigh which is a sure sign of its once having an independent existence. It appears to be the traditional example of Pāinii's surm (VI. 2. 103) as suggested by Patañjali quoting it on a varitika to sürra IV. 2. 60 in exemplifying öbhigöna, and it may thus be inferred that the Yayāti legend before its being incorporated into the bulk of the Epic possessed an entity of its own and was being independently made a subject of study by reoiters designated as the unriflex. Its floating existence is attested to by its forming part also of the Matsya Portan, che 36-42.

4. प्रवेशिता

In reply to an enquiry about the grammatical derivation of the word प्रवस्ति, Dr Sakthankar wrote to me

'The word prame dā in 1, 68 73 is no doubt difficult and has not been satisfactorily explained so far The word occurs about half a dozen times in Mahābbārata, and its meaning is also certain It is a leet diff, as shown by the variants νεωμπία, ναθετά, ρεανονία, ρεανονία etc. There is as far as I know no corresponding root in Sanskrit. Nilakantha (to Mahābhārata 1 19 24 Bombay edition) derives it as pia + (ν)να + iν/la, with elision of a of ννα, according to Bhāguri (sa τα στανα καθανάς κειταθία). Do include it in the next instalments of your Mahābhārata notes. It would be interesting to have your explanation'.

The Adi-parvan verse is as follows -

भेनका निरनुकोशा बन्धकी जननी तव।

यया हिमवतः पृष्ठे निर्माल्येव प्रवेरिता ॥ 68. 73. Poona Edition.

The other references 2 are .

- (1) बीर्यामर्थप्रवेरितैः (Bhisma 108, 31.).
- (2) भीष्मबाहुप्रवेरिताम् (Bhisma 119 30.)

Letter No. Mbh 4826 of 1942-45, dated October 27, 1942.

For these I am indebted to the kindness of Dr S K, Belvaikar, General Editor, Mahabharata All these references are from the Vulgate—Bombay edition, published by the Chitrashals Press, Poona.

^{15 [} Annals, B. O. R. I.]

- (3) तां शखबृष्टिमतुलां द्विपहस्तैः प्रवेरितास् । (Karna. 81. 13).
- (4) पपात चोचैरमरप्रवेरितं विचित्रपुष्पोत्करवर्षमुनमम् । (Salva. 57. 68.)
- (Salya. 57. 68. (5) मेदोक्षपिस्यक्तीश्च व्हिल्लबाहरूपाणिभिः।
 - 5) मदाकाधरयुक्तश्च ाच्छन्नबाहूरुपाणामः। निकत्तोदरपार्दश्च तत्र तत्र प्रवेशितः॥ (Svargā 2, 21.).

The meaning which satisfies all these contexts is undoubted. viz. 'thrown about, cast hither and thither, cast away '. In the Adi, itself (68-69) we have an indication of its meaning-अवकीये च मां याता परात्मजांभवासती । Now I must admit that I am unable to offer any natural grammatical explanation of the word प्रवेदिना, but I feel certain in connecting it with the dislectical Hindi root प्रेडरना, to scatter, to sow seed by throwing, also written प्रेन्सा= द्धितरा कर बीज बोना (Hindisabdusācau a. p. 2039-40) : from it प्रवेश (verbal noun)=the act of sowing seed with the hand (Platt's Hindustani Dict., p. 282), also called प्रोड की बआई, and same as बखेर or दींटा 1 It appears that in the old spoken Sanskrit (Bhāsā) there was a root from which प्रवेशिता was derived and which has now survived in the current dialects. In Hindi we get another connected root dailer having the sense खेत में छिनराहर बीज बीनर, (Hindisabdasagara, p. 2038). used in classical Hindi in the poetry of Javasi (केंक्न कर इक आहि पवारा, p. 222 of Shukla's edition) and Tulasi (क्छ अगर प्रश्न पास पॅवारे) The personal name vars given to a male child who after its birth is cast away by the mother and then repicked to ward off evil omens (cf similar names as फिक, बहाऊ, साहु, साहु from साहु भारबादेश for क्षिप, जैन प्रस्तक मशस्त्रसंग्रह p. 179-180), is from the same root, of which the original was surely connected with water.

5 भहापगळ

In the Critical edition of the Adi-parvan (181.40) occurs the following verse

महत्यथापराह्वे तु घनैः सूर्य इवावृतः । बाह्मणः प्राविशत्तत्र जिष्णुर्बह्मपुरस्कृतः ॥

In the above महति अपराह्में ('on the great after-noon'), though apparently not presenting any difficulty, is an instance of a very refractory text which has never been satisfactorily explained

ક In the agricultural glossary of Meerut there are the five kinds of sowing seed (1) વેર (2) યુર્વે (3) વેવક (4) યોલન્ટી (5) માફન.

The attempted simplification by substituting सवत्यधापराहे (N3 Da Mss.) is extremely poor and the other readings recorded in Critical apparatus (महान्यथा ; अधन्यथा , महताथ वरारोहा) are worthless. The original reading is absolutely certain supported by all the versions and good manuscripts The meaning of the sloke is that while Kunti was cast into deep anxiety about the safety of the Pandava princes who had gone to attend the Svayamvara ceremony of Draupadi and who were delayed there beyond reasonable time. Ariuna entered the house surrounded by the Brahmanas, like the sun surounded by the clouds on the great Aparahna day. The question is whether the phrase denotes any and every afternoon as is usually understood, or any particular 'afternoon' in the year? It is obvious the point of comparison can hold good, not on all days of the year, but only in the rainy season Brahmanas clad in black antelope skins (गैरवाजिनवासिक्षे . Adi 181. 35) overshadowed Arnuna who was putting on a semified (185, 2) in the same manner as masses of dark clouds cover the sun. What was this werevest day of the rainy season which suggested itself to the mind of the noct in oreference to all other similar days?

An answer to the question can perhaps be given with some certainty. According to Kantilva the sirvier day, i e the fullmoon day in Asadha was the last day of the govt, financial year (कम सबस्सर । तमापादीपर्यवसानं etc. Arth. text. p 63) 'On the Asadhi day must all the accounts be presented by the various departments (गाणानिक्यानि आषादीमागर्वेष . Arthasastra p 64) to the Accountant General whose duty it was to hear the totals (अस) of the receipts and expenditure (आय-ज्यय) and of the net revenue (नीवि) and also to receive into Government treasury the net balance of the nin (आयह्ययनीवीनामग्राणि श्रत्वा नीवीमवहारयेत , 1bid. p. 64) The आवादी was the most important day of the fiscal year for transacting many outstanding items of business and closure of balances. The offices and Government account-books on this day had to be kept open for a much longer period than was usual. The आपादी day is also referred to in the Ramayana as the day on which the king had to apply closure to all departmental business (of the year) and finished receiving all kinds of goods and stocks for his stores department -

निवृत्तकर्मायतनो नृतं संचितसंचयः। आषाढीसभ्यपमतो भरतः कोञ्जलिपः॥

(Kiskindha, 28, 55).

While witnessing the beauties of the rainy season Rama's thoughts go out from his immediate environs on the Malyavat hill to Bharata's administrative routine in Ayodhya and naturally he thinks of the closing business transactions of the fiscal year (कर्मसंख्यम) and of the collecting of cereal stores proper to the Asathi day.

The next day after the Assalia would be the new year's day coinciding with first day of Śrāvana Dr. Shamasāstri has shown that \(\tilde{\tilde{V}} \) you'd in the Arthasstra denoted the new year's day which was the first day of the financial year commencing ust after the full-moon day of Assalha (Arth, Trans, pp 59, 64 and Preface, xxiv, also his paper \(V_{\tilde{U}} \) you'd to the Vedic new year's Day in the Proceedings of the 2nd Oriental Conference). The \(\tilde{S} \) in the Proceedings of the 2nd Oriental Conference). The \(\tilde{S} \) in the paper with the longest day in the month of Śrāvana (Proc. 2nd Oriental Conf. p 38) This longest day seems to have unmistaksbe reference to the HERITUS day which beginning from the morning of the \(\tilde{H} \) in this manner literally the great afternoon' day, and was in this manner literally the great afternoon' day.

The Epic reference to the মার্থবার finds striking corroboration from Painii Sūtra VI 2 38 regulates the accent of the first portion of the following words—viz. মার্যারি, মার্ববার, মার্বারের, মার্বারে, মার্বারের, মার্বার, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বার, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বারের, মার্বার, মার্বারের, মা

be strong reasons for the belief that he was using we in a special sense which is identical with that of the Arthasastra, i.e the New Year's Day. The Head of the Accounts Department caused to be checked and verified the total receipts and expenditure and the net revenue of the closing year and this transaction would appropriately be termed egg wif or egg payments. The span of the surest day would conveniently extend into the dawn of the egg day for the terminal entries of the year and the heads of the ledgers would be kept open for much longer hours on this day. Thus although not the longest day of the year in the number of hours between surniss and sunset, the eggregad would be considered virtually the longest accounting day in the whole year and thus only its appellation of 'the great afternoon' be justified.

For our passage in the Mahabharata (1. 181. 40) the meaning that would suit in the context would be As on the last day in the month of Asalha the sun is overcast by clouds, so was Arjuna overshadowed by the accompanying Brahmanas clad in black skin.

6 Prati as the Name of a Coin

§ (a) Mahühhüratu enulence

The word proft as the name of a Com is of rare occurrence in literature. One instance of its literature use is recorded and that in the following verse from the Mahābhārata

किश्रद्वीजं च भक्तं च कर्षकायावसीदते।

प्रतिकं च शतं वृद्धा ददास्यूणमनुबहस् ॥

The verse is found in the Nārada Rājaniti questions put to king Yudhisthira in the beginning of the Sabhāparvan (568). The correct rendering is as follows—

'Do you distribute seed and food to your peasantry in distress, and do you advance agricultural relief loans to them at the concession rate of one Piak for each one hundred Kārsāp mas?'

The variant readings given in the Poons Critical edition are (1) wide, (2) wifet, (3) vas (4) wifers and (5) wifeysmat The last three are extremely corrupt and hardly worth considering. The first two are accepted by the well-known commentator Nilakantha. He gives prathetom as the Vulgate text (for the Prathetom of the critical edition) and explains it as implying loans at 1% of interest. His alternative reading Pāthkom is

explained as referring to an annual increase of one-fourth, i. e., Rz. 25/- for each hunfred, which works out to an interest of a little over 2%. He further adds that 1% rate was for secured loans and that of 2% and a little more for unsecured loans. The explanation appears laboured and removed from the spirit of the original text. Although by his meaning of praulpekam Nilakantha arrives at the same rate of interest, (i. e. 1%) as that of prakkam we must emphasise that prakkam is the older and genuine reading for which not only the testimony of best Mbb. manuscripts is our guide but also the older grammatical tradition in which is preserved the correct interpretation of the word prakka.

§ (b) Endence from grammatical literature

This earliest use of মান is recorded by Kātyāyana in grammatical literature On Pānini V. I. 25 (ইনার তিবন) there is a Vārttika, ফার্থাবলারা মনিজ্ঞ, with the following *Rhāsya*—

कार्षोपणाष्ट्रितन् प्रत्ययो वा च प्रतिसदेशो वक्तव्यः । कार्षोपणिकः कार्षोपणिकी । प्रतिकः प्रतिकी ।

(Kielborn II, 347)

This is the simple and natural explanation and in the light of this we may understand Ψθά πατα as an amount of one hundred silver Kin süpana, on which one Prati was accruing as monthly interest, i. e. at 12 p.c. per annum. Narada calls this as the concession rate charged on relief loans advanced by government. The higher rates of interest recorded in law-books for various classes of transactions range from 2, 3 to 4, 5 per cent. Where Prati means a Kin sūpana the Satan or hundred also has reference to the Kūrṣūpana coins. We find that in ancient literature when merely the figures were mentioned without specifying the name of the coin generally the standard silver punoh-marked coin or of the coin generally the standard silver punoh-marked coin or

the Κūrεδραια was intended which was the prevailing currency In Panini sūtras V. 1. 21 (state ar απεφά) and V 1. 34 (αφαταπυφασιας) the Κūrεδραια coins are implied by the mere mention of the figure 100. In early Buddhist works when any big
sums of money are specified, no name of coin is adduced, that of
Kūrsδραια being understood, which was looked upon as the
standard coin. (Bhandarkar, Ancient Indian Numismatics
p. 79),

§ (c) Epigraphic endence

It is a matter of extreme good luck that we have reliable epigraphic swidence of the use of Prah as a current coin name in the Nasik Cave Inscriptions of Usavadāta, son-in-law of King Nahapana Nahapana belonged to the earlier wave of Saka invasion under the Ksaharata branch who became masters of Western India and Unain in the first century B C In inscription no. 12, it is stated that Usavadāta gave a perpetual endowment of 3,000 Kāhāpanas for the benefit of the Sangha, and invested them with two guilds, two thousand in a Weavers guild, interest one Pratika (monthly) for the hundred, and one thousand in another Weavers guild, interest three quarters of a Pudika (monthly) for the hundred. These Kahapanas were not to be repaid, their interest only was to be enjoyed. Out of them. the two thousand (2000) at one Pratika per cent provided the cloth money at twelve Kähāpanas to every one of the twenty monks. From the sum of the thousand (1000)- invested at an interest of three-quarters Pratika per cent, the pocket money was to be paid to the monks.

In the above extract we have use of the technical terms Vrddn (interest), $K\bar{a}h\bar{a}pana$ and Pratika and the interpretations are perfectly clear. The formula Vrddn Padika Sata is identical with that used in the Mahābhārata passage Pratikani ca Satan $vrddhy\bar{a}$. Both have the same meaning 1.e the amount of one hundred $K\bar{a}r\bar{a}\bar{a}pana$ coins fetching an interest of one $K\bar{a}r\bar{a}pana$ or i.e. one per cent monthly (Ep Ind, Vol VIII, pp 82-83, Nasik Cave Inscriptions).

In the Kanheri cave inscription no. 15 also there Is a reference to an endowment of two hundred Karsapanas at Pratika

rate of interest i. e one Karsapana per cent per month (अखयनिवि इता काहापणानि सतानि वे २०० सघस येव हटे पढिके सते.)

Burgess, Arch Survey of Western India, Vol. V, pp. 79-80 The inscription is dated in the reign of Gautamiputra Śri -Sātakarni who who was a contemporary of Nahapāna.

§ (d) Chronological considerations

The literary and epigraphic references to the coin called Frair point to some important chronological considerations as regards the date of the Mahabharata passage in which the word occurs. Panini mentions only the name Kārsānana for the standard silver punch-marked coin of his days. The word Pratt as a substitute for Karsapana was unknown to Panini (cir. 5th century B. C) and it occurs for the first time in the Varttikas of Katvavana (circa fourth century BC) It appears from the testimony of the Cave inscriptions cited above that Prate was a current coin name for the Karsapana up to the end of the first century B C., the rate of interest being very often expressed in terms of the Pratt coin The Mahabharata chapter containing the Narada Rajantti should therefore be assigned to a period between the fourth and the first century B. C. It is a text dealing with those subjects of law and polity which Dr. Sukthankar rightly considers to have been grafted on the original text of the Mahabharata under the influence of the Bhrgus. Possibly this chapter, did not form part of the epic as it was constituted under the name of Bharata. The position seems to have been that the N/s portions were existing independently cut of the orbit of the original Bharata text and at some favourable time the Bhrgus incorporated them along with the Akhyanas into the epic which emerged as the Mahabharata as a result of this inflation. The two limits of this literary diaskenasis appear on the basis of the very solid evidence supplied by the references to the Prati coin in Kātyāyana's Vārttikas on the one hand and the cave inscriptions on the other, to fall within the narrow period of about three centuries, from the fourth to the first century B. C. The probability is that the date for the introduction of this particular chapter in the epic text is nearer the earlier limit than the later. This is also confirmed by the occurrence in this context of several other technical terms as Lava and Musti (Sabhāparvan, 5. 54) which are peculiarly Kautilyan and have been used in the Arthasastra (IX. 1), a treatise of the Maurya period.

Post-script

Doctor F. Edgerton who has edited the critical edition of the Sabha-parvan translates Pratikam as "apiece" (Sukthankar Volume of the Bulletin of the Deccan College Research Institute. page 11). This would mean that King Yudhisthira advanced the sum of one hundred Karsapanas to each individual farmer which on the face of it is improbable. Dr. S. K. Belvalkar, the General Editor of the Mahabharata, to whom I communicated the interpretation of Prankam Satam set forth in this paper kindly wrote to me. "In my addition to Edgerton's Addenda et Corrigends I had already given the correct sense of the word by inserting a note which I am copying for you

Devabodha's explanation runs thus: जल पान एकेकं प्रतिकश. अथवा के जिए: प्रति प्रतिकं प्रतिपक्तवित्यर्थः । His alternative explanation which fayours the rendering "apiece" ignores the current idiomatic use of unit, which seems to underlie his first explanation, and to which Katyayana had drawn attention in his second Varttika to Panini 5, 1, 25. The word and is explained as उत्तमणीन सलाति कि प्राह्म i.e. the 'interest'. This hundred which is to be given as a 'relief' loan deserves only one (Karsapana) as interest, and that is the main point, and not the giving of only one hundred to each needy farmer. "Pratikam" therefore means "Karsananikam", and this sense of the word is already registered in PW. "

I am greatful to Dr. Belvelkar for this information. The inter pretation of the coin name Prati suggested itself independently to both of us against the same grammatical background.

MISCELLANEA

BHALUŚALIKA OR BHANDAŚALIKA?

Вч

LOKESH

In the Silver Jubilee Volume (XXIII) of the Annals, Prof. V. V. Mirashi quotes the colophon of a Nepalese MS, of the Rāmāyana on p. 293 in the course of his discussion on "Gangeyadeva of Tirabhukti ". This colophon was deciphered by Bendall. whose faulty reading has been noticed by Prof. Mirashi in his article In the colophon occurs a word which has been read by Bendall as bhāncu-śālika and bhālu-śālika by Prof Mirashi. Both of these readings are wrong, and it is strange that Prof. Mirashi should have passed over this word even without putting a question-mark besides it. This is perhaps due to its having been considered a 'phonetic improvement' on Bendall's reading. i. e., its sounding like a Sanskritic word!! Neither of the readings conveys any sense, which is essential to ensure their correctness. Moreover, in the entire manuscript the letter /u is never written in the way as it is in this word, wrongly deciphered as, bhā/u-sā/rl.a. Further, the letter closely resembles, and is identical with, the letter -nd- in pandata which occurs must a little further (folio, 376 of the MS) It has only become slightly blurred and hence has evaded the attention of previous scholars as being -nda. The reading with -nda has been adopted in " Alikālibushāram (A Sanskrit-Tibetan-Mongolian Abecedarium)" by the versatile scholar Dr. Raghu Vira, where the photograph and Devanagari transcription of the passage under consideration have been given on account of their close resemblance to the Lantsha script of the abecedarium. The correct reading of the word would be भाण्डजालिक "an official connected with the भाण्डजाला ". and not भारत्रशालिक.

A NOTE ON THE A. CHESTER BEATTY COLLECTION

BY

G. H. Khare

Very recently I had an occasion to turn the pages of the three volumes of the monuental work' dealing with the collection of miniature paintings etc from the library of Mr. A. Chester Beatty. On plate 68 of the third volume is reproduced a miniature painting of the saint Shah Dawlah the resident of Guyarat (Punjai). The foot-line describes the miniature as 'The derwish Shah Dawlat by Dilwarat'. On pp 34, 35 of the first volume (text), this painting is described thus: '(25) Plate, 68 By Dilwarat.... in the left hand margin of the picture is an inscription in the hand-writing of the Emperor Shah Jahan, the translation of which is The portrait of Shaykh Dawlat, who has settled in Gupāt, Lahore Painted by Dilwarat'. It is quite clear from these remarks that the name of the artist has been deciphered as Dilwarat.

But I very much doubt it. It is well nigh impossible to make out any meaning of the word-it it can be so called-Dilwarat The inscription is practically devoid of diacritical marks and orthographical points, for only three letters possess orthographical points. To the rest we are to supply both according to the requirements. The last word of the inscription consists of five letters. Out of them if the first is $d\bar{a}l$, the fourth must also be $d\bar{a}l$, for the forms of both the letters are identical. If these two letters are compared with the $d\bar{a}l$ in dawlah and dar, the identity becomes still clearer. But no doubt of any sort can be raised about their being $d\bar{a}ls$ when those four letters are compared with the letter re in dar, $l\bar{a}h\bar{a}r$ and raqam. Thus the penultimate letter in the last word must be read as $d\bar{a}l$ and not re. The second letter in

¹ The Library of A. Chester Beatty A Catalogue of Indian Miniatures by Sir Thomas W. Arnold, revised and educed by J. V. S. Wilkinson, Vol. I, text, Vols, II & III, plates, London, 1935.

² The whole inscription in the margin runs thus Shabih Shaikh Dawlah kah dar Gujrat Lahur mibsshad (?) raqam Dilwarat (Devadatta).

the same word can as well be read as jdy. Yay occurs in three more words in the inscription; but its points are nowhere indicated. I, therefore, decipher the last word as Devdat (Devadatta). It was a very common personal name in old days and the word carries meaning.

In the left hand portion of the border of the frontispiece to the third volume, I find the words 'Amal-r-flavif.' indicating the name of the artist who executed the border But this has not been mentioned in the text.

The foot-line to pl. 40 (b) as also the text gives the name of the artist as Kannūli Jibilāh, I am doubtful of the second word. It has no meaning. It can be read as Jaisukha with some difficulty and then will carry meaning.

Pl. 41 (a) has something like 'Amal--- Intim quli at the bottom. But neither the foot-line nor the text refers to this inscription which gives the name of the artist!

As far as I know nobody has referred to these discrepancies and hence I felt the necessity of writing this note.

VAISYAS AND SOCIAL ORDER

BY

S. N. Tadpatrikar

" कृषिगोरक्ष्यवाणिज्यं वैदयकर्म स्वभावजम ।"

— समबदीता १८ ४४

A reference to lexicons will show that the word '90' stands for many different things; but our lously enough, the Mahabhārata, at Anušasana parva, Adhy, 63 ŝl. 4, gives prominence to 'the Cow, the Earth and the Learning', stating that the gift of either of these, brings equal merit to the donor

तुल्यनामानि देयानि श्रीणि तुल्यकलानि च । सर्वकामफलानीह गावः प्रथ्वी सरस्वती ॥

In the Adhyayas that follow, the sacredness of the cow is dealt with at great length, and along with the story of the King Nrga, who, in spite of his great and liberal gifts, suffered the life of a lizard, owing to a slight error in giving away to a Brahmana, another's cow, we have also the mention of Goloka, a special world where all sorts of highest pleasure are reserved for the donor of cows (MBH. XIII.73). We leave get and stream of the present, and devote our attention to the cow.

Rgveds, does not seem to attach so much sacred value to the cow, and there the cow only counts as a means of wealth and prosperity. The Dana-stuti hymns mention large herds of cows being given as Daksina, to sacrificial priests, by the ruling Kings, who often performed sacrifices for their own good. These learned priests were specially called to the King's capital, and they usually had their colonies in forest-asramas, where they could conveniently tend their flocks of cows, and lead a peaceful and happy life. Of course, looking after the cowe, as also tilling land for rearing crops for their livelihood, was quite a necessity : but it is possible that as these colonists extended their field of activity, they found almost the whole of their time engaged in their main task - that of study and teaching of sacred lore, and कार and गारवप gradually passed into the hands of their dependents and followers. These first consisted only of students, who, studying under their teachers, were asked to do these duties of farming, cow-tending and the like. The Pausya parva of the Mahābhārata gives us a concrete example of the above statement! A teacher. Apoda Dhaumya, had three students of whom. one Aruni from Pāncslae, was sent to construct a bund to the field—पद्य देतासवार वेपान. The water was flowing out of the field and it had to be stopped; the boy, seeing no other way, laid himself in the opening, and thus prevented water from going out—the teacher, not seeing him return home, went out in search, and called the boy, who, thereupon, showed his teacher how he had bodily held up the water. Of course, Dhaumya was pleased, and granted this sincere student proficiency in Vedas, giving blim the name Ilddslak a Aruni

Another student Upamanvu, was asked to look after the cows-वत्स उपमन्यो मा रक्षस्व. This poor, innocent how was nut to a severe test: the teacher asked him how he managed to earn a livelihood, the boy told that he begged for food, the teacher asked all the भेइप to be given to him, and when the boy did as he was told, the teacher kept for himself, all the provisions and sent the boy away without any means of feeding himself Seeing, after some time, that the boy still kept in full vigor, the teacher again asked about the means, when the boy honestly told that he went a begging again, this, too, was forbidden him: then he fed himself on cow's milk, then merely on the froth of milk which the calves left on the adders of the cows, and when all these devices were proscribed by the teacher, the poor boy was obliged to eat अक्राजि which made him blind, and then, while finding his way back, fell into a ditch ' Dhaumya then found him out, and after offering prayers to the Asvins, the boy was restored to his evesight.

The number of such students, however, was necessarily very limited and quite insufficient to cover all the activities pertaining to farming and cows; and help from others—ππ-was often sought to look after these works efficiently. These others, were of course, as their very name indicates, not of the Aryan fold, and were secured from the numerous inhabitants residing in the jungles, near by. They were treated most kindly, were also initiated into the sacred Vedic traditions, and some few of them really proved most apt and capable students—an instance of such exceptional talent we find, in the name ऐπία, a son of genr-from the other's class; this ऐπία has a πίχου, and эπτουσε to his cradit!

But most of these un-Aryans were not able to pick up the learning of their teachers and after their initiation, only did their duty of eff and fixty. Monestly. So that the exception of value only proves the rule: that all of them were initiated into the Vedic fold, and attached to the duty of farming and tending the cows. These, to my mind, formed the flaw: the masses, the people, the settlers of the land, and the class—name are was subsequently brought into use, as applicable to these people. The learned authors of the Vedic Index state that the meaning of this term is 'doubtful' if the above time of thinking be accepted, some light, it is hoped, may hereby serve to dispel the 'doubts' about the meaning of the terms

Another point in this connection, is that the four Varnas are given their particular distinguishing colours, -actual physical colors, not due to the mixture or predominance of the three gunas as commentators would have us believe. Mahábhārata, Śanti, 188, 5 has

ब्राह्मणानां सितो वर्णः क्षत्रियाणां तु स्रोहितः । वैश्यानां पीतको वर्णः शूद्धाणामस्तिरस्तथा ॥

and Nilakantha, the commentator explains this वर्ष as - सितः स्वच्छ सरस्युण लेहिता रजीवण पीतक रक्तसतीः शामिशः असितः हज्यः तत्तांच्या. A still curious statement is found further on at slokas 10 ff where, the sage Bhrgu tells अरहाज that all men were of equal status - द्विजा - at first, but subsequently fell into Varna division according to their particular activities inspired by particular gunss; क्सीबिणंदी गता !

The late Mr Visvanath K. Rajawade, a well known scholar of Maratha history, gives (at p. 140) in his Marathi introduction to runinyafentary, his own theory about the argavo basing his social history mostly on Panini's grammar and Vedic literature:—" Even before the oldest of Rgwedic hymns was ever composed, Brahmana was the only party in society; he was of a bright white colour, physically, while mentally tending towards high thoughts about God and soul. These Brahmana Aryas came southwards, and met, on the Pamir plateau, in central Asia, the red—skinned, brave and hardy Ksatriyas, who are, in Altareya Aranyaka, aptly compared with the Tiger of the jungle! — इसे यु पत्र अरायप्ताना पहुंचा यू द्यास. Brahmanas being intellectually

superior, could easily influence these brave people, and the two joining hands to make a common cause, brought into existence, the most powerful organisation, that of sugara, which is, so often mentioned in our ancient literature. These combined people soon came across some yellow tribes who, though not warlike, were well versed in seft, sitted and vifered, prominent activities of peace time. These were given the name fan, and they might be of the same stock as the Phonecians or Punics of the Romans, the Panis of Reyeda, from the west; or they might have been some offshoots of the yellow races of East Asia. Anyway, these yellow people were absorbed in the common Aryan fold, and thus was created the distinguished sived of Vedictimes!

These three groups, amioably cooperating among themselves, gradually occupied the southern parts consisting of Uttara Kuru. Hiranmaya, Ilävita etc. They met the dark-skinned šūdras in Uttarakuru, and Uttarakuru, and orth of the Himalayas, and it was in these parts that the wigitoù was regularly organised, and a complete society established. This organisation continued to be in force, as these people advanced further south, across the Himalayas and established themselves in different parts of the Indian continent.

This theory about the formation of wigitud, being discussed in a Marathi book, has not received the attention of scholars It might be objected that it is only a subjective theory, but if we consider the matter without any bias, we shall have to admit that all such theories regarding society of Vedic or even pre-Vedic times, are bound to be more or less subjective, and when no definite conclusion can be drawn about these doubtful matters, this theory of the late Mr Rajawade, may be taken into consideration, when studying the history of those hoary ancient times.

In the limited space of the present article, it is not possible to discuss all the points at any length; and I propose now, to lay here, for the consideration of interested students, a rough outline of my own views, on this subsect:

To my mind, the first two Varnas, बाह्मण and क्षत्रिय come from one common stock—the Aryans, who came from somewhere in the North, and occupied the regions first around the Caspian—

serv (?) - Sea, and then in parts of Central Asia. While forming fresh colonies in strange lands sugress formed the penetrating wedge-सुलं-while their brothers, the hardy and brave अत्रिपड, protected them on both sides, thus forming the wig of this social facts gay! Both these activities required equal daring, and both having common interests at heart, there was perfect co-operation between these two Varnas. This policy of 'peaceful penetration' into new adjoining territories, was carefully followed by these Aryan settlers, even after they had successfully occupied the Northern part of India. They-i, e, the Brahmana rsis, with their followers—had their colonies in the question, where they had to meet the राज्य class, and the clash between the two, or more properly, the persecution of these peace-loving Brahmanas. led to the attack from the क्षत्रिय rulers, who were the patrons of these colonists. The motive underlying this clash and subsequent attack can be clear, when we take into consideration the point that if these rsis only wanted peaceful habitations in solitude. they could very well have settled in the territory of their अभिय patrons. And it was mainly on account of this daring missionary spirit of the sugges, that they commanded special respect and careful attention of their क्षात्रिय rulers. The अक्षक्षत्र was thus a most powerful organisation of the Arvans, and it enabled them to extend their settlements all over the wide expanse of the then known earth.

These same people, had among themselves, some who possessed neither of these qualities necessary for a sigss or a sigs or a sign or a sigs or a sigs or a sigs or a sign or a si

For centuries together, this classification was not strict, and the three classes were equally privileged to take part in all the religious activities; but subsequently, a large split came among

^{17 [} Annals, B. O. R I]

these Aryan settlers on the Caspian, and while a part of these continued to stay there-afterwards going South into IRAN-another section moved East and came to the highlands of Central Asia. where they met, and absorbed among themselves, the meek yellow people who found places in the third and general class, that of ৰিয়া:, the dark skinned আৰ were probably the aborigines of the Indian soil, who could not be so easily captured, and theseare of Rgveda-continued to trouble the settlers, for a long time, and even after their subjugation, were kept at arm's distance, being asked to do only menial labour, but in no way allowed to mix with the Arvan people, in social or religious functions. The yellow and dark colours are thus accounted for ; stirring passions change white to red; so we may take the red colour of Ksatrivas, as a mark of passionate temper and of bravery, the original colour being the same-white-as that of the Brahmanas. This original white colour is still conspicuous among our Parsi brothers, these who had settled in Iran, south of the Caspian Sea.

The addition of बाणिज्य-trade-to ऋषि and गोरक्य, fell to the lot of the बेदयs, as the people had settled in social life, cities and villages came to be built properly, and inter-communication became a regular need of society, and state On account of their occupation as a class, बैद्य came into contact with the इन्द्र, while the two uppers forming the head and arms of society, sometimes indulged in a contest for supremacy, as references to such incidents are found in Epic and Puranic sources. But as long as the argu was useful as a daring leader in extending the Aryanisation of the whole land, so long the अभिय rulers were eager and careful to keep the good will of this self-less class; and it was only when the signs had left nothing of this sort to do, and wanted to assert his superiority merely on the strength of his religion and philosophy, that the people, especially the ruling class showing their unwillingness to submit, came into clash; but all through these conflicts between ag and ara, we have to note that the बेदम and ऋद, remained quite indifferent, and engaged themselves in their allotted work of कृषि, गोरहप and वाणिज्य. The hard and fast classification, which is referred to in the भगवतीता, is a developement that came about at a comparatively late period, of our social history of Angient times

AN OPENING VERSE OF THE MAHABHARATA

Βv

B. N. KRISHNAMURTI SARMA

It is well-known that the opening verse in the Mahabharata differs in the various recensions of the Epic-Northern and Southern and therein again in the several texts of the Telugu. Grantha and Malayalam (Kerala) versions. It would be impossible to dogmatize as to which of these versions has the imprimatur of Vvasa himself or is the one that most faithfully represents the original version given out by him. The task of fixing the correct original textus amplicage of the Epic must be left an open one or perhaps to specialists in the field of Epic-study and criticism. The great Critical Edition of the Epic taken up by the Bhandarkar Institute may be expected to solve the question provided all the manuscript materials are tapped which does not seem still to be the case. My task in this paper is but a very modest one of bringing to the notice of scholars and students of the Epic, the existence of a Version (I do not go so far as to dub it a Recension) of the Epic known to and commented upon in the thirteenth century by the great Vedantic philosopher Sri Madhyacarya and which opened with the benedictory verse

मारायण सुरगुर्क जगदेकनाथं भक्तप्रियं सकललोकनमस्हतं च । वैद्यण्यवीजनमञ्ज विश्वमायमीशं बन्दे भवदनममराहरसिद्धबन्धम् ॥

From the evidence of Mss, let in by the Critical Edition of the Epic published by the Bhandarkar Institute, we find that the above sloka occurs in only one of the texts of the South Indian Recension-the Kerala or Malabar version and there too in only one of the three manuscripts collated (Coshin State Library No. 1). But the antiquity and gonuineness of the verse itself go back to many centuries and rest upon the testimony of the Mahbhardar-Taiparpus-Nirmay: an epitome, and running commentary in Sanakrit written by Sri Madhwacarya about 1300 A.D. So far as our present knowledge goes, this commentary might claim to be the earliest dateable Sanakrit commentary on the Epic.

ii

At the very outset of his Talparyanirmaya, Madhva says that the Epic (of course in the version that he regarded as authentic) opens with the benedictory stanza "नाराय अरवृष्ट नाव्देकनायं" and proceeds to comment upon this verse in his turn:

> ज्ञानप्रदः स भगवान्कमलाविर्तिकार्योद्ध्यकेनका निविलाइरिङः । अक्लेय हम्पति हिपावण्यावेद सर्वस्य प्रमे हति पूर्वविभागसंस्यः ॥ 59 ॥ निर्दायेक सुतिहिति वदार्ष्याचेतिक्त प्रथमकारकारकारिकः । मोक्षेक्ष्रेतुरसक्ष्यद्वरिक्ष सुकीर्वन्य स एक इति चोक्तमयोज्ञतरार्ये ॥ 60 ॥ नम्पत्यक्ष्रसम्भयद्व
>
> × × × । स्यो हि सर्वप्रणावित्यस्य विकासः प्रस्तावना प्रथमतः प्रतिज्ञानति ॥ ॥ ॥

It would be clear from the above, that the verse नारायणं सरग्रह could not be Madhva's own (as has been fancied by some) but that in his opinion, it goes back to the original Epic text at least according to the textual tradition inherited by him in the thirteenth century and current in that part of the country (i. e the South Kanara district and Tulunad of which he was a native). Considering the gurity and accuracy with which Kerala and its vicinities have preserved the ancient works of Sanskrit literature which have unfortunately disappeared from the other parts of India, it is not unlikely that the Kerala versions preserve much authentic materials. While it is thus indubitably true that the earliest reference to the verse " नाग्यणं सरग्रम " is to be found in the metrical commentary of Madhyacarya, he is not certainly the author of it. He makes it clear in his commentary that he regards the verse as belonging to the original nav its very first verse. Furthermore, he is also found to quote the second quarter of this verse in his Gitabhasya, as from the Mahabharata under Gită IX. 26.

मक्तियं सकललेकनमश्कृतं च इति भारते ॥ and again केवलो निर्मुणश्च इत्यादि श्रुतिभयश्च । "त्रैगुण्यवर्जितम् " (Bharata) इति स्रोकतम् IX 13

And we have already seen that this verse is accepted as the opening one in one of the Mss. of the Kerala Recension of the present day. Madhva was himself a native of Tulunād which lies immediately north of Kerala proper and which is part of the

Holy Land of Parasurāma to which the religious poet Vādirāja pays a graceful compliment:

कर्णे पदन्यस्तरुची रिरंह्यवाराशिवलं जधनाहि यस्या । अब्रह्मचहाणकरेण श्रुमेः श्रीमार्गवः सा जयति क्षितिनं ॥

(Tirthaprabandha i).

It is not unlikely that his version was in agreement in the main with the Kerala version, and the South Indian Recension, judging by the criteria proposed by Prof P. P. S. Sastri viz., (1) the exclusion of the Ganesa episode, to which there is no reference in Madhva or Vadirāja's text (2) the inclusion of the Kanika episode and (3) the absence of reference to the 8800 surgmatic verses.

अष्टी श्लोकसहस्राणि अष्टी श्लोकशतानि च । अहं बोच्चे शुक्रो बेनि सञ्जयो बेनि या न वा।)

Madhwa not only knew the North Indian version; but claims to have constituted his text after a careful and critical exemination of the manuscript material from different parts of the country and upon a higher criticism of the text upon principles which he indicates in the prolegomena to his work. It appears from his statements that he exercised great care and caution in fixing up a Vulgate text of his own after a wide and representative collection of Mss from different parts of India based on different Recensions. It would be well to remember in this connection that his commentary on the Epic was written by him more or less towards the closing part of his life when he had already toured the north and southern parts of India many times and built up a precious library of his own It may be said of Madhva as of no other ancient writer that he truly and most vividly anticipated the difficulties of modern Research scholars of the Epic and may very properly be described as the pioneer of Mahabharata text-criticism. He sounds a familiarly modern note

> क्रचिद्रन्थान् प्रक्षिपन्ति क्रचिदन्तरितानपि। कुर्युः कचिष्ठ व्यत्यास प्रमादास्क्रचिद्रम्यथा॥ ३॥ अनुसम्बा अपि प्रन्था व्यक्तिज्ञा इति सर्वेकाः। देशे देशे तथा ग्रन्थान् दश्का चैष प्रथनियान्॥ ७॥

His date was antedated by three centuries by P. S. Sastri. For a complete criticism of P. P. S. Sastri's date and fixation of the real date of Vädiršja see my paper on his date in the Annals, B. O. R. I. Vol. XVIII, pp. 187-197

यथा स मगवान्त्र्यास' साक्षासारायणः प्रश्नः ।

जगाद भारताकेत तथा बक्ष्ये तदीक्षया । Mbh. TN. II. 8.

it would be obvious that a text so constituted by an encient writer of his standing deserves greater attention from modern scholars than has been bestowed upon it so far. But so far as his followers were concerned it was naturally this text that was recognised. Vādirāja one of the most eminent followers of Madhva in the sixteenth century commented upon this text in his Lakeālankāra and this commentary, is declared by Prof. P. S. Sastri to be based on the South Indian Recension published by him and follow it closely. Prof Sastri seems further to look upon this as testifying to the authenticity and provenness of the text published by him that Vādirāja's wfiws should agree with those of his text. By way of illustration of his thesis, he has published Vādirāja's commentary on the Saba's Parva

It may therefore be pointed out that there are fundamental deviations in Vadiraja from the S. Indian text now published by P. P. S. Sastri. The latter begins straightway without any benedictory verses (सकलाचरण) with the prose text . रोमहर्पणपत्र उग्र-अवा etc. The North Indian Recension begins with नारायणं । नमस्क्रम नरं चेव॰ Prof Sastri holds that the S Indian Recension is right in discarding the usual stereotyped Mangalācarana and that a metrical benediction can have really no place at the commencement of the Epic. Be that as it may, the Laksalamkara has a very claborate commentary on नारायणं सरगुरुं जगदेकनार्थ × × × × which it regards as the opening benedictory verse of the Epic. following the lead of Madhya's Tatparyaningua in this and in other respects such as the readings of verses and the inclusion of three more benedictory verses in the beginning. It could not be otherwise seeing that Vädirāja was a faithful adherent of Madhya and claims to follow his lead:

नारायणस्य व्यासस्य मध्वस्य च छ्याबळात्। भारतश्लोकळक्षस्याळ्कारः क्रियते मया ॥ 1. ऽ.

Prof. P. P. S. Sastri is not therefore justified in dismissing the verse नारायणं हरताहच + + and Vädirāja's comment on it as an

 $^{^{1}}$ " Found only in Kashmir 1.2 , D (9.13 missing) T1 G7 (margin) and very few of Southern Mss.—Telugu and Grantha — " (Sukthankar).

interpolation on the basis of a certain passage: ' লব ব্যাহী ম্বলনী মান্ত্ৰিকিং নাৰ্হ্বাৰ্থ বিশ্বাৰীল ব সুৰ্ত্ত্যকুলাৰ্থন "that is found in certain of the Mss. of Laksslamkars under the words নাৰ্যক্ষ মুখ্য ব্যাহ্ম বা: "It seems far more reasonable to reject this single line as an interpolation than to dismiss the entire and very long comment on the verse arrured मुपुक्त The very length and cogency of the passage forbids such a summary disposal. Apart from this, the Laksslamkāra comments next upon two other benedictory stanzas of the Epic:

> हुष्णो क्षकेरिज्यते बीतमोहैं- हुष्णो चन्नैरिज्यते सोमपूर्वै । हुष्णो बीरीरिज्यते विक्रमञ्जिः हुष्णो बन्यैरिज्यते संसुद्धानैः ॥ सृष्टा बद्धादयो देवा निहता येन दानवा । तस्मै देवापिटेवाय नमस्ते आक्रुंशारिको ॥

The comment on the first of these: इदानी " मिन्दू " पदस्य सुफ्तपरमां स्पष्टपन्न सुक्तामुक्तसकलस्त्रजनपुरुषी इतिरंशित समुनिर्देशाय मञ्जाजनप्रमाचाति—कृष्णो सुके इति ॥ presupposes the term सिन्दु ॥ सुरसिद्धन्य in the previous verse—चन्ने अधनममरास्त्रसिद्धन्यम् 1d The comment on the third verse is equally significant विश्वाहुत्ये मङ्गलसहत्वसिद्धन्यसित चनतिर्धु सङ्गलान्तरमाचारि— 'सुष्टा नद्भाष्ट्यो देश' इति । अस अष्टर्श्व मुक्तिस्तुल्यमेवाभितस्त ।

After these elaborate comments on the opening verses Vādirāja introduces his commentary on the story proper with following remark:

अधुना कथामारभते-" रामहर्पण एव उग्रश्नवा " हत्यादिना ।

Here, the term SYM is significant and presupposes the existence of some kind of benedictory verses at the outset.

Π

The conclusion that Vadirāja did recognize the presence of certain benedictory verses in the beginning of the Epic and that in his opinion the verse नाराव्यं हराकुर + + + was the first of that kind is fully attested by his other work the commentary on Madbya's Makābhārudatīṭpa yannvaya which we have already shown reads नाराव्यं हराकु sa the first मक्कालं of the Epic. Commenting on II. 59 of his original which runs:

ज्ञानपदः स भगवान् कमलाविशिचिकार्वादिपूर्वजनतो निखिलाहरिष्टः

Vādirāja writes:- अधुना भारतायपदा एव नवाँनमत्वादि सकलमहिन्नां निकापितत्वातः जपक्रमानमारेण ग्रन्थस्य योजनीयत्वातः लक्षभारतवास्यास्यपि भगवन्माहान्यवराणीति आवेन लोकिशिक्षणाय भारतादौ विराचितं भक्रलपर्य पडति-नारायणं सरग्रहं " इति । हरपुरुमित्यस्यार्थकथनं " कमलाविरिधिकार्वादिपूर्वजगतो ज्ञानमद् '' इति

Now, not only does Vadiraja simply comment on the verse नारायणं सुरहरू as a matter of course; but he also deliberately raises the precise issue we are debating viz. the genuineness and authenticity of the verse नारायणं स्रमुख्य in question and particularly the need for a benedictory stanza at all. It is gratifying to note that a la the modern critical scholar. Vadirais points to the occurrence of this verse in manuscripts preserved in the " Kerala and other countries " ' क्राचिदन्तरितानपि ' (Mbh. TN. H. ३)) इति बचनात इदमायपयं असहमाना केचिदादी न लिखन्ति । केरलादिदेशस्थपस्तके-ब्बद्यापि हड्यते ॥

He also considers it most unlikely that Vyasa who has incorporated benedictory verses in the beginning of the comparatively shorter works like Bhūgavata and Harvamša could have failed to do so in such a prodigious work as the Mahabharata www.gru लोकाचार्यो ज्यासः लक्षपरिमितं ग्रन्थं कर्वन ग्रन्थादौ लोकशिक्षणाय सङ्गलं न बच्चेत ? यतोऽल्पीयासे ग्रन्थे मागवते हरिवंजाती च-' सत्यं पर धीमहि ' ' सोऽलादि-र्वासदेवः शमयत दरितं जनमजन्मार्जितं नः' इति लोकशिक्षणार्थे मङ्गलमकरोत् अतो अस्मन्महाग्रन्थ सकलकाहानामग्रणीस्पासी मञ्चलमाचरेवेवेति ज्ञेयम् ॥

(Tulu Ms, Sode Mutt Udipi).

It would thus be evident that the eschewal of benedictory verses from the beginning of the Mahabharata and the omission of " नारायणं सुरग्र जगदेकनाथं etc. as the first verse in this connection are sternly discountenanced by Vadirana and that therefore no S. Indian recension that omits this verse and those that follow. would be acceptable to Madhya and Vadiraia or be recognized by them as representing the authentic (S. Indian) version. In these circumstances, it is dubious if the South Indian version published by Prof. Sastri could be made to rest on the authority of Vadiraia.

AUTHENTICITY OF THE KRSNACARITA

BY JAGAN NATH

In August 1941 Rājavaidva Jīvarāma Kālīdasa of Gondal, published, from a fragmontary manuscript of three leaves only what may be described as 'introduction' to a postical work called the Krana-uritam attributed in the colophon to Mahārājadhīrāja Vikamānka Str-Samudra Gunta.

The first section of this introduction originally consisted of thirty three vorses of which the first twolve are now lost and the remaining twentyone only have been preserved. In this section the author has dealt with the ancient poets whom he designated as Effact (age poets). In the section the following authors and works are mentioned:

- 1 Śānkhāyana We wrote a poem called Kanthābharana.
- 2 Vararuci He wrote a Kavya named Svargarchana.
- 3 Kātyāyana He not only wrote the Vārtikas on Pānini's Grammar, but also followed in his footsteps in writing poetry. His work is not mentioned
- 4 Vyādi:— He is described as a poet, and philosopher of the Mimāmsā school. He wrote a Mahākāmpa called the Bālacanda which excelled the Mahabhārata of Vyāsa.
 - 5 Devala:— the author of Indravijava
- 6 Patañjali He is the author of the great commonlary on Panini's Grammer He revised the Samhitt of Caraka, and wrote a poem called diraysis containing an exposition of the Yoga system of Philosphy Phis work appears to be distinct from the well known Surta freatise of the same name
- 7 Bhāsa:—the author of a brilliant Mahākāvya, and twenty plays. He wrote a play named Vāsavadattā, and made the Rāmāyapa and Mahābhārata more enjoyable by dramatising their story and adding various episodes of his own making. Other poets imitated him in writing plays. He did not completely follow Pātini's system of grammar
 - 8 Vardhamana -- the author of Bhimajaya.
- 9 Cinadeva He was a foreigner. He wrote both in Mägadhi and Sanskrif, a poem called the Buddhacarita.
 - 18 (Annals, B. O. R I)

10 Mihıradeva.— He was a Persian. He wrote a panegyric of the god sun in one hundred śikhaimi verses.

These are the ten sage-poets to whom the author paid his tribute, by recording their names and the works they had written.

The second section deals with the royal poets and the following names are mentioned:—

- 1 Subandhu.— He is described as a court poet of King Bindusara whose displeasure he incurred and was thrown into the prison. By the favour of Goddess Sarasvati, he managed to secape from the prison and went to king Vatsaraja, who gave him five villages and the hand of his sister. He wrote a poem called Vatsarajacarita, and an unnamed play.
- 2 Sidraka:— Equal to Indra in valour, he performed an attemetika sacrifice and having overthrown the Sakas started his own era called the Vikrama era. He wrote treatises on the science of archery and stealing. He was the author of two plays. He also composed the Mrochakatika ii. nine acts. In this work he described his own exploits. This work became known as Aryakajaya. Having placed his son Devamitra on the throne he retired to the forest.
- 3 Kalidasa:—At Sudraka's court was the great poet Kalidasa who composed a play dealing with the love romance of king Dusyanta. Besides this, he wrote three minor plays.
- 4 Sūra A Buddhist scholar, author of two Mahākāvyas the Saunanda and the Buddhaearita His other name was Ghosa He wrote treatises on the exposition of Buddhist dootrine. He also took part in a creat congregation of Buddhist teachers
- 5 Hariscandra He was the king of Pancala and wrote a work called Karnakirtti.
- 6 Matrgupta He was not only a Kavaāja but also a king, who had obtained the kingdom of Kashmir through the favour of the goddess Sarasvati
 - 7 Avantika Author of Śūdrakajaya.
- 8 Harisena alias Kālīdāsa or Raghukāra:— He held the exalted position of Minister of Samudragupta and was the supreme authority in matters of peace and war He was skyled Kumārasaciva. He acted as Brahmā priest at the sacrificial performances of his royal patron. He wrote the Raghuyamās and four

other minor poems. He prevailed upon the king to write the Kṛṣṇacarita.

The section ends with the account of these eight royal poets.

In the colophon this section is designated as ব্যক্তবিকীন in the ক্ষামন্ত্ৰনাৰ তেজাৰ্মিক composed by Śri Vikramānka Mahārājā-dhirāja Paramabhāgawsta Śri Sanudra Gupka

The information supplied by this manuscript is interesting, as it has the charm of novelty. Some of the names of authors and works are quite familiar, others are less commonly known like Vyskii, while some are altogether new. Some of the statements made in this work are in conflict with quite wellknown and well-established facts of political and literary history of ancient India. These require a careful examination.

Firstly, it has been stated about Subandhu that he fled from the imprisonment of Bindusara and went to Vatsaraia who gave him shelter. Thus according to this work Bindusara and Vatsarāja Udayana were contemporaries, which is an impossibility, since Udayana flourished long before the establishment of the Maurya empire It may be argued that this Vatsaraja may not be Udavana but a different monarch of later times. But this much at least must be conceded that only an independent and powerful king could have dared to give protection to Subandhu who had incurred the displeasure of Bindusara. Now Bindusara was an imperial sovereign whose dominions included the whole of Northern India, and parts of the Deccan. The small kingdoms into which India had been divided in the days of Buddha, had all been swept away by the rising power of Magadha Therefore there can be no possibility of an independent contemporary of Bindusara, who could thus openly flout the wishes of the Maurya sovereign with impunity. We know from the Greek accounts that Bindusara was a ruler of stern disposition who never spared his enemies as his title of Amitrochales shows. Therefore no vassal rulers could have dared to offend such an overlord. This statement of the present work is therefore, opposed to the facts of history.

of the present was a large of the positive of the contemporary of Sidraka Vikramāditya and author of the plays Sakuntalā, etc. and the other Harisean, the Foreign Minister of Samudragupta and the author of Raghuvamša and other poems. However the internal evidence of the works themselves shows that the author of Sakuntalā, Raghuvamša, Kumārsambhava and

Meghaduta is one and the same person. The following close similarities in thought and expression clearly prove the common

authorship of the plays and poems 1. Sakuntalā, II, 5 मेदच्छेदस्कोदरं लग मनस्यत्यानयोग्य

सद्ब्छेद्रह्यादर त्रष्टु सवत्युत्यानयांग्य बषुः सम्बानामपि त्रक्ष्यते बिकृतिमाद्विन सय-क्रोधयोः॥ उत्कर्ष सच्छित्वनां यदिषयः सिम्पन्ति तर्थे नक्षे

लक्ष्मे चले मिध्येव व्यसनं बद्दित सुगयामीहरिय नोद कृतः॥

- 2. Sakuntalā, I, 6 c and ा स्नातुसारिणं नाक्षात्पद्यामीव पिना-किनम !
- 3 Šakuntalā, III, 10 स्मर एव तापहेतुर्निवीपियता स एण मे

दिवस इवाग्रस्थामस्त्रपायये जीवलोकस्य॥ 4. Śakuntelā, IV, 4 cd अवेदि तनयां जहान्नाग्रममां व्यमीमित।

- 5. Śakuntala, IV, 12, उन्नालित हर्भेकवला स्वग्यः परित्यक्तनतेना मण्ता । अपसृतपाण्डुपत्रा सञ्जनसञ्ज्ञाणीव लता ॥
- 6. Sakuntals, V, 2, रस्याजि वीश्य मधुरांक्ष निकाम्य काद्यान् पर्युख्यके स्वति प्रस्तु निकाम्य काद्यान् पर्युख्यके स्वति प्रस्तु । तद्वेत्रस्ता समार्थे निकामयोज्यके सावाधियाणि जननालस्त्रीहृद्धानि ॥ 7. Sakuntals, VII, 34. तत्व सब्द विद्योगा चारप्यवृद्धि प्रमास् स्वत्यान्यां चित्रस्याची वित्तयां चारप्यवृद्धि प्रमास् स्वस्ताविद्यानी व्याज्य प्रीप्यस्व । यस्त्रावत्यस्त्रीयसम्बन्ध्यन्यक्ष्मेयम्यक्ष्मेयस्यक्षेत्रस्त्राच्यती ॥

नेयतस्थायलोकानुस्रहश्लाधनीयै 8 Sakuntala, V, 6 b क्रिश्नाति लच्चपरिपालनदत्तिरेव।

- 1 Raghuvamśa, IX, 49. परिचयं चललक्ष्यानिपातने भयरुपोश्च तदिङ्कितवोधनम् । श्रमज्यात् प्रगुणा च करोत्यसौ तनमतोऽज्ञमत्, मचिवैर्ययो ॥
- 2 Raghuvamsa, XI, 44 ed. विद्रुतक्रतस्मातुमारिण येन वाणमस्तर्
- 3. Raghuvaméa, X, 83. ते प्रजानां प्रजानाधास्तेजसा प्रश्नपेण च । सनो जहार्निदाघान्ते स्यासाधा दिवसा
- 4. Raghu vamsa, III, 9. हामीमिवाध्यन्तरकी नेपावकास् । त्वरं समस्यां महिषीममन्यतः । 5. Raghu vamsa, XIV, 69, तृत्यं मएगः इत्यमानि तृत्यां द्योनुपानान् विजन्हरिणः ।
- तस्या प्रपन्ने समद्भाषमात्र्यन्तमासी
 द्वृदितं चनेऽपि॥
 6 Raghuvamsa, XI, 22,
 वामनाश्रमपद तत परं
 पावनं श्रतस्रपेरुपेयिवान्।
 - पावनं श्वतम् चेरुपेविवान् । उत्मना प्रथमजन्मचेष्टितान्य-स्मरस्त्रीप बधुव राघव ॥ 7. Raghuvamsa, 1, 26. दहोह गों स यजाय सस्याय सध्य

दिवम् । सपद्विनिमयेनोभौ दपद्वर्भवनद्वयम् ॥

8. Raghuvamša, XIX, 3 a. लब्धपालनविधी न तस्मत वेदसाय।

मिधानं परिकल्पितं त्वया सहकार फलिनी च नविवसी ।

Raghuvamsa, VIII, 61.

चूतेन संक्षितवती नवमालिकेचं। Śakuntala, IV, 13 इयं स्वयंवरवंच्यं, सहकारस्य त्वया कृतनामधेया वनज्योत्वेति नवमालिका। Śakuntala, I.

Further the use of the root आ √ रस् in the sense of pouring out has been made frequently in these works —

- I. इति कल्डामावर्जयति । Sakuntalä, I.
- 2. आवर्जिताष्टापव हम्भताये । Kumārasambhava, VII, 10.
- 3. त्ववावर्जितवारि समतम् । Kumarasambhava, V, ३4.

The community of thought and expression between the plays and the poems, conclusively proves, that all of them are written by the same author. The suggestion of two Kālidāsas, one the author of the plays and the other the author of the Mahākānyas is absolutely baseless and in conflict with the evidence of the works themselves. It would not be too much to suggest that the author of this fragment has merely versified some of the recent suggestions, a.g. Jayasamkara Prasad, put forward the theory of two Kālidāsa's in his Sāmahāgapta.

It is further to be noted that Harisens, the author of the Allahabad pillar inscription can never be the author of the Raghuvamsa, There is a fundamental difference in the temperament of the two. While the author of the Raghuvamsa is absolutely uncommunicative about his personality—so much so that he does not mention even his name in the pene.—Harisen has not only mentioned his name as the author of the inscription but has also given many details about himself such as the name of his father, the name of his native place, his official title and the offices he held. Is it too much to expect that he would have himself stated that he was known as a second Kalidaea if he really was?

These verses which have been passed by its author whoever he is as the introduction to the poem Krenacarita, are prima face a forgery, an attempt to weave the scattered information concerning ancient authors into one piece. To endow it with authority, the name of Samudragupta has been invented. It is clearly intended to provide 'freit weithere' for solving some of the puzzles in the history of Sanskrit literature and the political history of ancient India, such as the authorship of the Micohakatika the authoritity of the Trivandrum plays, the date of Kalidas, the origin of the Vikarma Era, etc etc.

¹ The reference to the association of Subandhu with Bindusdra is to be found in the Avantism durikathā, the account of Sudraka is taken from the Mircchakathka with minor additions, the mention of Rāmila and Somila is found in an oft quoted verse.

A NEW INTERPRETATION of the expression,

शिलाधनमध्यस्थ्यपदीयमहस्रकथन, in Samkara's commentary on

Br. Sū I, 1i 28

BY

SHRINIVAS DIXIT

All the commentators and interpretars of Samkara seem to bave missed the real significance of this expression when they unanimously agree in interpreting it thus "that to say an idea, like a lamp, reveals itself spontaneously without standing in need of anything else to illumine it,—it is antamount to asserting that the idea, which no means-of-proof can ever reveal, needs also no percipent for its perception, as though a thousand lamps blazing in the interior of some rock were to make themselves manifest therein. "Now what is the significance of the analogy of the lamps in the interior of a stone here" In order to understand this, let us know the drift of the passage.

The Vijfianavadin says that only ideas oxist Why? For, they alone are immediately percuived, while the objects as such being presumably of the nature of non-knowledge can never enter the field of consciousness. Whatever is known, is known, and therefore an idea, and whatever is unknown, is totally unknown, and therefore cannot be known to exist. This means the same thing as to say that the object lacking illumination (i. e. a relation to consciousness α the status of being an idea, in our modern terminology) can never be known, while an idea having illumination (i. e. a relation to consciousness.) can alone be the object of knowledge.

To this, Samkara replies, even an idea must require an illumination from some external source (The neo-realist may well understand by this that an entity becomes an idea when it enters a certain relation) The Buddhist reply to this is: "I recognize ideas as selfluminous". This is the position that Hume had arrived at in declaring that the whole world is an unceasing flux of ideas. For, Hume also had tentatively suggested that there may be ideas which are not ideas of anybody.

Samkara's answer to this is, शिलाघनमध्यस्थावनिषसहस्रकथनवत. It is quite apt if we expand it thus "By saving that there can be ideas without being known (अनवगन्तकं विज्ञानं), you are taking away the very differentia of an idea On your hypothesis everything will be an idea But it would only mean that what we call a thing you choose to designate as an idea But, surely, for that reason it becomes an idle hupothesis, as idle and bairen as to hypothesize a thousand candles burning in the interior of a stone. The hypothesis makes no difference to the things that can be verified. Even if there were no lamps burning there, or there were ten thousand instead of one thousand, the things would be the same. Similarly, if there were ideas without being known. there will be no difference between our world view and your world view The only difference is that you call the things of the world ideas and we call them objects Then the designstion 'idea' will be pointless. But there will be sense in calling the objects ideas, not at all times, but only when they receive illumination (i. e they enter into a relation with consciousness)"

This sort of interpretation will credit Samkara with anticipating the arguments of modern neo-realism according to which a thing becomes an idea without losing its identity as an object by entering a new relation. An object is an idea not by reason of its stuff but due to its function Samkara certainly, though vaguely, implies this. For, otherwise the analogy of unknown ideas' with 'lamps in the interior of a stone will still be lamps, while the unknown ideas will not be ideas in any significant sense. That the lamps will not illumine other objects is festide the point. That proves nothing. They will be as good lamps as any that there be. Yet this is how the expression is traditionally interpreted. On that interpretation, there is no analogy whatsoever. But by his sw. Samkara clearly means an analogy.

That my meaning was implied by Samkara is clear from the fast that he does not say that there is an analogy between the rock-hidden lamps and the unknown ideas, but between manned (swat) that unknown ideas exist and tellung (swat) that a thousand lamps burn in the interior of a massive stone. The analogy is between the general character of the hypotheses as such (i. e. both of them are idle) and not between the specific assertions made therein.

That Samkara did not much develop such a realistic flash is unfortunate. Had he done so, he would have been the founder of modern realism. For that, it would not have been necessary for him to abandon his **grature** Epistemological realism is quite compatible with an ontological idealism

BOOK: RECEIVED

दलोपंत आपट लेखसंग्रह, दत्तीपंत आपटे स्मारक संहळ, उणें, १९४५.

Bahāullāh and New Era, (Marathi), translated by V. S. Apte, New Delhi, 1945.

An Introduction to the Study of Srimad Bhagavad Sita, by R. Vasudeva Row, Suddha Dharma Office, Mylapore, Madras.

Bharatiya Vidya (Vol. V), Miscellany, A. D. Pusalker, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, 1945.

भारतीय-युद्ध-काल निर्णय, by R. V. Vaidya, M. G. Gadgkari, Lashkar, (Gwalior), 1945.

Bibliography of Indological Studies, 1942, George M. Moraes, Konkan Institute of Arts and Sciences, Bombay, 1945.

Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in H. H. The Maharajah's Palace Library, Trivandrum, Volumes II-VIII.

Descriptive Catalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in the Curator's Office Library, Trivandrum, Volumes III-X. दुर्गा सरवाती, राजरेख जीवराम कालिदासवाकी, गोंडाळ, संबद २००१,

Eski Hind Tarihi, Walter Ruben, Ankara, 1944. Mahatma Hansaraja, by Khushahalachanda Ananda, Pradhan, Arya Pradeshika Pratinidhi Sabha, Labore.

Proceedings of the Indian History Congress, Seventh Session, held at Madras 1944, General Secretary, Indian History Congress, Allababad

Proceedings of the Indian History Congress, Seventh Session, Madras University, Madras, General Secretary, Indian History Congress, Allahabad.

Invisches Mittelalter, Walter Ruben, Istanbul, 1944.

Investigations into Prehistoric Archaeology of Gujarat, by H. D. Sankalia, Sri Pratapasimha Maharaja, Rajyabhishaka Grantha Mala, Baroda, 1946.

Jainism and Meat-Eating, by M V. Shah, All India Shwe. Sthanakwasi Jain Conference, Bombay.

Kashmiri Lyrics, by J. L. Kaul, Rinemisray Srinagar, Kashmir, 1945.

Kautaliya Arthaśāstra with Malayālam Commentary (Adbikaraņa 3) Part III, V. A. Ramaswami Sastri, Trivandrum, 1945. University of Madras, Calendar for 1945-46 Vol. I Parts I, II, University of Madras, Madras, 1946.

Le Monde Oriental Revue, Des E'tudes Orientales, Vols. XXX-XXXII, H. S. Nyberg, 1936-1938, London.

Nyāyakusumāājali of Udayanācārya, Vol. I, Books I, II, Swami Ravi Tirtha, Adyar Library, Adyar, 1946.

History of Orissa by L. N. Sahu,

Kasaratnapradipikā of Allarāja, R. N. Dandekar, Bharatiya Vidya Bhavan, Bombay, 1945.

Self-Realisation, Life and Teachings of Bhagavan Shri Ramana Meharshi, B. V. Narasimha Swami, Tiruyannamalsi, 1944. Suddha Rāja Yoga of Sri Hamsayogi, T. A. M. Janardanam, Suddha Dharma office, Mylapore, Madras

Tantrasamuccoya of Nārāyana Part I, V. A Ramaswami Sastri, University of Travancore, Trivandrum, 1945 The Legend of the Topes, by

B. C. Law, Royal Asiatic Society of Bengal, Calcutta, 1945.

रजतजयन्ती, श्रीदेवनायक आचार्य, काशी, वि. संवत् २००२ श्रीवल्लभराम शालिश्राम मागवेद्वियालय.

श्रीबल्लभराम शालिग्राम सागवेद्वियालय. रजतजयस्ती महोत्मव का कार्य-विवरण श्रीदेवनायक आचार्य. काही, वि संबद् २००२.

A Vedic Word-Concordance, Vol. III, (Upanisads) Parts I (अ-ज') and II (प-इ), Visva-Bandhu Sästri, V. V. R. Institute, Lahore, 1945.

PUBLICATIONS OF THE INSTITUTE

Mahabharata Volumes already published	Rs	As.
Vol I-Adiparvan (1), ed, Dr V S Sukthankar, M A, Ph D, l-asc 1-7, pp CXVIII + 996	33	0
Vol II-Sabhaparvan (2), ed, Prof Dr F Edgerton,		
Fasc 13-14, pp LAVII + 517 Vols III & IV-Åranyakaparvan (3) ed, Dr V S	12	ю
Sukthankar, M A, Ph D, Fasc 11-12, pp xLII +		
1111	18	Ö
Vol V-Virataparvan (4), ed, Dr Raghu Vira, MA, Ph D, Fasc 8 pp 1x + 362	11	0
Vol VI-Udyogaparvan (5), ed, Dr S K De, M A,		-
D Litt , Fasc 9 10, pp LIV + 739	19	O
Vol VII-Bhismiparvan (6), ed, Dr S K Belvalkar, MA, PhD, Iasc 15 pp 392	8	o
Volumes in press	•	•
Vol VII-4		
Belv		
वीर सेवा मन्दिर		
Tarikh Yeasieu		
ediv ly and do		
No Detrobat & N		
Nirul ti		
Vd (H		
Descri		
Li		
Vol II Ba		
Vol X		
by		
Vol X		
P		
Progre		
se		
d		